other sectors facing market access barriers in Japan.

SENATE RESOLUTION 176

At the request of Mr. DOMENICI, the names of the Senator from West Virginia (Mr. BYRD), the Senator from Minnesota (Mr. WELLSTONE), the Senator from New Mexico (Mr. BINGAMAN), the Senator from Georgia (Mr. COVER-DELL), and the Senator from Oregon (Mr. SMITH) were added as cosponsors of Senate Resolution 176, a resolution proclaiming the week of October 18 through October 24, 1998, as "National Character Counts Week."

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLU-TION 95—EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF CONGRESS WITH RE-SPECT TO PROMOTING COV-ERAGE OF INDIVIDUALS UNDER LONG-TERM CARE INSURANCE

Mr. DODD (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Finance:

S. CON. RES. 95

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring),

SECTION 1. PROMOTION OF COVERAGE OF INDI-VIDUALS UNDER LONG-TERM CARE INSURANCE.

(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds the following:

(1) As the baby boom generation begins to retire, funding Social Security and Medicare will put a strain on the financial resources of younger Americans.

(2) Medicaid was designed as a program for the poor, but in many States Medicaid is being used for middle income elderly people to fund long-term care expenses.

(3) In the coming decade, people over age 65 will represent up to 20 percent or more of the population, and the proportion of the population composed of individuals who are over age 85, who are most likely to be in need of long-term care, may double or triple.

(4) With nursing home care now costing \$40,000 to \$50,000 on average per year, longterm care expenses can have a catastrophic effect on families, wiping out a lifetime of savings before a spouse, parent, or grandparent becomes eligible for Medicaid.

(5) Many people are unaware that most long-term care costs are not covered by Medicare and that Medicaid covers longterm care only after the person's assets have been exhausted.

(6) Widespread use of private long-term care insurance has the potential to protect families from the catastrophic costs of longterm care services while, at the same time, easing the burden on Medicaid as the baby boom generation ages.

(7) The Federal Government has endorsed the concept of private long-term care insurance by establishing Federal tax rules for tax-qualified policies in the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996.

(8) The Federal Government has ensured the availability of quality long-term care insurance products and sales practices by adopting strict consumer protections in the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of the Congress that—

(1) the Federal Government should take all appropriate steps to inform the public about the financial risks posed by rapidly increasing long-term care costs and about the need for families to plan for their long-term care needs:

(2) the Federal Government should take all appropriate steps to inform the public that Medicare does not cover most long-term care costs and that Medicaid covers long-term care costs only when the beneficiary has exhausted his or her assets;

(3) the Federal Government should take all appropriate steps not only to encourage employers to offer private long-term care insurance coverage to employees, but also to encourage both working-aged people and older citizens to obtain long-term care insurance either through their employees or on their own;

(4) appropriate committees of Congress, together with the Department of Health and Human Services and other appropriate Executive Branch agencies, should develop specific ideas for encouraging Americans to plan for their own long-term care needs;

(5) the congressional tax-writing committees, together with the Department of the Treasury should determine whether the tax rules for long-term care insurance need to be modified to ensure that the rules adequately facilitate the affordability of long-term care insurance; and

(6) the National Summit on Retirement Income Savings should consider the importance of planning for long-term care in its discussion of retirement security.

Mr. DODD. Mr. President, I am pleased to submit, with my colleague Senator GRASSLEY, a Senate resolution that will focus attention on an extremely important health care issue for American families—long-term care needs.

Rapidly increasing long-term care costs pose huge financial risks to families. With the average cost of nursing home care at \$40,000 per year, early planning is required to ensure that long-term care needs don't leave the spouses or children of the elderly and disabled destitute.

What most Americans do not realize is that Medicare is very limited in the type of long-term costs it covers. Medicare only provides coverage for "acute" health care costs, such as short-term stays in certain kinds of nursing homes, or short-term nursing care in the home following a hospitalization. Medicare was never meant to cover chronic long-term health needs.

Medicaid does offer assistance with long-term costs, but only after an individual has totally exhausted his or her assets. This means that families must become completely impoverished in order to get Medicaid coverage for nursing home care.

What fills in the gaps? We know that sixty-five percent of many elderly who live at home and need help rely exclusively on unpaid sources, such as family and friends. But this help is not without a price—it takes a huge toll on families. Caregiving frequently competes with the demand of employment and requires caregivers to reduce work hours, take time off without pay, or quit their jobs. Families whose members must be in institutional settings often exhaust all of their resources paying privately for nursing home care.

