CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — HOUSE

Murtha

Neal

Olver

Ortiz

Sabo

Scott

Turner

Vento

Walsh

Waters

Visclosky

Watt (NC)

Waxman

Weygand

Woolsey

Young (AK)

Wexler

Wise

Wynn

Ewing Fawell

Fossella

Fowler

Ganske

Gibbons

Gillmor

Goodlatte

Goodling

Gordon

Graham

Greenwood

Gutierrez

Hall (TX)

Hansen

Hastert Hastings (WA) Hayworth

Hefley

Herger Hill

Hilleary

Hobson

Hoekstra

Gutknecht

Goss

Goode

Fox

Deal DeLay Dickey Dreier Duncan Dunn Emerson Ensign Everett Fawell Fowler Franks (NJ) Frelinghuysen Ganske Gibbons Goode Goodlatte Goodling Goss Graham Gutierrez Gutknecht Hall (TX) Hansen Hastert Hastings (WA) Havworth Hefley Herger Hill Hilleary Hobson Hoekstra Holden Horn Hunter Hutchinson Hyde Inglis Istook Jenkins Johnson (CT) Johnson, Sam Jones Kasich Kingston Berman Doolittle Gonzalez Harman Kilpatrick

March 4. 1998

Rohrabacher Klug Knollenberg Roukema LaHood Royce Largent Latham Rvun Salmon LaTourette Sanford Lewis (CA) Scarborough Lewis (KY) Schaffer, Bob Linder Sensenbrenner Lipinski Sessions Livingston Shadegg LoBiondo Shays Shuster Lucas Manzullo Sisisky McCrery McIntosh Smith (MI) Smith (OR) Menendez Smith, Linda Metcalf Snowbarger Miller (FL) Solomon Moran (KS) Souder Mvrick Spence Nethercutt Stearns Neumann Stenholm Ney Northup Stump Sununu Norwood Talent Taylor (NC) Packard Pappas Thomas Parker Thornberry Paul Thune Tiahrt Paxon Pease Towns Peterson (PA) Traficant Petri Upton Pickering Velazquez Pickett Wamp Watkins Pitts Watts (OK) Pombo Porter Weldon (FL) Portman Weldon (PA) Pryce (OH) Weller White Ramstad Whitfield Regula Riley Wicker Wolf Rogan Young (FL) Rogers NOT VOTING-13 Luther Schiff McDade Shimkus Poshard Yates Riggs Schaefer, Dan

Mr. BENTSEN and Mr. HILLIARD changed their vote from "no" to "aye. So the amendment, as amended, was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BONILLA). The question is on the amendment in the nature of a substitute

The amendment in the nature of a substitute was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. BURTON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote. A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were-ayes 209, noes 208,

not voting 13, as follows:

[Roll No. 37]

	AYES-209	
ie	Allen	

Abercromb

Ackerman

Baldacci Andrews Barcia

Becerra Bentsen Bishop Blagojevich Blumenauer Boehlert Bonilla Bonior Borski Boswell Boucher Bovd Brown (CA) Brown (FL) Brown (OH) Burton Buyer Calvert Cannon Cardin Carson Clay Clayton Clement Clyburn Condit Convers Cooksey Coyne Cummings Davis (FL) Davis (VA) DeFazio DeGette Delahunt DeLauro DeLav Deutsch Diaz-Balart Dicks Dingell Dixon Doggett Dooley Doyle Edwards Ehlers Engel English Eshoo Etheridge Evans Farr Fattah Fazio Filner Foley Forbes Ford Frank (MA) Franks (NJ) Frelinghuysen Frost Furse Gallegly Gejdenson Gekas Gephardt

Aderholt

Archer

Armey

Bachus

Baesler

Ballenger

Bartlett

Bateman

Bereuter

Berry Bilbray

Bliley

Blunt

Brady

Bryant

Bunning

Burr Callahan

Campbell

Camp

Canady

Boehner

Bilirakı́s

Barton

Bass

Barrett (NE)

Baker

Barr

Barrett (WI)

Gilchrest Gilman Granger Green Hall (OH) Hamilton Hastings (FL) Hefner Hilliard Hinchey Hinojosa Holden Hooley Hoyer Jackson (IL) Jackson-Lee (TX) Jefferson John Johnson, E. B. Kanjorski Kellv Kennedy (MA) Kennedy (RI) Kennelly Kildee Kim King (NY) Klink Kolbe Kucinich LaFalce Lampson Lantos Lazio Leach Levin Lewis (GA) Lofgren Lowey Maloney (CT) Maloney (NY) Manton Markey Martinez Mascara Matsui McCarthy (MO) McCarthy (NY) McCollum McDermott McGovern McHale McKeon McKinney McNulty Meehan Meek (FL) Meeks (NY) Mica Millender-McDonald Miller (CA) Minge Mink Moakley Mollohan Moran (VA) Morella NOES-208 Castle

