

standpoint would be the question of germaneness. . . . The Chair overruled the point of order. . . .

MR. [JOHN E.] RANKIN [of Mississippi]: As a matter of fact, the rule waiving points of order would apply to any point of order that an amendment was legislation on an appropriation bill.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair is not at all passing upon that question. . . .

MR. CASE of South Dakota: Mr. Chairman, since that question has been raised, may we have a ruling on the question whether or not the rule waives points of order as against amendments or merely waives points of order against the contents of the bill?

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair is called upon to rule only upon the point of order made and cannot rule upon other points of order not pertinent to the pending amendment. The Chair has overruled the point of order.<sup>(17)</sup>

## § 8. Consideration Made in Order by Special Rule or Unanimous Consent

### *Special Orders*

#### § 8.1 The form of a modified closed rule reported from the

17. *Parliamentarian's Note*: A special rule (see 91 CONG. REC. 9813, 79th Cong. 1st Sess., Oct. 18, 1945) had provided that the above bill be considered for amendment by appropriation titles. Appropriation bills are, of course, generally read for amendment by paragraphs. See §§ 11.8–11.10, *infra*.

### **Committee on Rules making in order consideration of a joint resolution providing temporary appropriations, fixing debate, and limiting amendments to those offered by direction of the Committee on Appropriations.**

On June 28, 1951,<sup>(18)</sup> a resolution was called up as follows:

MR. [ADOLPH J.] SABATH [of Illinois]: Mr. Speaker, I call up House Resolution 287 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

*Resolved*, That immediately upon the adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to move that the House resolve itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 277) making temporary appropriations for the fiscal year 1952, and for other purposes. That after general debate, which shall be confined to the joint resolution and continue not to exceed 3 hours, to be equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations, the joint resolution shall be read for amendment. No amendment shall be in order to said joint resolution except amendments offered by the direction of the Committee on Appropriations. At the conclusion of the consideration of the joint resolution for amendment, the Committee shall rise and report the joint resolution to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted, and the pre-

18. 97 CONG. REC. 7408, 82d Cong. 1st Sess.

vious questions shall be considered as ordered on the joint resolution and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit.

**§ 8.2 The form of a resolution providing for consideration of a general appropriation bill and waiving points of order against the bill or any of the provisions contained therein, excepting a specific paragraph, is set out below.**

On Apr. 7, 1949,<sup>(1)</sup> the following resolution was read:

*Resolved*, That upon the adoption of this resolution, notwithstanding any rule of the House to the contrary, it shall be in order to move that the House resolve itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 4046) making appropriations to supply deficiencies in certain appropriations for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1949, and for other purposes, and all points of order against the bill or any of the provisions contained therein are hereby waived excepting the provision appearing on page 19, lines 18 to 21, inclusive, in the paragraph under the heading "General Provisions." That after general debate, which shall be confined to the bill and continue not to exceed 2 hours, to be equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations, the bill shall be read

1. 95 CONG. REC. 4113, 81st Cong. 1st Sess.

for amendment under the 5-minute rule. At the conclusion of the reading of the bill for amendment, the Committee shall rise and report the same to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted, and the previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit.

***Deficiency Appropriations***

**§ 8.3 An illustrative resolution, making in order consideration of the first deficiency appropriation bill of 1949, notwithstanding the requirement that committee reports and hearings on appropriation bills be made available three calendar days before consideration, is set out below.**

On Feb. 15, 1949,<sup>(2)</sup> a resolution was called up as follows:

MR. [ADOPH J.] SABATH [of Illinois]: Mr. Speaker, I call up House Resolution 99 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

2. 95 CONG. REC. 1214, 81st Cong. 1st Sess. Under §139(a) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946, committee reports and hearings were required to be made available three calendar days before general appropriation bills were to be considered. See Rule XXI clause 7, *House Rules and Manual* §848 (1981)

*Resolved*, That, notwithstanding any rule of the House to the contrary, it shall be in order on Tuesday, February 15, 1949, to move that the House resolve itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2632) making appropriations to supply urgent deficiencies for the fiscal year 1949, and for other purposes, and all points of order against the bill or any of the provisions contained therein are hereby waived. That after general debate which shall be confined to the bill and continue not to exceed three hours, to be equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations, the bill shall be read for amendment under the 5-minute rule. At the conclusion of the reading of the bill for amendment, the Committee shall rise and report the same to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted, and the previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit.