As a country, we need to have better alternatives so that our Golden Years can be lived out with dignity. Our job as policy makers is to inform the public of the importance of planning ahead. Employers need to be encouraged to make private long-term care insurance coverage available to their employees. In turn, families should be encouraged to prepare themselves financially well in advance for this potential expense.

A similar proposal by my fellow Connecticut colleague in the House of Representatives, Congressman CHRIS SHAYS, has received strong bi-partisan support. My hope is that this commonsense, forward-looking proposal will receive the same kind of support by my colleagues here in the Senate. This Senate resolution truly represents an investment in our future.

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, today I am pleased to join Senator DODD in submitting a common-sense Senate resolution to raise public awareness of the need for all Americans to plan ahead for their long-term care needs.

Earlier this year, the Special Committee on Aging, which I chair, held a hearing to explore the challenges of providing long-term care for the baby boomer generation. A key message from that hearing was that policy makers need to encourage personal responsibility for financing long-term care.

It is difficult to pay for long-term care even when one has worked hard and saved for retirement. It's impossible when a family is not prepared. Unfortunately, many seniors and their families find out too late that they have not saved enough. Today's average cost of nursing home care is about \$40,000 a year. When individuals are faced with a chronic or disabling condition in retirement, they often quickly exhaust their resources. As a result, these individuals turn to Medicaid for help. In fact, the care for nearly 2 out of every 3 nursing home residents is paid for by Medicaid.

As policy makers, our job is to develop policies for public programs that can deliver efficient and cost-effective services. Yet, equally important is the role of private long-term care financing. We must inform everyone about the importance of planning for potential long-term care needs. And, we must provide incentives now for the baby boomer generation to prepare financially for their retirement.

As Congress works to prepare for a growing demand for long-term care services, the role of private long-term care insurance must not be ignored. Over the past ten years, the long-term care insurance market has grown significantly. The products that are available today are affordable and of high quality.

This common-sense proposal has also been introduced in the House of Representatives by Congress SHAYS where it has received strong bi-partisan support. I encourage my colleagues in the Senate to so-sponsor this worthwhile proposal. And, I look forward to the passage of this resolution this year.

SENATE RESOLUTION 227—EX-PRESSING THE SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING THE MAY 11, 1998 INDIAN NUCLEAR TESTS

Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for herself, Mr. BROWNBACK, and Mr. GLENN) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

S. RES. 227

Whereas the Government of India conducted an underground nuclear explosion on May 15, 1974;

Whereas since the 1974 nuclear test by the Government of India, the United States and its allies have worked extensively to prevent the further proliferation of nuclear weapons in South Asia;

Whereas on May 11, 1998, the Government of India conducted underground tests of three separate nuclear explosive devices, including a fission device, a low-yield device, and a thermo-nuclear device;

Whereas this decision by the Government of India has needlessly raised tension in the South Asia region and threatens to exacerbate the nuclear arms race in that region;

Whereas the five declared nuclear weapons states and 144 other nations have signed the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty in hopes of putting a permanent end to nuclear testing;

Whereas the Government of India has refused to sign the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty:

Whereas the Government of India has refused to sign the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty;

Whereas India has refused to enter into a safeguards agreement with the International Atomic Energy Agency covering any of its nuclear research facilities;

Whereas the Nuclear Proliferation Prevention Act of 1994 requires the President to impose a variety of aid and trade sanctions against any non-nuclear weapons state that detonates a nuclear explosive device; Therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate

(1) Condemns in the strongest possible terms the decision of the Government of India to conduct three nuclear tests on May 11, 1998;

(2) Calls upon the President to carry out the provisions of the Nuclear Proliferation Prevention Act of 1994 with respect to India and invoke all sanctions therein;

(3) Calls upon the Government of India to take immediate steps to reduce tensions that this unilateral and unnecessary step has caused;

(4) Expresses its regret that this decision by the Government of India will, of necessity, negatively affect relations between the United States and India;

(5) Urges the Government of Pakistan, the Government of the People's Republic of China, and all governments to exercise restraint in response to the Indian nuclear tests, in order to avoid further exacerbating the nuclear arms race in South Asia;

(6) Calls upon all governments in the region to take steps to prevent further proliferation of nuclear weapons and ballistic missiles; and

(7) Urges the Government of India to enter into a safeguards agreement with the International Atomic energy Agency which would cover all Indian nuclear research facilities at the earliest possible time.

