Christine Walker, Melissa Wills, Stephanie Young, Amanda Leitch, Ryan Levison, Dave Lymburner, Kelly Macneil, Joseph Martin, Jim Maxwell, Kerry McCarty, Caitlin McIntire, Robert Mee, Eric Meyer, Emily Morgano, Eric Mosse, Colleen Murphy, Cortiney Nye, Brian Paciulan, Jessica Pelletier, Lindsay Piper, Toby Porter, David Poberson, Katherine Rork, Seana Roussel, Amanda Rudy, Paul Schacht, Kayla Seaman, Carly Sheehan, Dennis Slozak, Stephanie Smith, Sarah Soucy, Jackie Sunderland, Georgia Theodore, Robert Tobin, Jay Vaccaro, Emily Violette, Kerry Walton, Adam Wobrock, Victoria

Kerry Walton, Adam Wobrock, Victoria Zabierek, Amanda Lever, Jesse Lore, Drew Macculloch, Dan Marchegiani, Lance Martin, Rachel McCarter, Shannon McCarty, Jen McMahon, Dan Melnick, Deryc Miller, John Morse, Jessica Moulton, Jessica Napier, Amanda Oswald, Enrique Paniagua, John Perry, Sue Plissey, Rebecca Predko, Mike Roberson, Jennifer Ross, Melissa Roy, Jack Ryan, Andrew Schroeder, Matthew Sharpe, Tim Sheehan, Crystal Smith, Kevin Socha, Ethan Stern, Nicki Sweet, Sarah Thesse, Peter Tomaselli, Jeff Vaccaro, Christina Vitale, Richard Williams, Renee Wright, Scott Zdankiewicz

## A TRIBUTE TO AN AMERICAN FREEDOM FIGHTER

• Mr. ROBB. Mr. President, as one man who had the privilege to march and demonstrate alongside this dedicated pioneer during the Civil Rights Movement, and another who has long respected his courage and is proud to represent him in the U.S. Senate, we both have enormous respect and admiration for James Farmer. Now, all Americans are being given the opportunity both to learn more about this man and to appreciate his lifetime of contributions to our nation as a civil rights activist, community leader and teacher.

Yesterday, on the birth date of the Reverend Martin Luther King, Jr., President Clinton presented the Presidential Medal of Freedom, our country's highest civilian honor, to fifteen distinguished Americans. We are grateful that James Farmer, one of the "Big Six" leaders of the Civil Rights Movement and the father of the Freedom Rides, was among them.

As the Nation prepares to officially celebrate the life and legacy of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., it is also fitting that we join the President in recognizing one of the great soldiers and leaders of the Civil Rights Movement. In the 1940's, while still in his early twenties, James Farmer was already leading some of the earliest nonviolent demonstrations and sit-ins in the Nation, over a decade before nonviolent tactics became a vehicle for the modern Civil Rights Movement in the South.

Early in his academic career, James Farmer became interested in the Ghandian principles of civil disobedience, direct action, and nonviolence. In 1942, at the age of 22, he enlisted an interracial group, mostly students, and founded the Congress of Racial Equality (CORE), with the goal of using nonviolent protest to fight segregation in America. During these early years,

James Farmer and other CORE members staged our Nation's first non-violent sit-in, which successfully desegregated the Jack Spratt Coffee Shop in Chicago.

Five years later, in what he called the "Journey of Reconciliation," James Farmer led other CORE members to challenge segregated seating on interstate buses.

In 1961, James Farmer orchestrated and led the famous Freedom Rides through the South, which are renown for forcing Americans to confront segregation in bus terminals and on interstate buses. In the spring of that year, James Farmer trained a small group of freedom riders, teaching them to deal with the hostility they were likely to encounter using nonviolent resistance. This training would serve them well.

During the journeys, freedom riders were beaten. Buses were burned. When riders and their supporters—including James Farmer and the Reverend Martin Luther King, Jr.—were trapped during a rally in Montgomery's First Baptist Church, Attorney General Robert Kennedy ordered U.S. marshals to come to their aid and protect them from the angry mob that had gathered outside.

In reflecting on the ride from Montgomery, Alabama to Jackson, Mississippi, James Farmer said, "I don't think any of us thought we were going to get to Jackson \*\*\* I was scared and I am sure the kids were scared." He later wrote in his autobiography, "If any man says that he had no fear in the action of the sixties, he is a liar. Or without imagination."