**§ 8.4 Pursuant to a special order previously agreed to, a joint resolution continuing appropriations has been called up as if privileged and considered in the House as in the Committee of the Whole.**

On June 24, 1969,<sup>(3)</sup> the following proceedings took place in the House:

3. 115 CONG. REC. 17015-17, 91st Cong. 1st Sess. See also 109 CONG. REC. 20361, 20362, 88th Cong. 1st Sess., Oct. 28, 1963

MR. [GEORGE H.] MAHON [of Texas]: Mr. Speaker, pursuant to the order of the House of June 19, 1969, I call up House Joint Resolution 790, making continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 1970 and for other purposes, and ask unanimous consent that it be considered in the House as in the Committee of the Whole.

The Clerk read the title of the joint resolution

THE SPEAKER:<sup>(4)</sup> Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the joint resolution.

***Special Order Rejected***

**§ 8.5 The House has rejected a resolution providing for consideration of a joint resolution continuing appropriations.**

On Oct. 1, 1964,<sup>(5)</sup> a Member called up a resolution as follows:

MR. [HOWARD W.] SMITH of Virginia: Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules I call up House Resolution 892, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

*Resolved*, That immediately upon the adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to move that the House resolve itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consider-

4. John W. McCormack (Mass.)
5. 110 CONG. REC. 23361, 23363, 23364, 88th Cong. 2d Sess.

ation of the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 1183), making continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 1965, and for other purposes. That after general debate, which shall be confined to the joint resolution and continue not to exceed one hour, to be equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations, the joint resolution shall be read for amendment. At the conclusion of the consideration of the joint resolution for amendment, the Committee shall rise and report the joint resolution to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted, and the previous question shall be considered as ordered on the joint resolution and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit. . . .

MR. SMITH of Virginia: Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question

The previous question was ordered

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE:<sup>(6)</sup> The question is on the resolution.

MR. [CLARENCE J.] BROWN of Ohio: Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The question was taken; and there were—yeas 160, nays 193, not voting 78. . . .

So the resolution was rejected.<sup>(7)</sup>

### ***Debate on Special Orders***

#### **§ 8.6 Rejection of the previous question on a special rule**

6. Carl Albert (Okla.).
7. Note: A prior continuing resolution had expired, and the chairman of the Committee on Appropriations had requested a special rule from the Committee on Rules for consideration of a resolution to extend the continuing resolution.

#### **was sought for purposes of opening the special rule to amendment and further debate.**

On Oct. 3, 1967,<sup>(8)</sup> a simple resolution was called up providing for consideration of a joint resolution continuing certain appropriations. It was desired by some Members to vote down the previous question on the special rule, thereby opening it for amendment and debate.<sup>(9)</sup> The following proceedings took place during consideration of the special rule:

MR. [WILLIAM H.] COLMER [of Mississippi]: Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 938 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

#### H. RES. 938

*Resolved*, That upon the adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to move that the House resolve itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 853) making continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 1968, and for other purposes. After general debate, which shall be confined to the joint resolution and shall continue not to exceed one hour, to be equally divided and con-

8. 113 CONG. REC. 27644, 27652, 90th Cong. 1st Sess.
9. For discussion of special rules and their consideration, generally, see Ch. 21, *supra*.

trolled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations, the joint resolution shall be read for amendment. At the conclusion of the consideration of the joint resolution for amendment, the Committee shall rise and report the joint resolution to the House with such amendment as may have been adopted, and the previous question shall be considered as ordered on the joint resolution and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit.

