This bill will provide students with a strong incentive to achieve academically in high school. This bill will increase the affordability of higher education without increasing the indebtedness of students and their families. This bill will increase the accessibility of a higher education and expand the options of college choice available to students and their families.

I encourage my colleagues to join me in this effort and cosponsor this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I include the following

for the RECORD:

AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION, Washington, DC, February 17, 1998. Hon. JAMES P. MCGOVERN,

U.S. House of Representatives,

Washington, DC.
DEAR REPRESENTATIVE McGOVERN: I write to express my interest in and appreciation for the bill you are sponsoring, the "Incentive for Achievement Through Pell Grants Act," which will establish a program to increase Pell Grant awards to students who graduate in the top 10 percent of their high school class. This bill is clear evidence of your commitment to providing greater access to higher education for students from low- and middle-income families.

Your proposal to provide an incentive to students with early information about the availability of an increased Pell Grant could have a profoundly positive impact on students' academic performances and aspira-tions. This will help to mitigate students' concern that resources necessary to fund a postsecondary education are beyond their financial reach, and will instead motivate them to achieve greater academic success.

I congratulate you for introducing this innovative legislation. I look forward to working with you as reauthorization of the Higher Education Act progresses.

Sincerely,

TERRY W. HARTLE, Senior Vice President

ASSOCIATION OF JESUIT COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES,

Washington, DC, February 17, 1998.

Hon. JAMES P. MCGOVERN,

U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC DEAR CONGRESSMAN MCGOVERN: On behalf of the Association of Jesuit Colleges and Universities, I want to commend and support your initiative in introducing the "Incentive for Achievement Through Pell Grants Act" for needy students who have demonstrated special achievement.

The doubling of the Pell Grant for recipients who graduate in the top 10% of their high school class can provide both an incentive and a reward for those students. This program would send the encouraging message to students struggling to achieve under difficult circumstances that their hard work

and perseverance will be rewarded.

The new Hope Tax Scholarship Credit and Life-Long Learning Tax Credit assist middle income families in providing an education for their children. Your program addresses the needs of lower income families.

Pell Grants have long been a critical component of federal student financial aid programs on our campuses. Our association has consistently worked diligently to preserve these and all campus-based programs at the same time we have significantly increased our own institutional commitment to financial aid for our students. Your new program very importantly supplements these efforts,

rather than replacing them.
Our special thanks to you for this latest example of your leadership, this time in support of deserving and needy students who will help create our nation's future.

Sincerely and gratefully, CHARLES L. CURRIE, S.J., President.

COLLEGES OF WORCESTER CONSORTIUM, Worcester, MA, February 18, 1997. STATEMENT OF PAUL J. LYNSKEY, DIRECTOR OF EDCENTRAL

"Those of us who work with low income college bound students know that the cost of an education is often perceived as a major barrier. We need to do all that we can to encourage these students especially those with exceptional ability, to strive for their ultimate potential in higher education and bevond

> ASSUMPTION COLLEGE. Worcester, MA, February 18, 1998.

DR. CHARLES L. FLYNN, JR. ENDORSES PELL GRANT LEGISLATION

Worcester—Dr. Charles L. Flynn Jr., acting president and provost of Assumption College, spoke in support of Congressman James . McGovern's Pell Grant legislation today. Dr. Flynn remarked, "On behalf of As-

sumption College, it is my pleasure to commend Congressman McGovern for leading the effort to increase Pell Grants. Pell is the federal government's largest, most important program of need-based financial aid. More than any other federal program, it targets low and middle-income students.

Congressman McGovern's proposal to create a 'Double' Pell Grant for students of high academic achievement is particularly impressive. This proposal simultaneously addresses two important national needs. First is the need to make educational opportunity available to all citizens without regard to family wealth. Second is the importance of encouraging outstanding student achievement. Congressman McGovern's legislation will help to keep the doors of higher education open to students who need financial assistance; it will also reward high school students who strive hard, learn more, and earn better grades.

'Last year, 16 percent of Assumption students who applied for financial aid were eligible to receive Pell Grants. The average award to these students was \$1,500. Those Pell Grants were supplemented by other federal and state loans and grants. And by far, the largest amount of financial aid came to students and their families from the College itself. The system I am describing, therefore, is a partnership of colleges, state government, and the federal government. This partnership is essential if we are to continue to be a nation of true opportunity.

