I–35 Interchange west to Meridian Avenue, Funding, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma County, OK, Wait Period Ends: March 18, 2002, Contact: Lubin Quinones (405) 605–6174.

EIS No. 020059, Draft EIS, FRC, WA, Martin Creek Hydroelectric Project (FERC Project No. 10942), Construction, Operation and Maintenance of a 10.2-Megawatt (MW) Hydroelectric Run-of-River Facility, License Approval, Cascade Mountains, Martin and Kelley Creeks, Mt. Baker-Sqoqualmie National Forest, King County, WA, Comment Period Ends: April 1, 2002, Contact: David Turner (202) 019–2814.

EIS No. 020060, Draft EIS, BLM, MT, Montana Statewide Conventional Oil and Gas and Coal Bed Methane Gas Exploration and Development Management Plan within the Bureau of Land Management's Powder River and Billings Resources Management Plan Areas and the State of Montana, Implementation, MT, Comment Period Ends: May 15, 2002, Contact: Mary Bloom (406) 233–3649.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 010528, Draft EIS, AFS, MO, Oak Decline and Forest Health Project, To Improve Forest Health, Treat Affected Stands, Recover Valuable Timber Products, Promote Public Safety, Potosi and Salem Ranger Districts, Mark Twain National Forest, Crawford, Dent, Iron, Reynolds, Shannon and Washington, MO, Comment Period Ends: February 19, 2002, Contact: Karen Mobley (573) 729–6656. Revision of FR Notice Published on 12/01/2001: CEQ Comment Period Ending 02/04/2002 has been extended to 02/19/2002.

EIS No. 010545, Final EIS, COE, TN, Adoption—Upper Tennessee River Navigation Improvement Project, Rehabilitation and/or Construction, Chickamauga Dam—Navigation Lock Structural Improvement Alternative, Funding, NPDES, US Coast Guard Bridge and US Army COE Section 404 Permits Issuance, Tennessee River, Hamilton County, TN Contact: Wayne Easterling (615) 736–7847. US Army Corps of Engineers (COE) has adopted the Tennessee Valley Authority's FEIS #960147, filed with the US Environmental Protection Agency on 03/29/1996. COE was a Cooperating Agency for the above final EIS. Recirculation of the document is not necessary under Section 1506.3(c) of the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations. Revision of FR notice published on 02/08/2002: CEQ Accession Number Changed from 020043 to 010545. The above FEIS should have appeared in the FR on 12/21/2001.

EIS No. 010546, Draft Supplement, COE, TN, Chickamauga Dam Navigation Project, New and Updated Information concerning Cumulative Effects and Compliance with Section 106 of the Historic Preservation Act, NPDES, US Army COE Section 404 and US Coast Guard Permits Issuance, TennesseeRiver, Hamilton County, TN, Due: February 04, 2002, Contact: Wayne Easterling (615) 736–7847. Revision of FR notice published on 02/08/2002: Due to an Administrative Error by US Army Corps of Engineers (COE) the above DSEIS was not properly filed with the US EnvironmentalProtection Agency. COE has confirmed that distribution of the DSEIS was made available to federal agencies and interested parties for the 45-Day Comment Period beginning on 12/21/2001 and ending 02/04/2002. For further information contact Mr. Wayne Huddleston at (615)736–7842. Change CEQ Accession No. 020055 to 010546 and Change CEQ Comment Period Ending 03/25/2002 to 02/04/2002.

Dated: February 12, 2002.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 02–3755 Filed 2–14–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–6626–6]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated May 18, 2001 (66 FR 27647).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–FHW–G40168–LA Rating EC2, Bayou Barataria Bridge/LA–302 Replacement, LA–45/Jean Lafitte Boulevard to LA–3257/Privateer Boulevard, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 404 and U.S. Coast Guard Bridge Permits Issuance, Communities of Jean Lafitte and Barataria, Jefferson Parish, LA.

Summary: EPA has environmental concerns and requests additional information in the final EIS. Areas of concern include: consideration of additional alternatives, more balance in the assessment of the nature and extent of likely environmental impacts, correction of apparent inconsistencies or contradictions, and additional clarifications in the impact analysis.

ERP No. D–FHW–K40249–CA Rating EC2, Lincoln Bypass Construction, South of Industrial Boulevard to North of Riosa Road, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Placer County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the 30.2 acres of wetland impacts from the Park and Ride facility, that cumulative and indirect impacts are not thoroughly analyzed in the DEIS, and the ''AAC2'' alignment should not be ruled out as the preferred alternative.

ERP No. D–FRC–F05123–00 Rating LO, Bond Falls Project, Issuing a New License for Existing Hydroelectric License, (FERC No. 1864–005) Ontonagon River Basin, Ontonagon and Gogebic Counties, MI and Vilas County, WI.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the proposed project.

ERP No. D–FRC–L03011–WA Rating EO2, Georgia Strait Crossing Pipeline (LP) Project, Construction and Operation, To Transport Natural Gas from the Canadian Border near Sumas, WA to U.S./Canada Border at Boundary Pass in the Strait of Georgia, Docket Nos. CP01–176–000 and CP01–179–000, Whatcom and San Juan Counties, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections to the proposed project due to a lack of detailed evaluation of alternatives, lack of evaluation of the entire project, the lack of analysis at the ecosystem scale and the lack of integration with the evaluation and decisionmaking processes being conducted in Canada for the Canadian portion of the proposed project. EPA recommended that these issues, along with others, be addressed in the final EIS.