THE SPEAKER:<sup>(10)</sup> The gentleman from Mississippi is recognized.

MR. COLMER: . . . Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question.

THE SPEAKER: The question is on ordering the previous question.

MR. [H. ALLEN] SMITH [of California]: Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered

MR. GERALD R. FORD [of Michigan]: Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry.

THE SPEAKER: The gentleman will state it.

MR. GERALD R. FORD: If the previous question is rejected, then the rule will be open to amendment and there will be debate on any amendments to the rule. Is that correct?

THE SPEAKER: Of course, the gentleman's question answers itself. But the answer, specifically and directly, is "Yes."

MR. GERALD R. FORD: I thank the Speaker

The question was taken; and there were—yeas 213, nays 205, not voting 14. . . .

So the previous question was ordered. . . .

10. John W. McCormack (Mass.).

THE SPEAKER: The question is on the resolution.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

### *Consideration by Unanimous Consent*

#### **§ 8.7 Pursuant to unanimous consent previously obtained, a joint resolution continuing appropriations (or making a special supplemental appropriation) may be called up as if privileged and considered in the House as in the Committee of the Whole**

On Aug. 18, 1964,<sup>(11)</sup> the following proceedings occurred in the House:

MR. [GEORGE H.] MAHON [of Texas]: Mr. Speaker, pursuant to the unanimous-consent agreement obtained yesterday, I call up the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 1160) making continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 1965, and for other purposes, and ask unanimous consent that it be considered in the House as in the Committee of the Whole.

11. 110 CONG. REC. 20055, 88th Cong. 2d Sess.

See also 116 CONG. REC. 21239, 91st Cong. 2d Sess., June 24, 1970 [H.J. Res. 1264]; 115 CONG. REC. 17015-17, 91st Cong. 1st Sess., June 24, 1969 [H.J. Res. 790]; 111 CONG. REC. 26881, 89th Cong. 1st Sess., Oct. 13, 1965; and 111 CONG. REC. 25342, 89th Cong. 1st Sess., Sept. 28, 1965.

The Clerk read the title of the joint resolution.

The Clerk read the joint resolution, as follows:

*Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,* That clause (c) of section 102 of the joint resolution of June 29, 1964 (Public Law 88-325), is hereby amended by striking out "August 31, 1964" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1964".

THE SPEAKER:<sup>(12)</sup> Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

MR. MAHON: Mr. Speaker, I move to strike out the last word.

On Mar. 25, 1969,<sup>(13)</sup> the following proceedings occurred in the House with respect to a joint resolution making a supplemental appropriation:

MR. MAHON: Mr. Speaker, pursuant to the unanimous-consent agreement on yesterday, I call up House Joint Resolution 584, making a supplemental appropriation for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1969, and for other purposes, and ask unanimous consent that the joint resolution be considered in the House as in the Committee of the Whole.

The Clerk read the title of the joint resolution.

THE SPEAKER: Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

12. John W. McCormack (Mass.).

13. 115 CONG. REC. 7378, 7383, 91st Cong. 1st Sess.

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the joint resolution, as follows:

H.J. RES. 584

*Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,* That the following sum is appropriated out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to supply a supplemental appropriation for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1969, and for other purposes; namely:

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

*Commodity Credit Corporation*

For partial restoration of capital impairment of the Commodity Credit Corporation for costs heretofore incurred, \$1,000,000,000.

**§ 8.8 Parliamentarian's Note: A joint resolution continuing appropriations for a fiscal year may be called up as if privileged pursuant to a previous order entered into by unanimous consent, although it had been reported pursuant to Rule XIII clause 2 as nonprivileged by filing in the hopper.**

Procedures like those described above took place on June 28, 1965,<sup>(14)</sup> with respect to a joint resolution making continuing appropriations for fiscal 1966:

MR. [GEORGE H.] MAHON [OF TEXAS]: Mr. Speaker, I call up House Joint

14. See 111 CONG. REC. 14846-50, 89th Cong. 1st Sess.

Resolution 553 making continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 1966, and for other purposes, and I ask unanimous consent that it be considered in the House as in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.