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. Mr. President, at this time, on behalf of Senator BROWN-

BACK, Senator GLENN, and myself, I send to the desk for reference to committee a sense-of-the-Senate resolution which, in essence, deals with the explosion of three nuclear devices by the Government of India yesterday. As this body well knows, the Government of India conducted underground tests on three separate nuclear explosive devices, including a fission device, a lowyield device, and a thermonuclear device. They did this also very close to the border of Pakistan, thereby raising tensions between the two countries and in the entire south Asia region.

This sense of the Senate will condemn that explosion in the strongest possible terms and will call upon the President of the United States to carry out the provisions of the Nuclear Proliferation Prevention Act of 1994 with respect to India and invoke all sanctions therein.

It will also call upon the Government of India to take immediate steps to reduce tensions that this unilateral and unnecessary step has caused.

I am aware that Senator BROWN-BACK's subcommittee, of which I am a member, will be meeting tomorrow, and will be discussing this issue, and, hopefully, will be able to agree to this resolution.

I am delighted to work with the Senator, and I note that he is present on the floor at this time, so I will say no more but simply send this to the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Kansas.

Mr. BROWNBACK. Mr. President, I would like to note my support for the resolution of my colleague from California. I think this is an important. quick statement for us to be making to the Government of India and to the nations in the region, both Pakistan and China in particular. The nuclear test that took place yesterday will have a tremendously destabilizing impact in the region. It was a bad move on the part of the Government of India. I think this is something the U.S. Senate needs to speak out on clearly and quickly, to state our displeasure, and that this will have consequences to it. I urge the administration to put forward the sanctions that are called for in the Glenn amendment. I don't think we can stand by and tolerate the sort of actions that have taken place. I urge my colleagues to look at this resolution, to sign on. Hopefully, we can pass this in an expedited fashion.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED

THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDA-TION AUTHORIZATION ACT OF 1998

MCCAIN (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 2386

Mr. JEFFORDS (for Mr. McCain, for himself, Mr. Hollings, Mr. Jeffords, Mr. Kennedy, Mr. Frist, Mr. ROCKEFELLER, and Ms. COLLINS) proposed an amendment to the bill (S. 1046) to authorize appropriations for fiscal years 1998 and 1999 for the National Science Foundation, and for other purposes; as follows:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "National Science Foundation Authorization Act of 1998".

SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) DIRECTOR.—The term "Director" means the Director of the National Science Foundation established under section 2 of the National Science Foundation Act of 1950 (42 U.S.C. 1861).

(2) FOUNDATION.—The term "Foundation" means the National Science Foundation established under section 2 of the National Science Foundation Act of 1950 (42 U.S.C. 1861).

(d) BOARD.—The term "Board" means the National Science Board established under section 2 of the National Science Foundation Act of 1950 (42 U.S.C. 1861).

(4) UNITED STATES.—The term "United States" means the several States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and any other territory or possession of the United States.

(5) NATIONAL RESEARCH FACILITY.—The term "national research facility" means a research facility funded by the Foundation which is available, subject to appropriate policies allocating access, for use by all scientists and engineers affiliated with research institutions located in the United States.

TITLE I—NATIONAL SCIENCE

FOUNDATION AUTHORIZATION

SEC. 101. FINDINGS; CORE STRATEGIES.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) The United States depends upon its scientific and technological capabilities to preserve the military and economic security of the United States.

(2) America's leadership in the global marketplace is dependent upon a strong commitment to education, basic research, and development.

(3) A nation that is not technologically literate cannot compete in the emerging global economy.

(4) A coordinated commitment to mathematics and science instruction at all levels of education is a necessary component of successful efforts to produce technologically literate citizens.

(5) Professional development is a necessary component of efforts to produce system wide improvements in mathematics, engineering, and science education in secondary, elementary, and postsecondary settings.

(6)(A) The mission of the National Science Foundation is to provide Federal support for basic scientific and engineering research, and to be a primary contributor to mathematics, science, and engineering education at academic institutions in the United States.

(B) In accordance with such mission, the long-term goals of the National Science Foundation include providing leadership to—

(i) enable the United States to maintain a position of world leadership in all aspects of science, mathematics, engineering, and technology;

(ii) promote the discovery, integration, dissemination, and application of new knowledge in service to society; and

(iii) achieve excellence in United States science, mathematics, engineering, and technology education at all levels.