ERP No. DA–COE–E34030–FL Rating EC2, Central and Southern Florida Project, Water Preserve Areas (WPA) Feasibility Study, To Provide a Mechanism for Increased Aquifer Recharge and Surface and Subsurface Water Storage Capacity, Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Broward and Miami-Dade Counties, FL.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns and requested that additional water quality and other

information be provided in the final document.

ERP No. DA–COE–E36167–FL Rating LO, Central and Southern Florida Project, Tamiami Trail Feature (U.S. Highway 41), Modified Water Deliveries to Everglades National Park, Dade County, FL.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the measures proposed to protect the Tamiami roadway from overtopping and structural damages.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–AFS–J65334–MT, Keystone-Quartz Ecosystem Management, Implementation, Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, Wise River Ranger District, Beaverhead County, MT.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns that the proposed action does not provide for improvement/restoration of existing low standard roads that have considerable local impact on stream channels.

ERP No. F–AFS–J65353–MT, Threemile Stewardship Project, Proposed Short-Term and Long-Term Vegetation and Road Management Activities, Ashland Ranger District, Custer National Forest, Powder and Rosebud Counties, MT.

Summary: EPA continues to express environmental concerns regarding the need for adequate oversight of the timber contractor during project implementation via stewardship contracting. EPA requested information on the Forest Service protocol for stewardship contracting that includes multi-party monitoring.

ERP No. F–COE–F35046–OH, Ashtabula River and Harbor Dredging and Disposal Project, Design, Construction, Operation and Maintenance, Ashtabula River Partnership (ARP), Ashtabula County, OH.

Summary: EPA continued to express environmental concerns regarding issues associated with PCBs and radioactive materials.

ERP No. F–COE–K36135–CA, White Slough Flood Control Study, Tidal Circulation Improvements and Section 205 Program Authorities Continuation, Vallejo Sanitation and Flood Control District, City of Vallejo, Solano County, CA.

Summary: EPA found the final EIS adequately addressed most of the issues raised in the comment letter on the DEIS. EPA requested additional information regarding water quality in White Sough, and reiterated support for a water quality monitoring component in the project.

Dated: February 12, 2002.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 02–3754 Filed 2–14–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–7145–4]

Notice of Availability of FY 2002 Funds for Water Quality Cooperative Agreements

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of availability of Water Quality Cooperative Agreement funds.

SUMMARY: EPA Region 4 (Atlanta) announces that $300,000 to $450,000 in FY 2002 funds is available to fund Water Quality Cooperative Agreements. Project proposals are being solicited from state water pollution control agencies, interstate agencies, tribes, and other public or nonprofit private agencies, institutions, and organizations. Through this solicitation, EPA expects to fund from two to nine projects to support the restoration of impaired water bodies in priority watersheds. Applicants may request $50,000 to $150,000 per project proposal, and a five percent nonfederal match is encouraged.

DATES: Project proposals must be postmarked or sent by electronic mail by 5 p.m. on April 2, 2002, in accordance with guidelines provided in the solicitation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The complete solicitation is available through the Internet at *http://www.epa.gov/region4/water/pgtab/cooperativeagreement.html* or by contacting Dorothy Rayfield, Chief, Grants and Technical Assistance Section, at 404/562–9278 or *rayfield.dorothy@epa.gov.* Written inquiries may be sent to the Grants and Technical Assistance Section, Water Management Division, EPA Region 4, Sam Nunn Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Eligible Project Areas:* All projects must be implemented within the geographic boundaries of EPA Region 4, which includes the states of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee and some Tribal lands. To be eligible, the project area must be located within a watershed that contains one or more priority water bodies identified on a 303(d) List of Impaired Waters or documented as impaired by an Indian Tribe in the Region. Priority will be given to watersheds which are 11-digit or 14-digit Hydrologic Unit Codes, and watersheds with established implementation plans for improving or restoring water quality. Examples of acceptable implementation plans include local watershed plans, total maximum daily load implementation plans, and river basin plans.

Eligible Project Areas: Eligible activities are those that support the restoration of impaired waters in priority watersheds. Examples of eligible activities include watershed coordination, education, and evaluation of the effectiveness of best management practices in preventing or reducing water pollution. EPA will give priority to projects which actively involve stakeholders and focus on one of the following program areas: Concentrated animal feeding operations, sanitary sewer overflows, combined sewer overflows, storm water, wetlands protection, or biosolids management. Further priority will be given to activities that directly support the implementation of existing implementation plans to improve or restore water quality. In order to receive maximum benefits from the limited funds available, additional consideration will be given to projects which build capacity to protect the watershed, and projects which result in methodologies or outputs that can be used in other watersheds.

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1254(b)(3) Pub. L. 100–4.

Dated: February 1, 2002.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 02–3770 Filed 2–14–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP–00657A; FRL–6824–8]

EPA-USDA Committee To Advise on Reassessment and Transition (CARAT); Notice of Public Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA-U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Committee to Advise on Reassessment and Transition (CARAT) will hold a public meeting on February 27 and 28, 2002. This meeting will focus on tolerance reassessment for