Congressman McGovern, you are playing a vital role in the Congress of the United States. At Assumption, we share your view that Congress should do more to ensure op-portunity for low and middle-income students. I hope that everyone here today will send a message to our congressional leadership that the McGovern Bill is important, not only to Central Massachusetts, but also to higher education nationally.

Higher education serves several purposes. As chief academic officer of this liberal arts college, I am particularly aware of the humanizing role of a college education. At Assumption, in reason and in faith, we prepare citizens. We prepare students for the good use of their talents, the responsible exercise of their rights, and the fulfillment of their obligations to others. That is true for our graduates at work, at home, and in the public square. In that way, too, I am keenly aware of the importance of higher education to the future of Central Massachusetts. If we are to have a community of hope and economic opportunity, we must have a highly skilled workforce. The McGovern Bill promises to keep the doors of higher education wide open, and thus to further both the noble and practical ends of our colleges and universities.'

RICHARD P. BURKE, Vice President, Public Affairs.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. WICKER). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 7, 1997, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Mr. RIGGS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. RIGGS addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from New York (Mrs. MALONEY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mrs. MALONEY of New York addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Maine (Mr. ALLEN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. ALLEN addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF REPUBLICAN MAJORITY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. TIAHRT) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. TIAHRT. Mr. Speaker, the Republican Congress has much to be proud of, and the American people who elected us should take heart in the dramatic shift in how our government in Washington is perceived by those it

When I was elected to Congress in November of 1994, the economy and American businesses were reeling from the effects of the largest tax increase in the history of America. Our Nation was also facing a \$200 billion deficit each year for the next 10 years.

However, in 1994, the American electorate turned and supported Republicans around the Nation and endorsed their promise to lower taxes and balance the budget. No longer would Washington spend money it did not have on programs we did not need.

In 1995, the American people entrusted the new Republican majority with the reigns of Congress, handing them the gavel for the first time in 40 vears.

Mr. Speaker, some will tell you that our prosperous economy and our recently restrained budget had nothing to do with the revolution of 1994. They might even say that the political implications of the 1994 election were overstated.

They are wrong. The electoral revolution of 1994 lives today. Each of us in the Republican majority should stand proud and tall, knowing that if American people had not given their trust to us in 1994, and renewed it in 1996, our economy would not be surging, our budget would not be balanced; we would not have had the first tax cut in 16 years, and the stock market would not have more than doubled in just three years. Each of us in the Republican majority can take pride in the new-found hope and confidence of our Nation.

I stand here not to boast of our accomplishments, but to thank the American people for their well-placed trust, and I pledge to them that those of us in the Republican majority will put the needs of families first, always. You see, families do come first, for me, and for the Republican majority.

This afternoon I am proud to say that when I cast votes in this session of the 105th Congress to reduce taxes on the American family, to reform government and its overreaching involvement in our lives, and to restore our precious and sacred rights, including the most fundamental of all, the right-to-life, I will think of a new little Kansan named Jason Robert Searl, Jr., because it is his future, along with the future of all our children, that we determine when we vote in this sacred chamber.

He was born just three days before Christ's birthday at 5:18 in the evening at Via Christi Hospital's St. Francis Campus, in Wichita, Kansas. Really, I should not call him little, because he weighed 8 pounds and 10 ounces and was over 20 inches long.

I want to salute and warmly congratulate Chrissy and Jason Searl. I want to thank them for having the courage to take the toughest job in our world, parenting. I pledge to them and all others who place their trust in the Republican majority that we will continue to live up to the promises we made to all of them, including little Jason.

REMOVING FINANCIAL BURDENS PLACED ON FAMILY PHARMACIES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. BERRY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BERRY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to urge my colleagues' support for legislation I am introducing with Senator DORGAN to eliminate the regulatory and financial burdens placed on America's family pharmacies by the Balanced Budget Act of 1997.

The Balanced Budget Act contained a provision that required all dealers of durable medical equipment for Medicare to obtain a \$50,000 surety bond. Unfortunately, pharmacists were inadvertently included in the surety bond requirement, because some of them do sell small amounts of durable medical equipment such as crutches and other items.

