

PRIVATE RELIEF FOR ROBERT  
ANTHONY BROLEY

**HON. BILL McCOLLUM**

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Wednesday, October 7, 1998*

Mr. McCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, today I am introducing a bill for the relief of Robert Anthony Broley. After enactment of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (IIRIRA), Immigration Judges lost most discretion in granting suspension of deportation of certain criminal aliens. Any relief must be sought from Congress. The case of Robert Anthony Broley is, in my opinion, sufficiently compelling to have Congress grant him relief from pending deportation.

Robert is the son of Robert M. Broley and Barbara Broley. Mrs. Broley was born in Canada but is a U.S. citizen, having been naturalized in 1962. Mr. Broley is also a naturalized U.S. citizen. The son, Robert Anthony Broley, was born in Canada in 1966 and remains a Canadian citizen.

Robert Anthony Broley entered the United States with his parents at the age of 2 in November 1968. He lived with his parents in the United States until they accepted employment in Canada when he was nine. Robert Anthony Broley was admitted again in October, 1978 and, for the most part, he has remained here since. He has an American citizen son, Matthew.

Robert Anthony Broley had personal problems beginning with his senior year in high school. He stole checks from his parents in 1990. In 1992 he was convicted of Driving Under the Influence. He stole furniture from his family in 1993 in order to sell it for cash. His parents felt the need to turn him in to the authorities in order to help Robert in the long run. He served 5 months in prison and was released in October, 1993 and given probation, which he violated by returning to Canada.

His father finally convinced Robert Anthony Broley to return to the United States in order to accept the consequences of his actions. While attempting to enter the United States to turn himself in for violating his probation, he was apprehended and is currently serving a term for parole violation with a release date of March 20, 1999. Once released, he is deportable under Section 212(a) and 237(a) of the Immigration and Naturalization Act (as amended by IIRIRA).

While serving time in prison, Robert was involved in a very serious accident that has left his face permanently disfigured. His family feels that their son has completely changed and has suffered for his crimes and that his deportation will hurt Matthew, Robert's American citizen son.

In view of Robert Anthony Broley's situation, insofar that he was arrested because his family felt it would be for his own good, I feel great sympathy for his family's struggles. They never intended for him to be deported. Therefore, I am introducing a private relief bill on behalf of Robert Anthony Broley. I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

TIM LEE CARTER POST OFFICE  
BUILDING

SPEECH OF

**HON. ED WHITFIELD**

OF KENTUCKY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Monday, October 5, 1998*

Mr. WHITFIELD. Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank my colleagues for their support of H.R. 3864, designating the Tim Lee Carter Post Office Building in Tompkinsville, Kentucky. My bill passed the House on a voice vote on October 5, 1998.

Former Congressman Tim Lee Carter was born in Tompkinsville, Kentucky, on September 2, 1910. He attended public schools and graduated from Western Kentucky State College in 1934 and from the University of Tennessee in 1937. He volunteered for military service during the Second World War and served forty-two months as a combat medic and a captain in the 38th Infantry Division. Following the war, Carter practiced medicine in Tompkinsville until 1964.

Tim Lee Carter served with distinction in the House of Representatives for 16 years representing the old 5th District of Kentucky. While in Congress, Carter was a tireless advocate for improvements to the schools, water systems, libraries, airports, roads, and recreation areas of his District. His proudest achievement was the passage of a law to provide for preventive medical care for poor children. In 1966, he gained national attention as the first Republican Congressman to seek a U.S. withdrawal from Vietnam, but he never wavered in his support for those soldiers and voted against cutting off funding for the troops.

Upon retirement, Tim Lee Carter returned to his farm on the Cumberland River with his wife Kathleen Bradshaw Carter and continued to practice medicine until his death in 1987 at the age of 76.

Tim Lee Carter is an outstanding example of the selfless public servant and I hope that the Senate moves expeditiously to pass this legislation before the end of the 105th Congress.

#### INTRODUCTION OF LEGISLATION TO CONSERVE, ENHANCE AND PROTECT AMERICA'S LANDS AND WILDLIFE FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS

**HON. CHRISTOPHER JOHN**

OF LOUISIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Wednesday, October 7, 1998*

Mr. JOHN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to announce the introduction of a landmark piece of legislation that has been crafted by a bipartisan group of members wishing to see a more equitable and prudent use of revenues generated from federal outer continental shelf activities. The bill, entitled "The Conservation and Reinvestment Act of 1998," (CARA) is the product of several months of discussions between Members of Congress, the States and the conservation community regarding a dependable source of funding for our nation's environmental needs. The proposal we introduce today reflects the wisdom of these discussions and is intended to serve as a starting

point to launch a public debate on the merits of the idea underlying this legislation: that a portion of revenues derived from one of our nation's non-renewable resources should be reinvested back into our nation through conservation and recreation programs that will yield benefits today and in the future.

