permit applications, construction waiver certifications, storm water pollution prevention plans (SWPPPs), no exposure certifications, and reports to set appropriate permit conditions, track discharges covered by storm water permits, and assess permit compliance. Other organizations, including EPA's Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance environmental groups, will most likely use the same collected information to assess the regulated community's level of compliance and to measure the overall effectiveness of the NPDES storm water program.

It is expected that respondents will submit information in hard copy form. The information from them will be entered into a computer database and the original document will be filed. The information will be submitted by the respondents directly to each NPDES-authorized State or Territory, or to EPA in areas where EPA is the NPDES permitting authority. Plans are underway to allow electronic submission of much of the required information but these options are not included in the ICR. At the time those options become available, EPA will update this information collection to reflect a revised burden estimate.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15. The **Federal Register** document required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on July 16, 2002; no comments were received.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 21 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: NPDES permittees, including operators of small municipal separate storm sewer systems, small construction activity, and industrial facilities identified in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14)(i)–(ix) and (xi) that qualify for a no exposure exemption.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 327,163.

Frequency of Response: Varies.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 3,873,197.

Estimated Total Annualized Capital, O&M Cost Burden: $0.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the addresses listed above. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1820.03 and OMB Control No. 2040–0211 in any correspondence.

Dated: October 22, 2002.

Doreen Sterling,

Acting Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 02–27837 Filed 10–31–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–6634–5]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or *http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/*.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements.

Filed October 21, 2002, through October 25, 2002.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 020438, DRAFT EIS, NPS, NC, Carl Sandburg Home National Historic Site, General Management Plan, implementation, located in the Village of Flat Rick, Henderson County, NC, comment period ends: December 16, 2002, contact: Tim Bemisderser (404) 562–3124 ext.693.

EIS No. 020439, DRAFT EIS, FRC, ID, Bear River Hydroelectric Project, application for a new license (relicense) for three existing hydroelectric projects: Soda (FERC No. 20–019), Grace-Cove (FERC No. 2401–007) and Oneida (FERC No. 472–017), Bear River Basin, Caribou and Franklin Counties, ID, comment period ends: December 31, 2002, contact: Susan O'Brien (202) 502–8449.

EIS No. 020440, FINAL EIS, IBR, AZ, Reach 11 Recreation Master Plan, Central Arizona Project (CAP) Canal, between Cave Creek and Scottsdale Roads, for recreational purposes, Flood Detention Basin, city of Phoenix, Maricopa County, AZ, wait period ends: December 2, 2002, contact: Sandra Eto (602) 216–3857. This document is available on the Internet at: (*http://www.apo.lc.usbr.gov.*)

EIS No. 020441, DRAFT EIS, FRC, WV, NC, VA, Greenbrier Pipeline Project, (Docket Nos. CPO 2–396–000 and PF 01–1–000), propose to construct and operate a natural gas pipeline and associated above ground facilities, extending from east of Clendenin, Kanawha County, WV, VA and Granville County, NC, comment period ends: December 16, 2002, contact: Magalie R. Salas (202) 502–8659. This document is available on the Internet at: (*http://www.ferc.gov.*)

EIS No. 020442, DRAFT EIS, COE, FL, Ona Mine Project, proposes to construct and operate a surface mine for the recovery of phosphate rock, in Western Hardee County, FL, comment period ends: December 16, 2002, contact: Charles A. Schnepel (813) 840–2908. This document is available on the Internet at: *www.saj.usace.army.mil/permit/hot-topies/hot-topics.htm.*

EIS No. 020443, DRAFT EIS, NRC, FL, Generic EIS–License renewal of nuclear plants for the St. Lucie Units 1 and 2, Supplement 11, NUREG–1437, implementation, Hutchinson Island, St. Lucie County, FL, comment period ends: January 15, 2003, contact: Dr. Michael T. Masnik (301) 415–1191. This document is available on the Internet at: *http://www.nrc.gov/Reading-rm.html.*

EIS No. 020444, DRAFT EIS, FTA, NC, South Corridor Light Rail Project, to provide light rail service between the town of Pineville and Charlotte's downtown, city of Charlotte, Charlotte-Mecklenburg County, NC, comment period ends: December 16, 2002, contact: Alex McNeil (404) 564–3511.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 010305, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, FAA, MN, Flying Cloud Airport, substantive changes to alternatives and new information, extension of the runways 9R/27L and 9L/27R, long-term comprehensive development, in the city of Eden Prairie, Hennepin County, MN, due: January 22, 2003, contact: Glen Orcutt (612) 713–4354. Revision of FR notice published on 8/30/2002: CEQ comment period ending

10/25/2002 has been extended to 1/22/2003.

