The Faurecia IS plant (35 acres, 326,000 sq.ft.), is located at 101 International Boulevard, Fountain Inn (Laurens County), South Carolina, about 20 miles southeast of Greenville. The facility (670 employees) is used to produce automotive interior components—storage boxes, consoles, glove boxes, instrument panels, dash boards, bolsters, and door panels—for passenger motor vehicles manufactured in the U.S., as well as for export. Production activity involves injection molding, blow molding, painting, and assembly using domestic and foreign-origin inputs. Components and materials purchased from abroad (representing up to 25% of material value) include: polypropylene, PVC foil and sheet, rubber straps and mats, carpet sets, floor mats, fasteners, speakers, switches, airbag straps/frames/brackets, retainers, inserts and related items under HTSUS 8708.99.8080, vents, knobs, air ducts, sun visors, consoles, grab handles, plates, fabrics (Category 229) and sun shades (duty rates: 2.5–8.5%). FTZ procedures would exempt Faurecia IS from Customs duty payments on the foreign items used in production for export to non-NAFTA countries. On domestic shipments transferred in-bond to U.S. automobile assembly plants with subzone status, no duties would be paid on foreign-origin materials and components used in auto production under FTZ procedures until the finished vehicles are entered for domestic consumption, at which time the finished auto duty rate (2.5%) would be applied to the foreign-origin component parts and materials. For the individual interior components withdrawn from the proposed subzone for Customs entry, Faurecia IS would be able to choose the finished auto part duty rate (2.5%) for the foreign-origin items noted above. The application indicates that the savings from FTZ procedures would help improve the plant's international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and three copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the following addresses:

1. *Submissions via Express/Package Delivery Services:* Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building-Suite 4100W, 1099 14th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005; or,

2. *Submissions via the U.S. Postal Service:* Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, FCB–4100W, 1401 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20230.

The closing period for their receipt is *[December 30, 2002].* Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period *[to January 13, 2003].*

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Foreign-Trade Zones Board's Executive Secretary at address No.1 listed above and at the Office of the Port Director, U.S. Customs Service, 150–A West Phillips Road, Greer, SC 29650.

Dated: October 18, 2002.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–27632 Filed 10–29–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–428–803]

Industrial Nitrocellulose from Germany: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 30, 2002

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Trentham or Tom Futtner, Group II, Office 4, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–6320 or 482–3814, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations as codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (2002).

Background

On July 1, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose (INC) from Germany (67 FR 44172).

On August 27, 2002, pursuant to a request made by Wolff Walstrode AG (Wolff), a producer and exporter of INC, the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on INC from Germany. On October 16, 2002, Wolff withdrew its request for an administrative review of INC from Germany.

Rescission of Review

Section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations provides that a party that requests an administrative review may withdraw the request within 90 days after the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested administrative review. The Department is rescinding the administrative review of the order on INC from Germany for the period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002, because the requesting party has withdrawn its request for this administrative review within the 90–day time limit, and no other interested parties have requested a review of INC from Germany for this time period.

This notice is in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 251.213(d)(4).

Dated: October 24, 2002.

Bernard T. Carreau,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02–27628 Filed 10–29–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–489–807]

Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars From Turkey; Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On May 1, 2002, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative

review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel concrete reinforcing bars from Turkey (67 FR 21634). This review covers five manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States. The period of review is April 1, 2000, through March 31, 2001. We are rescinding the review with respect to Diler Demir Celik Endustrisi ve Ticaret A.S., Yazici Demir Celik Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., and Diler Dis Ticaret A.S.; and ICDAS Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi, A.S. because these companies had no entries of subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculations for one company. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed below in the section entitled ''Final Results of Review.''

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 30, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irina Itkin or Elizabeth Eastwood, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone (202) 482–0656 and (202) 482–3874, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (2001).

Background

This review covers five manufacturers/exporters (*i.e.*, Colakoglu Metalurji A.S. (Colakoglu), Diler Demir Celik Endustrisi ve Ticaret A.S., Yazici Demir Celik Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., and Diler Dis Ticaret A.S. (collectively, ''Diler''), Ekinciler Holding A.S. and Ekinciler Demir Celik A.S. (collectively, ''Ekinciler''), HABAS Sinai ve Tibbi Gazlar Istihsal Endustrisi A.S. (Habas), and ICDAS Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi, A.S. (ICDAS)).

On May 1, 2002, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel concrete reinforcing bars (rebar) from Turkey. *See Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Turkey; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,* 67 FR 21634 (May 1, 2002) *(Preliminary Results).*

In May and August, 2002, respectively, Diler and ICDAS notified the Department that they did not have shipments and/or entries of subject merchandise to the United States. Because we were able to confirm this with the Customs Service, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3) and consistent with our practice, we are rescinding our review for Diler and ICDAS. For further discussion, see the ''Partial Rescission of Review'' section of this notice, below.

We invited parties to comment on our preliminary results of review. In May and June, 2002, we received case briefs from the petitioner (AmeriSteel Corporation), Colakoglu, and Ekinciler. In June 2002, we received rebuttal briefs from the petitioner, Ekinciler, and Habas. In addition, from June through September 2002, at our request we received supplemental information related to the depreciation expenses reported by Ekinciler.