Generally speaking, the bill would dedicate sixty percent of the bonuses, rents and royalties from federal offshore oil and gas leases for conservation of wildlife and their habitats, for parks and recreation in urban and rural areas, and for impact aid for coastal states to mitigate the environmental and public service impacts of offshore oil and gas development. These monies would be classified as mandatory spending, thus ensuring a constant and dependable source of revenue for the conservation and community investments made possible by the legislation. While no budget offsets are contained in this bill, my colleagues and I are committed to working with members of the Budget and Appropriations Committees during the next several months to find acceptable offsets for what we believe to be a sound public policy initiative.

The benefits that would result from adoption of CARA are rivaled only by the dire need for such legislation. In Louisiana, we are experiencing a dramatic loss of over 35 miles per year of our coastline due to erosion and wetlands degradation. Meanwhile, as we watch our coastline erode, billions of dollars are extracted in federal mineral resources off our shores. Currently, fifty percent of the revenues derived from federal oil and gas activities onshore are shared with the host state. However, revenues paid from federal OCS production (beyond 8(g) activities) are not shared with adjacent states. The "Conservation and Reinvestment Act of 1998" will remedy this inequity by sharing an equitable portion of royalties derived from federal OCS production with all coastal states to meet the environmental challenges facing their coastlines.

To my constituents in Southwestern Louisiana, this proposal is all about fairness. Since the 1950's, Louisiana has served as the hub of the offshore oil and gas industry. To put this in perspective, in FY97, \$3.2 billion of the roughly \$4 billion of OCS revenues received by the federal government was generated off the coast of my home state. However, the development of these resources is unavoidably accompanied by environmental and public service impacts in the states that host the development of the OCS. By creating a coastal impact assistance fund, as envisioned in CARA, we can ensure that coastal estuaries and marshes nationwide remain ecologically and economically productive for many years to come. This is accomplished without creating an incentive for new oil and gas development and will have no impact on current OCS leasing moratoria or the President's Executive Order concerning outer continental shelf leasing.

Mr. Speaker, this bill benefits more than just our coastal states by guaranteeing a stable funding source for the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) at its authorized level of \$900 million. This dedicated funding would provide for both state and federal programs included in LWCF, and include important revenues for recreation projects through the Urban Parks and Recreation Recovery Program (UPARR). The benefits of these programs are enjoyed in all fifty states currently, but budgetary constraints have left them seriously

under funded in recent years as appropriators have tried to balance our federal budget. Our proposal breathes new life into these programs by ensuring that a constant source of funds will be available to our towns and states to meet their conservation and recreation needs.

Finally, to assist states in meeting the increased demand for funding programs used for non-game species of wildlife, our bill would reinvest ten percent of the revenues gained from OCS development into a new wildlife and education program. The funds would be distributed through the Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Fund, also known as Pittman-Robertson, which has been a model framework for wildlife conservation and recreation projects since its inception in 1939. However, unlike similar proposals that have been suggested to meet non-game wildlife needs, our proposal does not include a new excise tax on sporting goods to fund the program.

Mr. Speaker, the "Conservation and Reinvestment Act of 1998" creates a responsible framework for meeting current and future conservation needs that will yield environmental, recreational and economic benefits for all Americans. I realize that we have very little time remaining in this Congress, but I urge all of my colleagues to take a close look at this proposal and work with the cosponsors of this bill to improve upon it so that we can reintroduce, consider, and enact legislation during the 106th Congress.

---

CONGRESS UPHOLDING  
COMMITMENT TO VETERANS

---

**HON. DAVID DREIER**

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Wednesday, October 7, 1998*

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I believe that there is no better advocate for our nation's veterans than Vice Admiral James B. Stockdale. Throughout his military career and in his private life, Admiral Stockdale has tirelessly worked on behalf of those who served our country in the Armed Forces. While a prisoner of war in North Vietnam, Stockdale injured himself so that his fellow prisoners could escape torture and punishment. For his service to our country, Admiral Stockdale has been awarded two Purple Hearts, two Distinguished Flying Crosses, three Distinguished Service Medals, four Silver Stars and the Congressional Medal of Honor. In fact, he is the only three or four star officer in the history of the U.S. Navy to wear both aviator wings and the Congressional Medal of Honor.

In a recent speech on the steps of the U.S. Capitol, which I submit for the record, Admiral Stockdale urged Congress to uphold the nation's commitment to our veterans. Mr. Speaker, I believe that we have indeed heeded that advice. Last month, the House approved the Defense Authorization conference report which allows military retirees to join the Federal Employees Health Benefit Plan. Furthermore, yesterday the House approved the fiscal year 1999 VA/HUD Appropriations conference report, which provides \$19 billion for veterans programs, \$439 million more than was requested by President Clinton. In short, I believe that Congress is following Admiral Stockdale's leadership by approving legislation

that honors those who valiantly served our country.

