may be treated as exempt foreign trade income

S 2358

At the request of Mr. Rockefeller, the name of the Senator from California (Mrs. Feinstein) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2358, a bill to provide for the establishment of a service-connection for illnesses associated with service in the Persian Gulf War, to extend and enhance certain health care authorities relating to such service, and for other purposes.

S. 2364

At the request of Mr. Chafee, the name of the Senator from California (Mrs. Feinstein) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2364, a bill to reauthorize and make reforms to programs authorized by the Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965.

S. 2418

At the request of Mr. Jeffords, the name of the Senator from California (Mrs. Feinstein) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2418, a bill to establish rural opportunity communities, and for other purposes.

S. 2426

At the request of Mr. COVERDELL, the name of the Senator from Michigan (Mr. ABRAHAM) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2426, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide a 2-month extension for the due date for filing a tax return for any member of a uniformed service on a tour of duty outside the United States for a period which includes the normal due date for such filing.

S. 2453

At the request of Mr. ROTH, the names of the Senator from Vermont (Mr. Leahy) and the Senator from Alabama (Mr. Sessions) were added as cosponsors of S. 2453, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the credit for producing electricity from certain renewable resources.

S. 2473

At the request of Mr. Breaux, the name of the Senator from Alabama (Mr. Shelby) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2473, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to increase the deduction for meal and entertainment expenses of small businesses.

S. 2494

At the request of Mr. McCain, the name of the Senator from Nevada (Mr. BRYAN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2494, a bill to amend the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 151 et seq.) to enhance the ability of direct broadcast satellite and other multichannel video providers to compete effectively with cable television systems, and for other purposes.

S. 2522

At the request of Mr. DEWINE, the names of the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. CONRAD) and the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. THURMOND) were added as cosponsors of S. 2522, a bill to support enhanced drug interdiction efforts in the major transit coun-

tries and support a comprehensive supply eradication and crop substitution program in source countries.

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 56

At the request of Mr. GRASSLEY, the names of the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. INHOFE) and the Senator from Alaska (Mr. MURKOWSKI) were added as cosponsors of Senate Joint Resolution 56, a joint resolution expressing the sense of Congress in support of the existing Federal legal process for determining the safety and efficacy of drugs, including marijuana and other Schedule I drugs, for medicinal use.

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 59

At the request of Mr. GRAMM, the name of the Senator from Washington (Mr. GORTON) was added as a cosponsor of Senate Joint Resolution 59, a joint resolution to provide for a Balanced Budget Constitutional Amendment that prohibits the use of Social Security surpluses to achieve compliance.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 121

At the request of Mr. Specter, the names of the Senator from Alabama (Mr. Shelby), the Senator from Ohio (Mr. DeWine), and the Senator from Iowa (Mr. Grassley) were added as cosponsors of Senate Concurrent Resolution 121, a concurrent resolution expressing the sense of Congress that the President should take all necessary measures to respond to the increase in steel imports resulting from the financial crises in Asia, the independent States of the former Soviet Union, Russia, and other areas of the world, and for other purposes.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 122

At the request of Mr. LEVIN, the names of the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. DORGAN), the Senator from Maryland (Ms. MIKULSKI), the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. KENNEDY), the Senator from New Jersey TORRICELLI), the Senator from Virginia (Mr. WARNER), the Senator from Delaware (Mr. ROTH), the Senator from California (Mrs. FEINSTEIN), the Senator from Ohio (Mr. GLENN), the Senator from New York (Mr. D'AMATO), the Senator from Michigan (Mr. ABRA-HAM), the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. LAUTENBERG), the Senator from Maryland (Mr. SARBANES), the Senator from Ohio (Mr. DEWINE), the Senator from Kansas (Mr. BROWNBACK), the Senator from New York (Mr. Moy-NIHAN), the Senator from Mississippi (Mr. COCHRAN), and the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN) were added as cosponsors of Senate Concurrent Resolution 122, a concurrent resolution expressing the sense of Congress that the 65th anniversary of the Ukrainian Famine of 1932-1933 should serve as a reminder of the brutality of the government of the former Soviet Union's repressive policies toward the Ukrainian people.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 123

At the request of Mr. DASCHLE, his name was added as a cosponsor of Senate Concurrent Resolution 123, a concurrent resolution to express the sense

of the Congress regarding the policy of the Forest Service toward recreational shooting and archery ranges on Federal

SENATE RESOLUTION 257

At the request of Mr. MURKOWSKI, the names of the Senator from Michigan (Mr. ABRAHAM), the Senator from Hawaii (Mr. AKAKA), the Senator from Colorado (Mr. ALLARD), the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. BREAUX), the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. BUMPERS), the Senator from Rhode Island CHAFEE), the Senator from Maine (Ms. COLLINS), the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. FAIRCLOTH), the Senator from New Hampshire (Mr. GREGG), the Senator from Idaho (Mr. KEMPTHORNE), the Senator from Florida (Mr. MACK), the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. NICKLES), the Senator from Kansas (Mr. ROB-ERTS), the Senator from Alabama (Mr. SESSIONS), the Senator from Oregon (Mr. SMITH), the Senator from Maine (Ms. SNOWE), and the Senator from Oregon (Mr. WYDEN) were added as cosponsors of Senate Resolution 257. a resolution expressing the sense of the Senate that October 15, 1998, should be designated as "National Inhalant Abuse Awareness Day.'

