The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. GRAMS). Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 72, nays 26, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 275 Leg.]

YEAS-72

Abraham Faircloth Lugar Akaka Ford Mack McConnell Allard Frist Ashcroft Gorton Murkowski Baucus Graham Nickles Bennett Gramm Reed Reid Grams Bond Grassley Robb Gregg Hagel Roberts Breaux Brownback Rockefeller Burns Hatch Roth Santorum Byrd Helms Campbell Hutchinson Sessions Shelby Smith (NH) Cleland Hutchison Coats Inhofe Cochran Smith (OR) Inouye Collins Jeffords Snowe Conrad Johnson Specter Kempthorne Craig Daschle Kerrey Thomas DeWine Kyl Thompson Landrieu Domenici Thurmond Dorgan Leahy Warner Enzi Lott Wyden

NAYS-26

Feinstein Bingaman McCain Boxer Glenn Mikulski Bryan Harkin Moseley-Braun Bumpers Kennedy Movnihan Kerry Murray Chafee D'Amato Kohl Sarbanes Lautenberg Torricelli Dodd Durbin Wellstone Levin Feingold Lieberman

NOT VOTING-2

Coverdell Hollings

The motion to lay on the table the amendment (No. 3597) was agreed to.

Mr. D'AMATO. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent for 3 minutes to make some comments with regard to this vote.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. D'AMATO. Mr. President, first let me thank my colleagues who have given me the opportunity to at least bring this to a vote. Needless to say, the great power and the great number of dollars involved were felt. It is a lot of money that a lot of little people are paying that they shouldn't be paying.

Indeed, some Members have indicated to me that notwithstanding their opposition to intruding generally into the private sector, they would reconsider their votes in the future if they continue to see the predatory pricegouging practices that are anticonsumer and monopolistic; if they continue to see not only the number of ATMs that are double charging continue, but lack of consumer choice; and escalating fees.

Indeed, the Senate majority leader told me, and he is on the floor now, that he has indicated to those in the banking community that they had better look carefully at what they are doing. If they continue to impose these fees on the little people, he may not be nearly as supportive.

This is a close issue as it relates to when should government become involved in the private sector. I believe that time has come. Having said that, this is a battle, but it is not the end. I lost this battle, but I am prepared to continue this battle and win the war until and unless we see a rollback in what is taking place now—and that is taking advantage of the consumer, the little guy, the working families of America.

Again, I thank my colleagues who have yielded me this time to make this observation. We lost the battle, but not the war

Mr. LOTT addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT REQUEST— S. 2279

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I had earlier made a unanimous consent request to bring up the FAA issue, now known as the Wendell Ford National Air Transportation System Improvement Act. This is a bill we really need to get done before we leave. If we don't get it cleared, cloture will take so much time, we may wind up not being able to complete this bill.

It is important for airports, air passengers, the airline industry, the entire country.

Again, I ask unanimous consent that it be in order for the majority leader, after consultation with the Democratic leader, proceed to the consideration of S. 2279, the National Air Transportation System Improvement Act. I further ask that during the pendency of S. 2279 only relevant amendments be in order to the bill.

Mr. DASCHLE. Mr. President, I object.

Let me explain, briefly. I share the majority leader's determination to complete work on this legislation. We need to get this bill done before the end of the session. The Senators from Maryland and at least the Democratic Senator from Virginia, as well as the Senators from Illinois, are still attempting to work through some problems relating to the legislation and their respective States. I am hopeful we can come to some successful conclusion in those discussions at an early date, but until that has been completely worked through, we will have to object.

I hope that we continue to put the pressure on those who are interested, as we are, in coming to closure on this, to get it done soon.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The objection is heard.

Mr. McCAIN addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Arizona.

Mr. McCAIN. Mr. President, 3 days ago the distinguished majority leader asked unanimous consent, and it was objected to. I come to the floor, again, to say I am happy to work with any Senators. The Senator from Virginia, Senator WARNER, is now in agreement. I believe that the Senators from Illinois are, although unhappy, willing to

let this bill move forward. If the Senators from Maryland have a problem, I am happy to consider their amendments in the normal legislative process

Mr. President, let me point out something very important here. We are talking about aviation safety, security, capacity, and noise projects, and we are talking about billions of dollars' worth. I hope that we will be able to move forward on this bill very quickly. There are over \$2 billion worth of projects that can be held in abeyance because of our failure to reauthorize the FAA. We are talking about safety, Mr. President, which is a very big burden for all of us to bear. So I want to tell my colleagues on the other side of the aisle—especially the Senators from Maryland—I am ready to sit down at any time and see if we can work out any differences that we have to their satisfaction so that we can get this very important reauthorization completed before the end of the fiscal year.