EIS No. 220343, DRAFT EIS, SFW, CA, Natomas Basin Habitat Conservation Plan, issuance of incidental take permit and the adoption of an implementing agreement or agreements, Natomas Basin, Sacramento and Sutter Counties, CA, due: October 28, 2002, contact: Vicki Campbell (916) 414–6600. Revision of FR notice published on 10/11/2002: CEQ comment period ending on 10/28/2002 has been extended to 12/2/2002.

Dated: October 29, 2002.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 02–27826 Filed 10–31–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–6634–6]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 12, 2002 (67 FR 17992).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–AFS–K65245–AZ Rating EC2, Kachina Village Forest Health Project, forest health improvements and wildfire reduction potentials on national forest system land, implementation, Coconino National Forest, Mormon Lake Ranger District, Coconino County, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns related to transportation system planning, fire risk conditions on adjacent private lands, ecological justification for harvesting large trees, funding for mitigation and details of road decommissioning. EPA requested this information be included in the final EIS.

ERP No. D–AFS–K65246–AZ Rating LO, Flagstaff/Lake Mary ecosystem analyses area, amendment to the Coconino Forest Plan, implementation, Coconino National Forest, Peaks and Mormon Lake Ranger Districts, Coconino County, AZ.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the proposed action.

ERP No. D–AFS–K65364–CA Rating LO, Red Star Restoration Project, removal of fire-killed trees, fuel reduction, road reconstruction and decommissioning and associated restoration, Tahoe National Forest, Foresthill Ranger District, Placer County, CA.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the proposed project, given that the ecological restoration activities, including road decommissioning, mitigation measures, and monitoring are implemented as described in the Draft EIS.

ERP No. D–BLM–K65242–CA Rating LO, Coachella Valley California Desert Conservation Area Plan Amendment, Santa Rosa and San Jacinto Mountains Trails Management Plan, implementation, Riverside and San Bernardino Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the proposed plan, and requested that additional information concerning adaptive management and monitoring be provided in the Final EIS.

ERP No. D–BPA–L08062–WA Rating EC2, Grand Coulee-Bell 500–kV Transmission Line Project, construction and operation, U.S. Army COE section 10 permit issuance, Douglas, Lincoln, Grant Spokane Counties, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the alternatives, air quality, cultural resources, water quality, characterization of expected effects and threatened and endangered species. EPA requested additional information be added to the EIS to more fully discuss alternative actions, how the project will comply with existing TMDLs, clearly define resources at risk and include a biological assessment.

ERP No. D–COE–G01015–TX Rating LO, Three Oaks Mine Project, construction and operation of a surface lignite mine, U.S. Amry COE section 404 permit issuance, Lee and Bastrop Counties, TX.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the selection of the preferred alternative. EPA requested that clarification information be added to several items to strengthen the Final EIS.

ERP No. D–NPS–K65244–CA Rating LO, Yosemite Fire Management Plan, alternative for carrying out the fire management program, implementation, Yosemite National Park, Sierra Nevada, Mariposa, Tuolumne, Madera and Mono Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the proposed plan and commended the Park Service for its thorough and user friendly Draft EIS.

ERP No. DS–AFS–J65314–MT Rating LO, Meadow Smith Project, new and additional information concerning management actions designed to maintain the presence of and protect the unique characteristics of open-grow, large-tree ponderosa pine and western larch forest communities, Flathead National Forest, Swan Lake Ranger District, Lake and Missoula Counties, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed lack of objections and noted opportunities for increased mitigation measures with no more than minor changes to the proposed action resulting in improved aquatic health, especially improved fish passage.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–BLM–K65231–CA, Northern and Eastern Mojave Planning Area (NEMO), California Desert Conservation Area Plan Amendments, implementation Mojave Desert, CA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–BLM–K65330–CA, Northern and Eastern Colorado Desert Plan (Plan), implementation, comprehensive framework for managing species and habitats (BLM), Joshua Tree National Park (JTNP) and Chocolate Mountains Aerial Gunnery Range, California Desert, Riverside, Imperial and San Bernardino Counties, CA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–NPS–K65229–CA, Santa Cruz Island Primary Restoration Plan, implementation, Channel Island National Park, Santa Cruz Island, Santa Barbara County, CA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. FA–NOA–E91007–00, South Atlantic Region Shrimp Fishery Management Plan, amendment 5, additional information concerning rock shrimp in the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ), NC, SC, FL and GA.

Summary: EPA's previous issues have been resolved. Therefore, EPA has no objection to the proposed action and supports additional future amendments describing actions intended to generate data on bycatch and characteristics of rock shrimp essential habitats.

Dated: October 29, 2002.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 02–27827 Filed 10–31–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P