The Department held a hearing on July 10, 2002, at the request of the petitioner.

On August 19, 2002, the Department postponed the final results of this review, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2). *See Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Turkey; Notice of Extension of Time Limits for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,* 67 FR 53778 (Aug. 19, 2002).

The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is all stock deformed steel concrete reinforcing bars sold in straight lengths and coils. This includes all hot-rolled deformed rebar rolled from billet steel, rail steel, axle steel, or low-alloy steel. It excludes (i) plain round rebar, (ii) rebar that a processor has further worked or fabricated, and (iii) all coated rebar. Deformed rebar is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers 7213.10.000 and 7214.20.000. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Period of Review

The period of review (POR) is April 1, 2000, through March 31, 2001.

Partial Rescission of Review

As noted above, Diler and ICDAS notified the Department that they had no shipments and/or entries of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. We have confirmed this with the Customs Service. Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3) and consistent with the Department's practice, we are rescinding our review with respect to Diler and ICDAS. (*See, e.g., Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube from Turkey; Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,* 63 FR 35190, 35191 (June 29, 1998); and *Certain Fresh Cut Flowers from Colombia; Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,* 62 FR 53287, 53288 (Oct. 14, 1997).)

Cost of Production

As discussed in the *Preliminary Results,* we conducted an investigation to determine whether the respondents participating in the review made home market sales of the foreign like product during the POR at prices below their costs of production (COPs) within the meaning of section 773(b)(1) of the Act. We performed the cost test for these final results following the same methodology as in the *Preliminary Results,* except as discussed in the accompanying ''Issues and Decision Memorandum'' (Decision Memo) from Richard W. Moreland, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, to Faryar Shirzad, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated October 24, 2002.

We found 20 percent or more of each respondent's sales of a given product during the reporting period were at prices less than the weighted-average COP for this period. Thus, we determined that these below-cost sales were made in ''substantial quantities'' within an extended period of time and at prices which did not permit the recovery of all costs within a reasonable period of time in the normal course of trade. *See* sections 773(b)(2)(B), (C), and (D) of the Act.

Therefore, for purposes of these final results, we found that Colakoglu, Ekinciler, and Habas made below-cost sales not in the ordinary course of trade. Consequently, we disregarded these sales for each respondent and used the remaining sales as the basis for determining normal value, pursuant to section 773(b)(1) of the Act.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Decision Memo, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memo, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B–099, of the main Department building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at *http://ia.ita.doc.gov.* The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received, we have made certain changes in the margin calculations. These changes are discussed in the relevant sections of the Decision Memo.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted-average margin percentages exist for the period April 1, 2000, through March 31, 2001:

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	Margin percentage
Colakoglu Metalurji A.S.	5.31
Ekinciler Holding A.S./Ekinciler Demir Celik A.S.	0.04
HABAS Sinai ve Tibbi Gazlar Istihsal Endustrisi A.S.	0.27

The Department will determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), for Habas, we have calculated an importer-specific assessment rate based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of those sales. Regarding Colakoglu and Ekinciler, for assessment purposes, we do not have the information to calculate entered value because these companies are not the importers of record for the subject merchandise. Accordingly, we have calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates for the merchandise in question by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to each importer and dividing this amount by the total quantity of those sales. To determine whether the duty assessment rates were *de minimis*, in accordance with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* ratios based on the export prices. We will direct the Customs Service to assess the resulting assessment rates uniformly on all entries of that particular importer made during the POR. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct the Customs Service to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties any entries for which the assessment rate is *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.50 percent). The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to the Customs Service within 15 days of publication of these final results of review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of rebar from Turkey entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be the rates indicated above; (2) for previously investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 16.06 percent, the all others rate established in the LTFV investigation.

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: October 24, 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix—Issues in Decision Memo

Comments

1. Model Matching Hierarchy
2. Clerical Errors in the Preliminary Results
3. Treatment of Ekinciler's U.S. Sales
4. Financing Expenses for Ekinciler
5. Depreciation Expenses for Ekinciler

[FR Doc. 02–27631 Filed 10–29–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–427–817]

Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from France: Notice of Court Decision and Suspension of Liquidation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 24, 2002, in *GTS Industries S.A. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 00–03–00118, Slip Op. 02–115 (CIT 2002), a lawsuit challenging the Department of Commerce's (''the Department's'') *Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from France*, 64 FR 73277 (December 29, 1999) (''*French Plate*''), the Court of International Trade (''CIT'') affirmed the Department's second remand redetermination and entered a judgment order. In this redetermination, the Department reviewed the record evidence regarding the facts and circumstances, including the terms of the sale, of the privatization of Usinor (which owned a majority interest in GTS Industries S.A. (''GTS'') prior to 1996 and a minority interest during the period of investigation (''POI'')), and concluded that no countervailable subsidies were attributable to GTS following the privatization transaction.

As a result of the redetermination, the countervailable subsidy rate for the subject merchandise produced and sold by GTS during the POI was reduced