The Clerk read the House joint resolution as follows:

*Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the following sums is appropriated out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, and out of applicable corporate or other revenues, receipts, and funds, for the several departments, agencies, corporations, and other organizational units of the Government for the fiscal year 1966, namely: . . .*

THE SPEAKER:<sup>(15)</sup> Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection. . . .

MR. [CHARLES R.] JONAS [of North Carolina]: Mr. Speaker, I move to strike out the last word. . . .

THE SPEAKER: The question is on the joint resolution.

The joint resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

### ***Consideration on Specified Day***

#### **§ 8.9 A joint resolution providing continuing appropriations for departments and agencies of government, to provide funds until the reg-**

15. John W. McCormack (Mass.).

**ular appropriation bills are enacted, is not a “general appropriation bill,” and not called up as privileged, but a unanimous-consent request may be granted that it be in order for the House to consider such a resolution on a specified day.**

On Sept. 21, 1967,<sup>(16)</sup> Mr. George H. Mahon, of Texas, made the following unanimous-consent request, which was granted:

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that it may be in order on Wednesday, September 27, or any day thereafter, for the House to consider a joint resolution making continuing appropriations.

#### **§ 8.10 Unanimous consent of the House has been obtained on one day to make in order on the following day consideration of a joint resolution providing for continuing appropriations.**

On July 25, 1962,<sup>(1)</sup> the following unanimous-consent request was made and agreed to:

MR. [CLARENCE] CANNON [of Missouri]: Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that it may be in order tomorrow to take up for consideration a House joint resolution to provide con-

16. 113 CONG. REC. 26370, 90th Cong. 1st Sess.

1. 108 CONG. REC. 14731, 87th Cong. 2d Sess.

tinuing appropriations for the month of August.

THE SPEAKER: <sup>(2)</sup> Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.

**§ 8.11 Consideration of a bill making appropriations for a single agency of government for the fiscal year was, by unanimous consent, made in order on a designated day, or any day thereafter.**

On Aug. 15, 1967,<sup>(3)</sup> the following exchange took place:

MR. [JOSEPH L.] EVINS [of Tennessee]: Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that it may be in order on Tuesday next or any day thereafter for the House to consider the National Aeronautics and Space Administration appropriation bill for 1968.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE:<sup>(4)</sup> Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

**§ 8.12 A unanimous-consent request has been granted making in order, on a specified day or on any day subsequent thereto, consideration of a joint resolution continuing appropriations.**

- 2. John W. McCormack (Mass.).
- 3. 113 CONG. REC. 22678, 90th Cong. 1st Sess.
- 4. Carl Albert (Okla.).

On Aug. 21, 1967,<sup>(5)</sup> the following proceedings took place:

MR. [GEORGE H.] MAHON [of Texas]: Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that it be in order on Thursday, August 24, or any subsequent day, to consider a joint resolution making continuing appropriations for the month of September.

THE SPEAKER:<sup>(6)</sup> Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

**§ 8.13 Consideration of a supplemental appropriation bill, providing funds for a single government agency, was made in order on a designated day by unanimous consent of the House.**

On Mar. 24, 1969,<sup>(7)</sup> a unanimous-consent request was made as follows:

MR. [GEORGE H.] MAHON [of Texas]: Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that it may be in order on Tuesday, March 25, for the House to consider a House joint resolution making appropriations for the Commodity Credit Corporation.

THE SPEAKER:<sup>(8)</sup> Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas? . . .

- 5. 113 CONG. REC. 23279, 90th Cong. 1st Sess.
- 6. John W. McCormack (Mass.).
- 7. 115 CONG. REC. 7147, 91st Cong. 1st Sess. See also 109 CONG. REC. 23971, 88th Cong. 1st Sess., Dec. 10, 1963 (foreign aid appropriation bill).
- 8. John W. McCormack (Mass.).

