

**PART 52—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

**Subpart AA—Missouri**

2. In § 52.1320(c) the table is amended under Chapter 6 by revising the entry for “10–6.060” to read as follows:

**§ 52.1320 Identification of plan.**

\* \* \* \* \*  
(c) \* \* \*

**EPA-APPROVED MISSOURI REGULATIONS**

Missouri citation	Title	State effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation
<b>Missouri Department of Natural Resources</b>				
<b>Chapter 6—Air Quality Standards, Definitions, Sampling and Reference Methods, and Air Pollution Control Regulations for the State of Missouri</b>				
10–6.060 .....	Construction Permits Required.	5/30/02	8/27/02 and FR cite.	Section 9, pertaining to hazardous air pollutants, is not part of the SIP.

\* \* \* \* \*  
[FR Doc. 02–21667 Filed 8–26–02; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[MO 158–1158a; FRL–7267–3]

**Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Missouri**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is approving a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the state of Missouri. This revision pertains to the state’s compliance monitoring usage rule. This revision corrects a reference in the rule so that Federal monitoring methods are now acceptable as a means to demonstrate compliance. This revision will ensure consistency between the state and Federally-approved rules, and ensure Federal enforceability of the state’s revised rule.

**DATES:** This direct final rule will be effective October 28, 2002, unless EPA receives adverse comments by September 26, 2002. If adverse comments are received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to Wayne Kaiser, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and

Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101. Copies of documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the above-listed Region 7 location. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the office at least 24 hours in advance.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Wayne Kaiser at (913) 551–7603.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean EPA. This section provides additional information by addressing the following questions:

- What Is an SIP?
- What Is the Federal Approval Process for an SIP?
- What Does Federal Approval of a State Regulation Mean to Me?
- What Is being Addressed in This Document?
- Have the Requirements for Approval of an SIP Revision Been Met?
- What Action Is EPA Taking?

**What Is an SIP?**

Section 110 of the Clean Air Act (CAA) requires states to develop air pollution regulations and control strategies to ensure that state air quality meets the national ambient air quality standards established by EPA. These ambient standards are established under section 109 of the CAA, and they currently address six criteria pollutants. These pollutants are: Carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, ozone, lead, particulate matter, and sulfur dioxide.

Each state must submit these regulations and control strategies to us for approval and incorporation into the Federally-enforceable SIP.

Each Federally-approved SIP protects air quality primarily by addressing air pollution at its point of origin. These SIPs can be extensive, containing state regulations or other enforceable documents and supporting information such as emission inventories, monitoring networks, and modeling demonstrations.

**What Is the Federal Approval Process for an SIP?**

In order for state regulations to be incorporated into the Federally-enforceable SIP, states must formally adopt the regulations and control strategies consistent with state and Federal requirements. This process generally includes a public notice, public hearing, public comment period, and a formal adoption by a state-authorized rulemaking body.

Once a state rule, regulation, or control strategy is adopted, the state submits it to us for inclusion into the SIP. We must provide public notice and seek additional public comment regarding the proposed Federal action on the state submission. If adverse comments are received, they must be addressed prior to any final Federal action by us.

All state regulations and supporting information approved by EPA under section 110 of the CAA are incorporated into the Federally-approved SIP. Records of such SIP actions are maintained in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at Title 40, Part 52, entitled “Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans.” The actual state regulations which are approved are not reproduced in their entirety in the CFR outright but are “incorporated by reference,” which means that we have

approved a given state regulation with a specific effective date.

### What Does Federal Approval of a State Regulation Mean to Me?

Enforcement of the state regulation before and after it is incorporated into the Federally-approved SIP is primarily a state responsibility. However, after the regulation is Federally approved, we are authorized to take enforcement action against violators. Citizens are also offered legal recourse to address violations as described in section 304 of the CAA.

### What Is Being Addressed in This Document?

On April 26, 2002, we received a request from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources to amend the Missouri SIP. This request pertained to rule 10 CSR 10-6.280, Compliance Monitoring Usage. The purpose of this rule is to establish acceptable alternate compliance certification methods for sources submitting compliance certifications and to establish credible evidence of compliance.

Prior to this revision, the state rule made reference to rule 10 CSR 10-6.290, Enhanced Monitoring, which was to be adopted in the future. This rule was never developed. The state decided instead to reference the monitoring methods in 40 CFR part 64 as one of the methods available to sources needing to submit a compliance certification and to establish credible evidence of compliance. Therefore, the state rule has been revised in two places to delete the reference to 10 CSR 10-6.290, and to add the reference to 40 CFR part 64.

