

* * * if students were psychologically coerced to remain standing during the invocation, they must also have been psychologically coerced moments before to stand for, and thereby, in the Court's view, to take part in or appear to take part in the Pledge of Allegiance. Must the Pledge, therefore, be barred from the public schools?

I mention that, Mr. Speaker, because there is another U.S. Supreme Court decision, it is 50 years old now, 50 years old this year, relating to the Pledge of Allegiance in public schools. I think, Mr. Speaker, that it incorporates the proper standard, whether you are talking about at the graduation or the classroom setting, the proper standard.

Because in that case, which came out of West Virginia, *West Virginia versus Barnette*, the U.S. Supreme Court said no child can be compelled to say the Pledge of Allegiance. That is fine with me, Mr. Speaker. I do not want to compel someone to say the Pledge of Allegiance if they do not wish to say it. But what the Court did not do was to say that, because one child objects or might object, therefore, they can stop the other children from saying the Pledge of Allegiance.

That ought to be the standard that applies to prayer, to voluntary prayer at public schools or at a school graduation. No one is compelled to participate. The Religious Freedom Amendment makes that explicit. You cannot require any person to join in prayer or other religious activity, but that does not give you the right to censor and silence those who do.

And as Justice Scalia noted here, does this mean that under this test that the Supreme Court applied to graduation prayer, now we are going to have to go back and ban the Pledge of Allegiance from our public schools? Because it is the same coercion to be respectful for that.

Mr. Speaker, it is long overdue that we correct decisions like this that have come from the U.S. Supreme Court, decisions that have used the First Amendment not as a shield of protection for religious freedom of the U.S.A., but as a weapon to stifle simple prayers, simple expressions of faith, whether it be at a school graduation or in a classroom.

Let me read some of the last words that were written by the 4 Justices who stood strong for our values and our traditions and dissented from this decision in *Lee versus Weisman*. Here is what they wrote in closing their decision or their dissent:

The reader has been told much in this case about the personal interest of Mr. Weisman and his daughter and very little about the personal interests on the other side. They are not inconsequential. Church and State would not be such a difficult subject if religion were, as the Court apparently thinks it to be, some purely personal avocation that can be indulged entirely in secret, like pornography in the privacy of one's room. For most believers, it is not that and has never been.

Religious men and women of almost all denominations have felt it necessary to acknowledge and beseech the blessing of God as

a people and not just as individuals, because they believe in the protection of Divine Providence, as the Declaration of Independence put it, not just for individuals, but for societies.

One can believe in the effectiveness of such public worship or one can deprecate and deride it, but the long-standing American tradition of prayer at official ceremonies displays with unmistakable clarity that the establishment clause does not forbid the government to accommodate it.

Nothing, absolutely nothing * * * the closing words of Justice Scalia,

Nothing, absolutely nothing is so inclined to foster among religious believers of various faiths a toleration, no, an affection for one another than voluntarily joining in prayer together. No one should be compelled to do that, but it is a shame to deprive our public culture of the opportunity and, indeed, the encouragement for people to do it voluntarily.

The Baptist or Catholic who heard and joined in the simple and inspiring prayers of Rabbi Guterman on this official and patriotic occasion was inoculated from religious bigotry and prejudice in a manner that cannot be replicated.

To deprive our society of that important unifying mechanism in order to spare the nonbeliever what seems to me the minimal inconvenience of standing or even sitting in respectful nonparticipation is as senseless in policy as it is unsupported in law.

□ 2300

We have had a lot of senseless decisions from the U.S. Supreme Court when it comes to prayer in public schools, at graduation, the ability to have the Ten Commandments displayed in public places, or a nativity scene, a menorah, or it might be an emblem of some other religious holiday at an appropriate time of celebration. But, Mr. Speaker, to strip away the history, the culture, the tradition, the beliefs, the faith and the heritage of the people of the United States of America, not by a joint decision of the people of this country, but by bare majorities or even a 9-to-0 decision of the U.S. Supreme Court, to tromp upon the beliefs and convictions of the people of this country is not justified by the First Amendment.

Mr. Speaker, I do not want to change the Constitution to fix this, but there is no other way, because the Supreme Court has already distorted our First Amendment, using it as a weapon against public expression of faith; using it to censor and to silence simple prayers of hope and faith by children in our schools.