SENATE RESOLUTION 260

At the request of Mr. GRAHAM, the names of the Senator from Florida (Mr. MACK), the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. Breaux), the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. TORRICELLI), the Senator from Virginia (Mr. ROBB), the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. HUTCHINSON), the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. JOHN-SON), the Senator from Minnesota (Mr. WELLSTONE). the Senator from Nebraska (Mr. KERREY), and the Senator from Nevada (Mr. BRYAN) were added as cosponsors of Senate Resolution 260. a resolution expressing the sense of the Senate that October 11, 1998, should be designated as "National Children's

SENATE RESOLUTION 274

At the request of Mr. FORD, the name of the Senator from Kansas (Mr. BROWNBACK) was added as a cosponsor of Senate Resolution 274, a resolution to express the sense of the Senate that the Louisville Festival of Faiths should be commended and should serve as model for similar festivals in other communities throughout the United States.

SENATE RESOLUTION 284—EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF THE
SENATE THAT THE PRESIDENT
SHOULD RENEGOTIATE THE EXTRADITION TREATY BETWEEN
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND THE UNITED MEXICAN
STATES

Mr. TORRICELLI submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

S. RES. 284

Whereas under the Extradition Treaty Between the United States of America and the

United Mexican States, Mexico refused to extradite murder suspect and U.S. citizen Jose Luis Del Toro to the United States until the State of Florida agreed not to exercise its right to seek capital punishment in its criminal prosecution of him;

Whereas under the Extradition Treaty Mexico has refused to extradite other sus-

pects of capital crimes; and

Whereas the Extradition Treaty interferes with the justice system of the United States and encourages criminals to flee to Mexico: Now. therefore, be it

Resolved

SECTION 1. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING THE RENEGOTIATION OF THE U.S.-MEXICAN EXTRADITION TREATY.

It is the sense of the Senate that-

(1) the President should renegotiate the Extradition Treaty Between the United States of America and the United Mexican States, signed in Mexico City in 1978 (31 U.S.T. 5059), so that the possibility of capital punishment will not interfere with the timely extradition of criminal suspects from Mexico to the United States.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED

INTERNET TAX FREEDOM ACT

BUMPERS (AND GRAHAM) AMENDMENT NO. 3677

Mr. BUMPERS (for himself and Mr. GRAHAM) proposed an amendment to the bill (S. 442) to establish a national policy against State and local government interference with interstate commerce on the Internet or interactive computer services, and to exercise Congressional jurisdiction over interstate commerce by establishing a moratorium on the imposition of exactions that would interfere with the free flow of commerce via the Internet, and for other purposes.

At the appropriate place, insert the following new title:

TITLE ___COLLECTION OF STATE AND LOCAL SALES TAXES ON OUT-OF-STATE SALES

SEC. 01. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Consumer and Main Street Protection Act of 1998".

SEC. ___02. FINDINGS.

Congress finds that—

- (1) merchandise purchased from out-of-State firms is subject to State and local sales taxes in the same manner as merchandise purchased from in-State firms,
- (2) State and local governments generally are unable to compel out-of-State firms to collect and remit such taxes, and consequently, many out-of-State firms choose not to collect State and local taxes on merchandise delivered across State lines.
- (3) moreover, many out-of-State firms fail to inform their customers that such taxes exist, with some firms even falsely claiming that merchandise purchased out-of-State is tax-free, and consequently, many consumers unknowingly incur tax liabilities, including interest and penalty charges,
- (4) Congress has a duty to protect consumers from explicit or implicit misrepresentations of State and local sales tax obligations,
- (5) small businesses, which are compelled to collect State and local sales taxes, are subject to unfair competition when out-of-State firms cannot be compelled to collect and remit such taxes on their sales to residents of the State,

- (6) State and local governments provide a number of resources to out-of-State firms including government services relating to disposal of tons of catalogs, mail delivery, communications, and bank and court systems,
- (7) the inability of State and local governments to require out-of-State firms to collect and remit sales taxes deprives State and local governments of needed revenue and forces such State and local governments to raise taxes on taxpayers, including consumers and small businesses, in such State,
- (8) the Supreme Court ruled in Quill Corporation v. North Dakota, 112 S. Ct. 1904 (1992) that the due process clause of the Constitution does not prohibit a State government from imposing personal jurisdiction and tax obligations on out-of-State firms that purposefully solicit sales from residents therein, and that the Congress has the power to authorize State governments to require out-of-State firms to collect State and local sales taxes, and
- (9) as a matter of federalism, the Federal Government has a duty to assist State and local governments in collecting sales taxes on sales from out-of-State firms.