Specifically, paragraph 1 of subsections (A) and (B), section (3) General Provisions, was revised to read as follows, "Monitoring methods outlined in 40 CFR part 64."

Since this revision corrects the reference to a non-existing rule and now references the federal monitoring methods, we are taking action to approve this revision to the Missouri SIP.

### Have the Requirements for Approval of an SIP Revision Been Met?

The state submittal has met the public notice requirements for SIP submissions in accordance with 40 CFR 51.102. The submittal also satisfied the completeness criteria of 40 CFR part 51, appendix V. In addition, as explained above and in more detail in the technical support document which is part of this document, the revision meets the substantive SIP requirements of the CAA, including section 110 and implementing regulations.

### What Action Is EPA Taking?

We are processing this action as a final action because the revisions make routine changes to the existing rules which are noncontroversial. Therefore, we do not anticipate any adverse comments. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on part of this rule and if that part can be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those parts of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

Final action: EPA is approving as an amendment to the Missouri SIP, revisions to rule 10 CSR 10-6.280, Compliance Monitoring Usage, pursuant to section 110 of the CAA.

### Administrative Requirements

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4).

This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255,

August 10, 1999). This action merely approves a state rule implementing a Federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the CAA. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045, "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove an SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews an SIP submission, to use VCS in place of an SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the CAA. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by October 28, 2002. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: August 12, 2002.  
**William A. Spratlin,**  
*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7.*

Chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

**PART 52—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

**Subpart AA—Missouri**

2. In § 52.1320(c) the table under Chapter 6 is amended by revising the entry for “10–6.280” to read as follows:

**§ 52.1320 Identification of plan.**

\* \* \* \* \*  
 (c) \* \* \*

**EPA-APPROVED MISSOURI REGULATIONS**

Missouri citation	Title	State effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation
Missouri Department of Natural Resources				
* * * * *				
Chapter 6—Air Quality Standards, Definitions, Sampling and Reference Methods, and Air Pollution Control Regulations for the State of Missouri				
10–6.280	Compliance Monitoring Usage	3/30/02	8/27/02 and FR cite	
* * * * *				

\* \* \* \* \*  
 [FR Doc. 02–21659 Filed 8–26–02; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[CA 268–0360; FRL–7263–8]

**Determination of Attainment of the 1-Hour Ozone Standard for the Santa Barbara County Area, CA**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** In this rulemaking, EPA is finalizing its determination that the Santa Barbara County area has attained the 1-hour ozone air quality standard by

the deadline required by the Clean Air Act (CAA). EPA is also finalizing its approval of the 1-hour ozone contingency measures as revisions to the Santa Barbara portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This rule is effective on September 26, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** You can inspect copies of the docket for this action at EPA’s Region 9 office during normal business hours. You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revision at the following locations:

U.S. EPA, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.  
 California Air Resources Board, 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814  
 Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, 26 Castilian Drive, Suite B–23, Goleta, CA 93117

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dave Jesson, EPA Region 9, (415) 972–3957, or *Jesson.David@epa.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document, “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to EPA.

**I. Proposed Action**

On July 1, 2002 (67 FR 44128), we proposed to find that the Santa Barbara County nonattainment area (“Santa Barbara area”) had attained the 1-hour ozone national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) by the applicable deadline of November 15, 1999. We also proposed to approve under CAA section 110(k)(3) the contingency measures in Santa Barbara’s 2001 Clean Air Plan, as shown below in “Table 1—Contingency Measures.”

**TABLE 1.—CONTINGENCY MEASURES**

[Source: 2001 Clean Air Plan, Table 4–3]

Rule No.	CAP control measure ID	Description	Adoption schedule	Emission reductions in tons per day (with full implementation)	
				VOC	NO <sub>x</sub>
323	R–SC–1	Architectural Coatings (Revision)	2001–2003	0.0998	0
333	N–IC–1	Stationary IC Engines	2002–2003	0.0008	0.0128
	N–IC–3				
360	N–XC–2	Large Water Heaters & Small Boilers, Steam Generators, Process Heaters (75,000 Btu/hr to <2 MMBtu/hr).	2001–2003	0	1.0133
321	R–SL–1	Solvent Degreasers (Revision)	2004–2006	0.0562	0
362	R–SL–2	Solvent Cleaning Operations	2004–2006	1.0103	0
363	N–IC–2	Gas Turbines	2004–2006	0	0
358	R–SL–4	Electronic Industry—Semiconductor Manufacturing	2007–2009	20.0026	0