SPEECH DELIVERED BY VICE ADMIRAL JAMES  
B. STOCKDALE

THE CAPITOL STEPS, WASHINGTON, DC,  
SEPTEMBER 22, 1998

Thank you very much for that warm introduction and for the opportunity to join you here today.

Over the years, I have come to Washington many times for many reasons—but on this visit, we come together to focus the nation's attention on our responsibilities to the men and women who have nobly worn the uniform of their Country—the valiant Soldiers, Sailors, Marines, and Air Force personnel who have answered their Country's call to service.

In the history of this wonderful republic, we have celebrated those who have been willing to put their lives on the line—to pay the ultimate sacrifice to protect the ideals that made America great. To protect the basic freedoms that characterize the majesty of the American experiment in defining the relationship between citizens and their government.

As a nation, as a people, we have never hesitated to ask our fellow citizens to don the uniform of their country to fight for—to protect against forces detrimental to the interests of the United States. We have asked our sons and daughters to endure the horrors of war and to serve as agents of peace. We have, for 200 years, always asked, and they have always answered. Any alternative would be unthinkable. But an integral part of this bargain has been a fundamental understanding—a MORAL CONTRACT—that we will not turn away, we will not abrogate our obligations to them after they have done their part for us. For our ideals, and for the preservation of our great nation.

Now, we stand here together with the recognition that this sacred compact has been shattered. With a heavy heart, I have come to this place, to our nation's Capitol, to ask the Congress of the United States to honor America's traditional commitment—a hitherto unquestioned commitment—to its military veterans.

For generations Presidents have approved the promise of free, lifetime medical care for military veterans. Legislative and administrative authority made these promises law. As far back as 1799, the U.S. Government offered free medical and hospital care to Seamen and Marines. In 1995 this all changed.

Now the government says that Veterans over the age of 65—we're talking about World War II and Korean Vets—are no longer eligible for treatment at military hospitals. Rather than fulfilling its historical contract with its fighting men and women, the Government now demands that these retirees must personally supplement Medicare benefits to obtain basic health care.

I am here today to carry this message for everyone who has worn the great uniform of the United States. To urge the Government to do the right thing for all of its retired military service personnel. Many of them are old. Many are sick, and many simply cannot afford to pay the costs of supplemental health care on military retirement pay.

A great American once said, "Old soldiers never die—they merely fade away." I am confident that General Douglas MacArthur would agree with me that they should surely be allowed to "fade away with dignity!"

Today, there are a million and a half retired military men and women, each with a dependent, 3 million all together, who simply cannot afford supplemental health insurance and are not receiving the benefit of the bargain—the bargain the United States Gov-

ernment made with them when they signed up to serve their Country. There are all too many heartbreaking examples of retired GI's who have had to sell their homes, liquidate their savings, or suffer the indignities of inadequate medical care because of the Government's current position. This is shameful. This is un-American. And this is totally unacceptable. I come to Washington to join you in asking our Congressional leaders—Senators Lott and Daschle, and Speaker Gingrich and Minority Leader Gephardt to right this wrong.

To enact legislation to provide lifetime retirement medical care for those Americans—and their dependents—who were willing to put their lives on the line for their Country. Over the last 200 years, America has asked and received so very much from its fighting men and women—now they are asking us for so little in return. For the opportunity to see a doctor. For medical treatment. For medicine.

As the richest, most powerful nation on Earth, I believe the United States of America can and should do the right thing for the very people who have suffered enormous sacrifice and burden to ensure the existence of a society we so enjoy—and a Country we so love.

I hope together, we can right this terrible wrong!

God Bless the United States of America, and God bless and protect the men and women of the United States military services. Thank you very much.

---

HONORING RICHARD EDLER

---

**HON. JERRY WELLER**

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Wednesday, October 7, 1998*

Mr. WELLER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the work and dedication of Mr. Richard Edler, who retired after 35 years and 6 months of service from the U.S. Treasury Department—Internal Revenue Service Collection Division on August 28, 1998.

Mr. E., as he is lovingly called by his counterparts at the I.R.S., has made large contributions to the Internal Revenue Service. Over the 35 years, Richard has been a Revenue Officer, a Compliance Officer, and has held various volunteer assignments including being the employee coordinator for the flu shots at the Olympia field office.

Mr. E. has also done a lot to help out his coworkers during his time at the Internal Revenue Service. Richard was the only person who arrived at the office prior to 6 a.m. every morning. He was always there to make sure to inform the employees if the parking lot conditions were clear of snow or flooding during inclement weather.

Richard Edler's commitment and impact on the Internal Revenue Service, and his service to his coworkers is not only deserving of congressional recognition, but should serve as a model for other government employees to follow.

I urge this body to identify and recognize others in their congressional districts whose actions have so greatly benefited and enlightened America's communities.