There was no objection

### *Special Order Superseded*

**§ 8.14 Consideration of a supplemental appropriation bill was made in order, by unanimous consent, on a day certain, even though the House had earlier agreed to a special order establishing a different date for taking up the bill.**

On Oct. 11, 1965,<sup>(9)</sup> the following exchange took place:

MR. [GEORGE H.] MAHON [of Texas]: Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that it may be in order on Thursday, October 14, to consider the supplemental appropriation bill for 1966.

THE SPEAKER:<sup>(10)</sup> Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.<sup>(11)</sup>

### *Reports Not Available for Three Days*

**§ 8.15 General debate on two general appropriation bills was made in order on a day certain during the following week by unanimous consent, although reports on those**

9. 111 CONG. REC. 26528, 89th Cong. 1st Sess.

10. John W. McCormack (Mass.).

11. Note: The House had, on Oct. 7, agreed to take up this bill on Oct. 15.

**bills would not be available for the three days required by the rule.**

On June 15, 1972,<sup>(12)</sup> the following proceedings occurred in the House:

MR. [GEORGE H.] MAHON [of Texas]: Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that it may be in order in the House on Tuesday next— clause 6 of rule XXI to the contrary notwithstanding—to have general debate only on the bill making appropriations for public works for water and power development, the Atomic Energy Commission, and certain other agencies for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1973, and to have general debate only on the bill making appropriations for the Treasury Department, the Postal Service, the Executive Office of the President, and certain independent agencies, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1973.

THE SPEAKER:<sup>(13)</sup> Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

### *Consideration Within Same Week*

**§ 8.16 The House has given unanimous consent making in order “on any day later this week” consideration of a joint resolution continuing appropriations.**

12. 118 CONG. REC. 21150, 92d Cong. 2d Sess. See also 94 CONG. REC. 2844, 80th Cong. 2d Sess., Mar. 15, 1948 (agriculture appropriations bill).

13. Carl Albert (Okla.).

On Aug. 24, 1965,<sup>(14)</sup> a unanimous-consent request was made and agreed to as follows:

MR. [GEORGE H.] MAHON [of Texas]: Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that it may be in order on any day later this week to consider a House joint resolution making continuing appropriations for the month of September.

THE SPEAKER:<sup>(15)</sup> Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas? . . .

There was no objection.

**§ 8.17 The unanimous consent of the House has been obtained to make it in order to call up at any time during the week a joint resolution providing continuing appropriations for departments and agencies of government where the regular appropriation bills had not been passed for the fiscal year.**

On June 22, 1962,<sup>(16)</sup> the following proceedings took place:

MR. [CLARENCE] CANNON [of Missouri]: Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that it may be in order any time next week to call up a joint resolution to provide continuing appropriations for the various Government departments and agencies for the fiscal year beginning July 1.

- 14. 111 CONG. REC. 21545, 89th Cong. 1st Sess.
- 15. John W. McCormack (Mass.).
- 16. 108 CONG. REC. 11410, 87th Cong. 2d Sess.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE:<sup>(1)</sup> Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri? . . .

There was no objection.

***During Following Week***

**§ 8.18 The House has given its consent to make in order consideration during the following week of a joint resolution providing for continuing appropriations**

On June 20, 1963,<sup>(2)</sup> the following exchange took place:

MR. [CLARENCE] CANNON [of Missouri]: Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that it may be in order during the coming week to consider a joint resolution providing continuing appropriations.

THE SPEAKER:<sup>(3)</sup> Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

MR. [H. R.] GROSS [of Iowa]: Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, what is the nature of the continuing resolution?

MR. CANNON: I will say to the distinguished gentleman from Iowa it is the stereotyped continuing resolution such as has been presented, I am sorry to say, every year for a number of years, due to our failure to get all of the appropriation bills through before the

- 1. Carl Albert (Okla.).
- 2. 109 CONG. REC. 11236, 88th Cong. 1st Sess. See also 115 CONG REC. 16630, 16631, 91st Cong. 1st Sess., June 19, 1969.
- 3. John W. McCormack (Mass.).

end of the fiscal year. It follows in general the language of every previous continuing resolution.