The Religious Freedom Amendment, Mr. Speaker, addresses this, and we will be addressing it in the next few weeks. It has been approved by the Subcommittee on the Constitution; it has been approved by the House Committee on the Judiciary; it will be coming to this floor for a vote, to correct decisions such as this one and others of the U.S. Supreme Court.

I repeat, Mr. Speaker, a simple text, the Religious Freedom Amendment:

To secure the people's right to acknowledge God according to the dictates of conscience. Neither the United States nor any State shall establish any official religion,

but the people's right to pray and to recognize the religious beliefs, heritage or traditions on public property, including schools, shall not be infringed. Neither the United States nor any State shall require any person to join in prayer or other religious activity, proscribe school prayers, discriminate against religion, or deny equal access to a benefit on account of religion.

Religion is something that is good in this country. It has had a positive influence ever since it motivated the pilgrims to come to America and to found this Nation, because they sought religious freedom; they sought the protections that the Supreme Court would deny people today.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support the Religious Freedom Amendment. To those who have not joined the more than 150 cosponsors, I invite them to join and put their name on this amendment and join with us today in that. I hope that their constituents will call their offices and tell them they need to be supporting the Religious Freedom Amendment, they need to put their name on it. They need to be helping Congressman Istook and the others who are supporting this.

Mr. Speaker, this is something that is so vital because our cherished first freedom is being undercut by the Supreme Court that is supposed to be its guardian, and the Constitution sets up a system where if something goes wrong with interpretation of the Constitution, we offer an amendment, because we, Mr. Speaker, are charged to be the protectors of what the Founding Fathers intended, and the Religious Freedom Amendment helps us to provide that protection.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. COBLE (at the request of Mr. ARMEY) for today and March 31 until 1 p.m., on account of official business.

Mr. CANNON (at the request of Mr. ARMEY) for today and the balance of the week, on account of the birth of his child.

Mr. BEREUTER (at the request of Mr. ARMEY) for today, on account of official business.

Mr. SOLOMON (at the request of Mr. ARMEY) for today, on account of official business.

Mr. BLILEY (at the request of Mr. ARMEY) for today, on account of official business.

Mr. YATES (at the request of Mr. GEPHARDT) for today, on account of physical reasons.

Mr. CARDIN (at the request of Mr. GEPHARDT) for today, on account of a death in the family.

SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to address the House, following the legislative program and any special orders heretofore entered, was granted to:

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. FOLEY) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. GIBBONS, for 5 minutes, today.
 Mr. HUTCHINSON, for 5 minutes, today.
 Mr. EWING, for 5 minutes each day, today and on March 31 and April 1.
 Mr. KINGSTON, for 5 minutes each day, today and on March 31.
 Mr. SMITH of Michigan, for 5 minutes each day, on March 31 and April 1.
 (The following Members (at the request of Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)
 Mr. KLINK, for 5 minutes, today.
 Mr. PALLONE, for 5 minutes, today.
 Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas, for 5 minutes, today.
 Mr. FARR of California, for 5 minutes, today.

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

By unanimous consent, permission to revise and extend remarks was granted to:

(The following Members (at the request of Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas) and to include extraneous matter:)

Mr. KIND.
 Mr. MORAN of Virginia.
 Mr. SKELTON.
 Mr. KUCINICH.
 Ms. LOFGREN.
 Ms. DELAURO.
 Mr. CLYBURN.
 Mr. HINOJOSA.
 Mr. TOWNS.
 Mr. LEVIN.
 Mr. FORD.
 Mr. ANDREWS.
 Mr. HALL of Ohio.
 Mr. DINGELL.
 Ms. SANCHEZ.
 Ms. BROWN of Florida.
 Mr. FROST.
 Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas.
 (The following Members (at the request of Mr. FOLEY) and to include extraneous matter:)
 Mr. HAYWORTH.
 Mr. FAWELL.
 Mr. BILBRAY.
 Mr. FRANKS of New Jersey.
 Mr. GILMAN.
 Mr. ENSIGN.
 Mr. FORBES.
 Mr. EVERETT.
 Mr. WOLF.
 Mr. SOLOMON.
 Mr. BALLENGER.
 Mr. CASTLE.
 Mr. HORN.
 (The following Members (at the request of Mr. FARR of California) and to include extraneous matter:)
 Ms. BROWN of Florida.
 Mr. BECERRA.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 12 o'clock and 50 minutes a.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until today, Tuesday, March 31, 1998, at 9:30 a.m. for morning hour debates.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XXIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

8288. A letter from the Congressional Review Coordinator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, transmitting the Service's final rule—Brucellosis in Cattle; State and Area Classifications; Florida [Docket No. 98-014-1] received March 27, 1998, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

8289. A letter from the General Sales Manager and Vice President of Commodity Credit Corporation, Foreign Agricultural Service, transmitting the Service's final rule—Foreign Donation of Agricultural Commodities (RIN: 0551-0035) received March 20, 1998, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

8290. A letter from the Director, Administration and Management, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department's final rule—Department of Defense Grant and Agreement Regulations (RIN: 0790-AG28) received March 24, 1998, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on National Security.