My bill will exempt any licensed pharmacist who owns his or her own business from the bond requirement. It is an unnecessary and costly burden for these professionals, who are already struggling to keep their businesses afloat, particularly in rural areas.

America's family pharmacist is already under siege by drug companies who set prices on pharmaceutical prices. These companies offer reduced or rock-bottom prices to HMOs and other purchasing groups, but do not offer the same discounts to a family pharmacist.

Even if the terms of a recent court settlement are met by the pharmaceutical companies, the family pharmacist in rural areas will likely still not have full access to these discounts.

Who is hurt most by high drug prices? Our pharmacists, increasing numbers of whom are forced to shut down their family-owned businesses in rural areas, and, most important, their patients. It is indeed a crime that here in the world's richest Nation, our seniors must choose between buying groceries and buying prescription drugs.

This legislation will eliminate the costly burden placed upon pharmacies by the Balanced Budget Act, but it will not eliminate the costly burden of the high drug prices that continue to grow by leaps and bounds. I intend to address that issue at a later date.

HANDLING THE SO-CALLED BUDGET SURPLUS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from South Dakota (Mr. Thune) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. THUNE. Mr. Speaker, over the President's Day break I had the opportunity to travel the length and breadth of my great State of South Dakota, and during that time I met with senior groups, with business groups, with education groups, with volunteer groups, with student groups, with community leaders, all across my State.

This is the real world. These are real people who are concerned about their future, their children's future, about their children's education, about affordable health care, about retirement and about the deterioration of American values.

Now, there was an aversion as I traveled across the State, I didn't find anybody who was very much in favor of the situation in the Middle East of our going to war there. I heard a lot a lot of interest in getting a transportation bill passed in the very near future, and I also had a lot of skepticism expressed by the people in my State about the budget situation in Washington, the so-called budget surplus, and what might be the right thing to do with that.

And what is the right thing? That is a question I asked as I traveled the State. And the answer I frequently got from the people of South Dakota, according to them, is to use the budget surplus to the extent there is one to

pay down, begin retiring our \$5.5 trillion debt, to repay the Social Security Trust Fund. Beyond that, there wasn't much appetite for new Washington programs and new Washington spending. Instead, people would like to see those dollars, to the extent there are any additional dollars available, returned to the taxpayers.

Now, in deciding how best to do that, I came up with an idea which is now in the form of legislation, and I have introduced along with the gentlewoman from California (Ms. Dunn) a couple of tax relief bills which I think are consistent with two principles that are very important as we debate tax relief

in this country.

The first principle is that we ought to be looking at how we can come up with tax relief legislation that is broad-based. We hear a lot from the White House, from Members even in this body, about targeted tax relief, about Washington picking winners and losers. In my own view, the best way we can deal with the issue of tax relief is to do it in a way that allows everyone in this country to participate from a growing economy and benefit from a growing economy.

So our legislation is based upon the principle that everyone, irrespective of what your status is, whether you are married, whether you have children or any other issue, that you ought to be able to, if you are a taxpayer, have the

benefits of tax relief.

The second principle is this: It ought to lead us toward the goal of simplification. As we move to the long-term goal of a new Tax Code for a new century, it ought to be about trying to come up with a way in which we further simplify, rather than further complicate, the Tax Code in this country.

I, a couple of weeks ago, did my own tax return, and I can tell you that even though last summer in the balanced budget agreement we lowered taxes on people in this country, we made the Code even more complicated than it already is.

I think an underlying fundamental principle of any tax relief that we do ought to be moving us toward the goal of simplification. So, in doing that, we came up with a couple of ideas.

The first raises the personal exemption from \$2,700 to \$3,400. Again, anybody in this country who is a taxpayer claimed as a dependent on a tax return gets the benefit of that tax proposal.

The second proposal actually raises the late rate at which the 28 percent rate applies to taxpayers in this country. It drops 10 million taxpayers out of the higher 28 percent bracket, down to the 15 percent bracket.

□ 1430

That is significant for a number of reasons: because it gives an incentive to people, to hard-working Americans, to work harder, to produce more, to earn more. Instead of penalizing them by assessing 28 cents out of each additional dollar they earn, it moves them back into the 15 percent bracket.