Chabot Chambliss Chenoweth Christensen Coble Coburn Collins Combest Cook Costello Cox Cramer Crane Crapo Cubin Cunningham Danner Davis (IL) Deal Dickey Dreier Duncan Dunn Ehrlich Emerson Ensign Everett

Nadler Oberstar Owens Pallone Parker Pascrell Pastor Payne Pelosi Peterson (PA) Pombo Pomerov Price (NC) Quinn Rahall Rangel Redmond Reves Rodriguez Roemer Ros-Lehtinen Rothman Rovbal-Allard Sanchez Sanders Sandlin Sawyer Saxton Schumer Serrano Skaggs Skeen Slaughter Smith (NJ) Smith, Adam Snvder Spratt Stark Stenholm Berman Doolittle Stokes Stupak Gonzalez Tauscher Harman Tauzin Taylor (MS) Kilpatrick Thompson Thurman Tiernev Torres

Hostettler Houghton Hulshof Hunter Hutchinson Hyde Inglis Istook Jenkins Johnson (CT) Johnson (WI) Johnson, Sam Jones Kaptur Kasich Kind (WI) Kingston Kleczka Klug Knollenberg LaHood Largent Latham LaTourette Lewis (CA) Lewis (KY) Linder Lipinski Livingston LoBiondo Lucas Manzullo McCrery McHugh McInnis McIntosh McIntyre Menendez Metcalf Miller (FL) Moran (KS)

Horn

Myrick Nethercutt Neumann Ney Northup Norwood Nussle Obey Oxley Packard Pappas Paul Paxon Pease Peterson (MN) Petri Pickering Pickett Pitts Porter Portman Pryce (OH) Radanovich Ramstad Regula Riley Rivers Rogan Rogers Rohrabacher Roukema Royce Rush Ryun Salmon Sanford Scarborough Schaffer, Bob Sensenbrenner Sessions Shadegg Shaw NOT VOTING-13 Luther

Shays Sherman Shuster Sisisky Skelton Smith (MI) Smith (OR) Smith (TX) Smith, Linda Snowbarger Solomon Souder Spence Stabenow Stearns Strickland Stump Sununu Talent Tannei Taylor (NC) Thomas Thornberry Thune Tiahrt Towns Traficant Upton Velazquez Wamp Watkins Watts (OK) Weldon (FL) Weldon (PA) Weller White Whitfield Wicker Wolf Young (FL)

McDade Poshard

Riggs Schaefer, Dan

Schiff

Yates

Shimkus

□ 2207

The Clerk announced the following pair:

On this vote:

Mr. McDade for, with Mr. Riggs against.

- Mr. FOSSELLA and Mr. RUSH changed their vote from "aye" to "no."
- Mr. PETERSON of Pennsylvania and Mr. POMEROY changed their vote from "no" to "aye."

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BONILLA). The Chair will remind all persons in the gallery that they are here as guests of the House and that any manifestation of approval or disapproval of proceedings are in violation of the rules of the House.

REPORT ON PAYMENTS TO CUBA PURSUANT TO CUBAN DEMOC-ACT OF 1992—MESSAGE RACY FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 105-221)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, without

H837

objection, referred to the Committee on International Relations and ordered to be printed:

To the Congress of the United States:

This report is submitted pursuant to 1705(e)(6) of the Cuban Democracy Act of 1992, 22 U.S.C. 6004(e)(6) (the "CDA"), as amended by section 102(g) of the Cuban Liberty and Democratic Solidarity (LIBERTAD) Act of 1996, Public Law 104-114 (March 12, 1996), 110 Stat. 785, 22 U.S.C. 6021-91 (the "LIBERTAD Act"), which requires that I report to the Congress on a semiannual basis detailing payments made to Cuba by any United States person as a result of the provision of telecommunications services authorized by this subsection.

The CDA, which provides that telecommunications services are permitted between the United States and Cuba, specifically authorizes the President to provide for payments to Cuba by license. The CDA states that licenses may be issued for full or partial settlement of telecommunications services with Cuba, but may not require any withdrawal from a blocked account. Following enactment of the CDA on October 23, 1992, a number of U.S. telecommunications companies successfully negotiated agreements to provide telecommunications services between the United States and Cuba consistent with policy guidelines developed by the Department of State and the Federal Communications Commission.

Subsequent to enactment of the CDA, the Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) amended the Cuban Assets Control Regulations, 31 C.F.R. Part 515 (the "CACR"), to provide for specific licensing on a case-by-case basis for certain transactions incident to the receipt or transmission of telecommunications between the United States and Cuba, 31 C.F.R. 515.542(c), including settlement of charges under traffic agreements.