THE SPEAKER: Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.

### ***Consideration During Current Month***

#### **§ 8.19 Consideration of a joint resolution providing continuing appropriations was made in order, by unanimous consent, on any day during the current month**

On June 20, 1967,<sup>(4)</sup> the following proceedings took place in the House:

MR. [GEORGE H.] MAHON [of Texas]: Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that it may be in order on Monday, June 26, or any succeeding day in June, to consider a joint resolution making continuing appropriations.

THE SPEAKER:<sup>(5)</sup> Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

### ***At Any Time***

#### **§ 8.20 By unanimous consent, a House joint resolution continuing certain appropriations for a department of the**

4. 113 CONG. REC. 16420, 90th Cong. 1st Sess.

5. John W. McCormack (Mass.).

**government has been made in order for consideration at any time.**

On Oct. 11, 1962,<sup>(6)</sup> a Member addressed Speaker John W. McCormack, of Massachusetts, as follows, and proceedings ensued as indicated below:

MR. [JAMIE L.] WHITTEN [of Mississippi]: Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Appropriations I submit a report (Rept. No. 2551) on the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 903) making continuing appropriations for the Department of Agriculture and related agencies for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1963, and for other purposes and ask unanimous consent that it may be taken up at any time

THE SPEAKER: The Clerk will report the joint resolution.

The Clerk read as follows:

*Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That there is appropriated out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, and out of applicable corporate and other revenues, receipts, and funds, such amounts as may be necessary for continuing projects or activities which were conducted in the fiscal year 1962 by the Department of Agriculture. . . .*

THE SPEAKER: The joint resolution is referred to the Union Calendar and ordered to be printed.

Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Mississippi [Mr. Whitten] that it be in order to consider the joint resolution at any time? . . .

6. 108 CONG. REC. 23206, 23207, 87th Cong. 2d Sess.

There was no objection.

***Immediate Consideration  
When Introduced***

**§ 8.21 A joint resolution providing appropriations for mileage for the Vice President, Senators, Representatives, and for other expenses incident to a special session of Congress, was given immediate consideration.**

On Sept. 25, 1939,<sup>(7)</sup> a Member introduced a resolution as follows, and proceedings were as indicated below:

MR. [EDWARD T.] TAYLOR [of Colorado]: Mr. Speaker, I send to the desk a joint resolution and ask unanimous consent for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the joint resolution, as follows:

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 384

*Resolved, etc.,* That the following sums are hereby appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the payment of expenses incident to the second session of the Seventy-sixth Congress, namely:

For mileage of the President of the Senate and of Senators, \$51,000.

For mileage of Representatives, the Delegate from Hawaii, and the Resident Commissioner from Puerto Rico, and for expenses of the Delegate from Alaska, \$171,000.

For the payment of 21 pages for the Senate and 48 pages for the

House of Representatives, at \$4 per day each, for the period commencing September 21, 1939, and ending with the last day of the month in which the Seventy-sixth Congress adjourns sine die at the second session thereof, so much as may be necessary for each the Senate and House of Representatives.

THE SPEAKER: <sup>(8)</sup> Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Colorado?

There was no objection.

**§ 9. Waiver of Points of Order—by Resolution**

***Waiver Agreed to After General Debate***

**§ 9.1 A resolution waiving points of order against a certain provision in a supplemental appropriation bill was considered and agreed to by the House after general debate on the bill had been concluded and reading for amendment had begun in the Committee of the Whole.**

On May 21, 1969,<sup>(9)</sup> the following proceedings took place:

MR. [WILLIAM M.] COLMER [of Mississippi]: Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 414 and ask for its immediate consideration.

8. William B. Bankhead (Ala.).

9. 115 CONG. REC. 13246, 13251, 13252, 91st Cong. 1st Sess.

7. 85 CONG. REC. 16, 76th Cong. 2d Sess.