8291. A letter from the Comptroller, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department of the Navy's plans to initiate a multiyear procurement for the AV-8B Harrier aircraft beginning in fiscal year 1998 and continuing through fiscal year 2001; to the Committee on National Security.

8292. A letter from the Assistant to the Board, Federal Reserve System, transmitting the System's final rule—Bank Holding Companies and Change in Bank Control; Clarification to the Board's Section 20 Orders [Regulation Y; Docket No. R-1010] received March 24, 1998, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Banking and Financial Services.

8293. A letter from the Administrator of National Banks, Legislative and Regulatory Activities Division, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, transmitting the Office's final rule—Lending Limits [Docket No. 98-04] (RIN: 1557-AB55) received March 27, 1998, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Banking and Financial Services.

8294. A letter from the Assistant General Counsel for Regulations, Department of Education, transmitting the Department's final rule—Notice of a Final Funding Priority for Fiscal Years 1998-1999 for a Rehabilitation Engineering Research Center—received March 20, 1998, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

8295. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Children and Families, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's final rule—Head Start Program (RIN: 0970-AB53) received March 20, 1998, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

8296. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting the semi-annual report for the period April 1, 1998 to September 30, 1998 listing Voluntary Contributions made by the United States Government to International Organizations, pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 2226(b)(1); to the Committee on International Relations.

8297. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration, Department of Commerce, transmitting the Department's final rule—Removal of Solvent Free Basis Calculation Requirement and Trace Quantity Exemption [Docket No. 980219044-8044-01] (RIN: 0694-AB66) received March 20, 1998,

pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on International Relations.

8298. A letter from the Chairman, Federal Reserve System, transmitting a copy of the annual report in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act during the calendar year 1997, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(j); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

8299. A letter from the Chairman, Merit Systems Protection Board, transmitting the Board's report entitled "The Changing Federal Workplace: Employee Perspectives," pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 1204(a)(3); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

8300. A letter from the Director, Office of Personnel Management, transmitting the Office's final rule—Prevailing Rate Systems; Survey Order Month Change for Jefferson, New York, Nonappropriated Fund Wage Area (RIN: 3206-A101) received March 30, 1998, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

8301. A letter from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training, Department of Labor, transmitting the Department's final rule—Labor Certification Process for the Permanent Employment of Aliens; Researchers Employed by Colleges and Universities, College and University Operated Federally Funded Research and Development Centers, and Certain Federal Agencies (RIN: 1205-AB11) received March 20, 1998, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

8302. A letter from the Commissioner, Immigration and Naturalization Service, transmitting the Service's final rule—Fingerprinting Applicants and Petitioners for Immigration Benefits; Establishing a Fee for Fingerprinting by the Service; Requiring Completion of Criminal Background Checks Before Final Adjudication of Naturalization Applications (RIN: 11150-AF03) received March 19, 1998, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

8303. A letter from the Administrator, Foreign Agricultural Service, transmitting the Service's final rule—Modification of the Tariff-Rate Import Quota Licensing for Certain Cheeses From Hungary [7 CFR Part 6] received March 20, 1998, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

8304. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule—Procedures for Conducting Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders [Docket No. 980313063-8063-01] (RIN: 0625-AA51) received March 19, 1998, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

8305. A letter from the Secretary of Agriculture, transmitting a draft of proposed legislation to provide the Secretary of Agriculture with the authority to pay employees of the Food Safety and Inspection Service working in establishments subject to the Federal Meat Inspection Act and the Poultry Products Inspection Act for overtime and holiday work performed by such employees at rates the Secretary deems appropriate; jointly to the Committees on Agriculture and Government Reform and Oversight.

8306. A letter from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management, Department of Energy, transmitting the Savannah River Site Nuclear Material Stabilization Activities report for fiscal year 1998, as requested in the Conference Report 105-27; jointly to the Committees on Commerce and Appropriations.