The OFAC has issued eight licenses authorizing transactions incident to the receipt or transmission of telecommunications between the United States and Cuba since the enactment of the CDA. None of these licenses permits payments to the Government of Cuba from a blocked account. For the period July 1 through December 31, 1997, OFAC-licensed U.S. carriers reported payments to the Government of Cuba in settlement of charges under telecommunications traffic agreements as follows:

AT&T Corporation (formally, American Telephone and Tele-

graph Company)	\$11,991,715
AT&T de Puerto Rico	298,916
Global One (formerly, Sprint In-	

- corporated) IDB WorldCom Services, Inc. (formerly, IDB Communica-
- tions, Inc.) MCI International, Inc. (formerly, MCI Communications
- Corporation) Telefonica Larga Distancia de Puerto Rico, Inc.
- WilTel, Inc. (formerly, WilTel Underseas Cable, Inc.)

3.180.886

Communications, Inc.) 2,887,684

WorldCom, Inc. (formerly, LDDS

\$33,095,870

I shall continue to report semiannually on telecommunications payments to the Government of Cuba from United States persons.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON. THE WHITE HOUSE, March 4, 1998.

CONTINUATION OF NATIONAL EMERGENCY WITH RESPECT TO IRAN-MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 105-222)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on International Relations and ordered to be printed:

To the Congress of the United States:

Section 202(d) of the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1622(d)) provides for the automatic termination of a national emergency unless, prior to the anniversary date of its declaration, the President publishes in the Federal Register and transmits to the Congress a notice stating that the emergency is to continue in effect beyond the anniversary date. In accordance with this provision, I have sent the enclosed notice, stating that the national emergency declared with respect to Iran on March 15, 1995, pursuant to the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701–1706) is to continue in effect beyond March 15, 1998, to the Federal Register for publication. This emergency is separate from that declared on November 14, 1979, in connection with the Iranian hostage crisis and therefore requires separate renewal of emergency authorities.

The factors that led me to declare a national emergency with respect to Iran on March 15, 1995, have not been resolved. The actions and policies of the Government of Iran, including support for international terrorism, its efforts to undermine the Middle East peace process, and its acquisition of weapons of mass destruction and the means to deliver them, continue to threaten the national security, foreign policy, and economy of the United States. Accordingly, I have determined that it is necessary to maintain in force the broad programs I have authorized pursuant to the March 15, 1995, declaration of emergency.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON. THE WHITE HOUSE, March 4, 1998.

- CONTINUATION OF NEED FOR U.S. 4,128,371 ARMED FORCES IN BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA-MESSAGE FROM 4,893,699 THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 105-223) 105,848
- The SPEAKER pro tempore laid be-5,608,751 fore the House the following message

from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on International Relations and the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed:

To the Congress of the United States:

I hereby certify that the continued presence of U.S. armed forces, after 30, 1998. June in Bosnia and Herzegovina is required in order to meet the national security interests of the United States, and that it is the policy of the United States that U.S. armed forces will not serve as, or be used as, civil police in Bosnia and Herzegovina.

This certification is presented pursuant to section 1203 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998, Public Law 105-85, and section 8132 of the National Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal year 1998, Public Law 105-56. The information required under these sections is in the report that accompanies this certification. The supplemental appropriations request required under these sections is being forwarded under separate cover.

America has major national interests in peace in Bosnia. We have learned from hard experience in this turbulent century that America's security and Europe's stability are intimately linked. The Bosnian war saw the worst fighting-and the most profound humanitarian disaster—on that continent since the end of the Second World War. The conflict could easily have spread through the region, endangering old Allies and new democracies alike. A larger conflict would have cast doubt on the viability of the NATO alliance itself and crippled prospects for our larger goal of a democratic, undivided, and peaceful Europe.

The Dayton framework is the key to changing the conditions that made Bosnia a fuse in a regional powder keg. It is decisively in American interests to see Dayton implemented as rapidly as feasible, so that peace becomes selfsustaining. U.S. leadership is as essential to sustaining progress as it has been to ending the war and laying the foundation for peace.

I expect the size of the overall NATO force in Bosnia and Herzegovina will remain similar to that of the current SFOR. However, the U.S. contribution would decline by about 20 percent, as our Allies and partners continue to shoulder an increasing share of the burden.

Although I do not propose a fixed end-date for this presence, it is by no means open-ended. Instead, the goal of the military presence is to establish the conditions under which Dayton implementation can continue without the support of a major NATO-led military force. To achieve this goal, we have established concrete and achievable