I ask unanimous consent that two letters regarding this legislation be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the letters were ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF AIRPORT EXECUTIVES, AIRPORTS COUNCIL INTERNATIONAL,

 $Alexandria,\ VA,\ September\ 14,\ 1998.$ Hon. John McCain,

Chairman, Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR MCCAIN: We are writing you with an urgent request for assistance. Congress is scheduled to adjourn for the year in less than one month and the Senate has still not taken up pending ''must pass'' legislation to reauthorize programs of the FAA. The current authorization expires September 30. If Congress fails to reauthorize the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) prior to adjournment, the FAA will be unable to find critically needed safety, security, capacity or noise projects at airports in every state in the nation.

Please do what you can in your role as chairman of the authorizing committee to bring this bill to the Senate floor immediately so that a final version of the measure can be adopted and signed into law prior to adjournment. Without swift congressional action, critically needed federal funding for runways, taxiways, security and hundreds of other projects will stop after September 30.

Thank you for your immediate attention on this important matter.

Sincerely,

CHARLES BARCLAY, President, AAAE. DAVID Z. PLAVIN, President, ACI-NA.

SEPTEMBER 11, 1998.

Hon. TRENT LOTT, Majority Leader, U.S. Senate, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. LEADER: We are writing with an urgent request. Congress is scheduled to adjourn for the year in less than one month and the Senate has still not taken up pending "must pass" legislation to reauthorize programs of the FAA. The current authorization expires September 30. If Congress fails

to reauthorize the Airport Improvement Program prior to adjournment, the FAA will be unable to fund critically needed safety, security, capacity and noise projects at airports in every state in the nation. The House of Representatives has already passed its version of the legislation, H.R. 4057.

Please bring FAA reauthorization legislation to the floor immediately, so that a final version of the measure can be adopted and signed into law prior to adjournment. Without swift congressional action, critically needed federal funding for runways, taxiways, security and hundreds of other projects will stop after September 30.

Thank you for your immediate attention on this important matter.

Sincerely.

Charles Barclay, American Association of Airport Executives; Paula Bline, Airport Consultants Council: T. Peter Ruane, American Road & Transpor-Assn.: tation Builders Stephen Sandherr. Associated General Contractors; Luther Graef, American Society of Civil Engineers; Peggy Hudson, American Portland Cement Alliance; Henry Ogrodzinski, National Association of State Aviation Officials; David Plavin, Airports Council International-North America; Phil Boyer, Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association; Stephen Alterman, Cargo Airline Association; Carol Hallett, Air Transport Association.

PARTIAL-BIRTH ABORTION BAN ACT OF 1997—VETO

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous unanimous consent agreement, the Senate will now proceed to the consideration of the veto message on H.R. 1122.

The Presiding Officer laid before the Senate a message from the House of Representatives, which was read as follows:

The House of Representatives having proceeded to reconsider the bill veto message to accompany H.R. 1122 entitled "An Act to amend title 18, United States Code, to ban partial-birth abortions", returned by the President of the United States with his objections, to the House of Representatives, in which it originated, it was

Resolved, That the said bill pass, two-thirds of the House of Representatives agreeing to pass the same.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is, Shall the bill pass, the objections of the President of the United States to the contrary notwithstanding?

The time for debate will be limited to 4 hours, to be equally divided between and controlled by the majority leader and the minority leader or their designees.

Who yields time?

Mr. ŠANTORUM addressed the Chair. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Pennsylvania is recognized.

Mr. SANTORUM. Mr. President, today we begin debate on the issue of partial-birth abortion, the override of the President's veto, which he vetoed last year.

I believe this is one of the most important issues, if not the most important issue, we will face in this session of Congress because it deals really at the core with who we are as a country and to what degree we respect life in this country and recognize life, recognize an individual's inclusion into our family and our society. In many cases, just as we did in voting with respect to banking laws, we have to draw lines. Part of the legislative process is, in fact, drawing lines. Sometimes those lines are not clear. Sometimes the votes are very difficult, and it is hard to understand in the area of gray where exactly you do draw the line.