SEC. ___03. AUTHORITY FOR COLLECTION OF SALES TAX.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—A State is authorized to require a person who is subject to the personal jurisdiction of the State to collect and remit a State sales tax, a local sales tax, or both, with respect to tangible personal property if—
- (i) the destination of the tangible personal property is in the State,
- (2) during the 1-year period ending on September 30 of the calendar year preceding the calendar year in which the taxable event occurs, the person has gross receipts from sales of such tangible personal property—
- (A) in the United States exceeding \$3,000,000, or
- (B) in the State exceeding \$100,000, and
- (3) the State, on behalf of its local jurisdictions, collects and administers all local sales taxes imposed pursuant to this title.
 (b) STATES MUST COLLECT LOCAL SALES
- (b) STATES MUST COLLECT LOCAL SALES TAXES.—Except as provided in section 04(d), a State in which both State and local sales taxes are imposed may not require State sales taxes to be collected and remitted under subsection (a) unless the State also requires the local sales taxes to be collected and remitted under subsection (a).
- (c) AGGREGATION RULES.—All persons that would be treated as a single employer under section 52 (a) or (b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 shall be treated as one person for purposes of subsection (a).
- (d) DESTINATION.—For purposes of subsection (a), the destination of tangible personal property is the State or local jurisdiction which is the final location to which the seller ships or delivers the property, or to which the seller causes the property to be shipped or delivered, regardless of the means of shipment or delivery or the location of the buyer.

SEC. ___04. TREATMENT OF LOCAL SALES TAXES.

- (a) UNIFORM LOCAL SALES TAXES.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Sales taxes imposed by local jurisdictions of a State shall be deemed to be uniform for purposes of this title and shall be collected under this title in the same manner as State sales taxes if—
- (A) such local sales taxes are imposed at the same rate and on identical transactions in all geographic areas in the State, and
- (B) such local sales taxes imposed on sales by out-of-State persons are collected and administered by the State.
- (2) APPLICATION TO BORDER JURISDICTION TAX RATES.—A State shall not be treated as failing to meet the requirements of paragraph (1)(A) if, with respect to a local juris-

diction which borders on another State, such State or local jurisdiction—

- (A) either reduces or increases the local sales tax in order to achieve a rate of tax equal to that imposed by the bordering State on identical transactions, or
- (B) exempts from the tax transactions which are exempt from tax in the bordering
- State.
 (b) NONUNIFORM LOCAL SALES TAXES.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (d), nonuniform local sales taxes required to be collected pursuant to this title shall be collected under one of the options provided under paragraph (2).
- (2) ELECTION.—For purposes of paragraph (1), any person required under authority of this title to collect nonuniform local sales taxes shall elect to collect either—
- (A) all nonuniform local sales taxes applicable to transactions in the State, or
- (B) a fee (at the rate determined under paragraph (3)) which shall be in lieu of the nonuniform local sales taxes described in subparagraph (A).

Such election shall require the person to use the method elected for all transactions in the State while the election is in effect.

- (3) RATE OF IN-LIEU FEE.—For purposes of paragraph (2)(B), the rate of the in-lieu fee for any calendar year shall be an amount equal to the product of—
- (A) the amount determined by dividing total nonuniform local sales tax revenues collected in the State for the most recently completed State fiscal year for which data is available by total State sales tax revenues for the same year, and
- (B) the State sales tax rate.

Such amount shall be rounded to the nearest 0.25 percent.

- (4) NONUNIFORM LOCAL SALES TAXES.—For purposes of this title, nonuniform local sales taxes are local sales taxes which do not meet the requirements of subsection (a).
- (c) DISTRIBUTION OF LOCAL SALES TAXES.—
 (1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (d), a State shall distribute to local jurisdictions a portion of the amounts collected pursuant to this title determined on the basis of—
- (A) in the case of uniform local sales taxes, the proportion which each local jurisdiction receives of uniform local sales taxes not collected pursuant to this title,
- (B) in the case of in-lieu fees described in subsection (b)(2)(B), the proportion which each local jurisdiction's nonuniform local sales tax receipts bears to the total nonuniform local sales tax receipts in the State,
- (C) in the case of any nonuniform local sales tax collected pursuant to this title, the geographical location of the transaction on which the tax was imposed.

The amounts determined under subparagraphs (A) and (B) shall be calculated on the basis of data for the most recently completed State fiscal year for which the data is available.

- (2) TIMING.—Amounts described in paragraph (1) (B) or (C) shall be distributed by a State to its local jurisdictions in accordance with State timetables for distributing local sales taxes, but not less frequently than every calendar quarter. Amounts described in paragraph (1)(A) shall be distributed by a State as provided under State law.
- (3) TRANSITION RULE.—If, upon the effective date of this title, a State has a State law in effect providing a method for distributing local sales taxes other than the method under this subsection, then this subsection shall not apply to that State until the 91st day following the adjournment sine die of that State's next regular legislative session which convenes after the effective date of