I have always felt, with respect to the issue of partial-birth abortion, that it was a very good place to at least draw the first line, in a very emotional and confrontational issue, because we are not really talking about abortion at that point, we are talking about infanticide. I think if you took a poll in this Senate and asked whether Members of the Senate were in favor of infanticide, I hope and pray that the answer would be 100 percent "no," that they are not in favor of infanticide. Well, I believe, as many Senators have said, that this is infanticide. This is a baby that is just 3 inches from being delivered and is brutally killed.

Let's do a little rundown of how we got to the point where we are today. In the last session of Congress, Congress passed a bill to ban this procedure, sent it to the President, and he vetoed it. We had a vote to override in September of 1996. We had 59 votes on the floor of the Senate. They overrode in the House. Last year, the Senate and House passed the bill. The House, in July of this year, overrode the President's veto with a vote of 296–132, I believe. So now it comes to the Senate.

Earlier this year, we had 64 votes on the floor of the U.S. Senate to ban this procedure. Unfortunately, as overwhelming a vote as that is, it is three short of the votes necessary to override a Presidential veto. So that is the state of play; three votes in the U.S. Senate separate us from what I believe is a clarion call to the world that we are a civilized country that respects life which is born in this country, or nearly born in this country, and a signal to the country that we are just not quite ready to open our arms as a society and welcome every member to it.

Let's first go through the particulars of what this procedure is, because I think it is important to define the procedure so everybody knows exactly what we are talking about. These charts that I am going to show you, while they are not particularly easy to look at, they do accurately describe, according to several doctors who perform them, what a partial-birth abortion is. It is performed on babies that are at 20 weeks of gestation, roughly halfway through the gestational process. Between 20, 24, 26, and longer, it can be performed. One of the reasons, in fact, that this procedure was developed was to perform it on solely lateterm and very-late-term babies. So at 20 weeks, and thereafter, this procedure is used. The baby, as you see, in the mother's womb is usually in a head-down position at that age. The doctor, over a 3-day period, will begin to dilate the cervix, open up the cervix, so the doctor can reach in with forceps and grab the baby's foot and turn the baby around and pull the baby out in a breach position.

I want to state that again. This is a 3-day procedure. It starts with the dilation of the cervix over a 2-day period. On the third day, when the cervix is sufficiently dilated, the doctor goes in with these forceps, grabs one of the baby's limbs —usually the foot—pulls the baby, turns the baby around into a breach position, and begins to pull the baby out of the birth canal in the breach position. As most people understand, that is a very dangerous position for a normal delivery. You try to avoid breach births because of the danger to the mother, as well as the baby. In this situation, they deliberately turn the baby around and deliver the baby in a breach position. The baby is then pulled out feet-first until all of the baby is outside of the mother, with the exception of the head. The reason for that is, the head being a hard part of the body, even at that age-certainly a harder part of the body at that age-and it is the biggest single part of the body, it is left inside of the mother.

The third thing that happens is, the physician reaches in with one hand and finds the back of the baby's skull. You can't see the back of the baby's skull because the skull and neck are still inside of the mother. So they probe and find the soft part here, right at the base of the skull. Then they take what is called a Metzenbaum scissors and thrust it into the back of the baby's skull, open up a hole in the baby's skull, introduce a suction catheter, which is a high-powered suction device, and suck the baby's brains out, which causes the collapse of the skull, and then a dead baby is delivered.

This is the brutal procedure that the President of the United States has said must remain legal. This is the brutal procedure that we have the opportunity here in the U.S. Senate to say has no place in a civilized society.

I would think that would be enough reason—that simply its brutality, its shocking, barbaric, horrific nature would be enough reason to ban this procedure. But there is much more. There are so many reasons to ban this procedure beyond its horrific and barbaric nature.

In a few minutes, I will detail exactly all of those reasons. I will detail all of the lies that have been put out by the other side to protect this rogue procedure, which is not done in any hospital, not taught in any medical school, has not been peer-reviewed and studied by others to make sure that this was a proper, safe procedure. This is a rogue procedure done only in abortion clinics, when no one else is watching.

Mr. President, I will yield the floor, as I know the Senator from Missouri is here and has other time commitments.