James Farmer made it to Jackson and spent forty days in jail after he tried to enter a white restroom at the bus station. On November 1, 1961, six months after the freedom rides began, the Interstate Commerce Commission ordered all interstate buses and terminal facilities to be integrated.

Six years ago, James Farmer told a reporter that while the fight against racism in the 1960's "required tough skulls and guts \* \* \* now it requires intellect, training and education."

Not surprisingly, James Farmer continues to do his part. Just as he taught his freedom riders how to battle segregation over three decades ago, he has taught civil rights history at Mary Washington College in Fredericksburg, Virginia, for the past twelve years. He teaches his students how to remember and how to learn from history.

James Farmer has, in truth, spent a lifetime teaching America the value of equality and opportunity. He has taught America that its most volatile social problems could be solved nonviolently. He has reminded us of the countless acts of courage and conviction needed to bring about great change. He has shown us the idealism needed to act and the pragmatism needed to succeed. His respect for humanity and his belief in justice will forever inspire those of us privileged to call him mentor and friend.

As we celebrate the Martin Luther King Holiday on Monday, and as we honor James Farmer with the Presidential Medal of Freedom, let us vow to continue to learn. If we truly believe in the idea of the beloved community and an interracial democracy, we cannot give up. As a nation and a people, we must join together and strive towards laying down the burden of race. And we must follow in the footsteps of a courageous leader, to whom, with the Presidential Medal of Freedom, we can finally say: thank you, James Farmer.

AUTHORIZING PRODUCTION OF SENATE DOCUMENTS BY SENATE LEGAL COUNSEL

Mr. COVERDELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Senate Resolution 178, submitted earlier today by Senators LOTT and DASCHLE.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the resolution.

The bill clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 178) to authorize production of Senate documents and representation by Senate Legal Counsel in *United States f.u.b.o. Kimberly Industries, Inc., et al.* v. *Trafalgar House Construction, Inc., et al.* 

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the immediate consideration of the resolution?

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, this resolution concerns a contract dispute, pending as a civil case in the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia, between a subcontractor and the prime contractor constructing a Department of Labor Job Corps facility in Charleston, West Virginia. Prior to the litigation, the subcontractor, a West Virginia firm, sought assistance from Senator Byrd's and Senator Rockefeller's offices in contacting the Labor Department regarding the firm's difficulties over payment for its work on the project. In the civil lawsuit that has ensued between the two contracting firms, the prime contractor has now requested that the offices of Senator BYRD and Senator ROCKEFELLER produce from their files copies of documents concerning the West Virginia Job Corps project.

The constituent subcontractor firm has advised, through the Senate Legal Counsel, that it has no objection to the release of its correspondence with the Senator's offices. Thus, the usual principle of constituent confidentiality is not implicated here. However, as is often the case when a constituent reports difficulties in dealing with an executive agency, Senator BYRD's office and Senator Rockefeller's office have advised that their constituent's communications regarding this matter informed the Senators' consideration of potential alternatives to address the problem, including undertaking legislative or oversight action regarding the Labor Department's construction program and procurement procedures. In

order to protect Senators' ability to undertake their legislative responsibilities free from interference and questioning, the Speech or Debate Clause of the Constitution privileges from compelled production in court proceedings materials from Senators' files relating to the legislative sphere.

Nevertheless, Senators Byrd and ROCKEFELLER are willing to provide to the parties in this case copies of documents reflecting their offices' role, to the extent that they may properly do so without impairing the important interests underlying the Senate's constitutional privileges. In view of the subcontractor's lack of objection, the Senators also have no objection to furnishing copies of their correspondence with the subcontractor. In addition, both Senators would like to provide the records of their communications with the Labor Department regarding this matter. Consistent with the overriding importance that the Constitution recognizes in fostering unimpeded communications between Senators and their staffs concerning matters of potential legislative action, the Senators will not waive their legislative privileges for their offices' internal records and work product.

Accordingly, this resolution would authorize Senator Byrd's and Senator Rockefeller's offices to produce documents in this case, except where a privilege or objection should be asserted. The resolution also would authorize the Senate Legal Counsel to represent employees in Senator Byrd's and Senator Rockefeller's offices, should such representation become necessary to protect the Senate's privileges in connection with this matter.

Mr. COVERDELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to, the preamble be agreed to, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and that any statements relating to the resolution appear at this point in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 178) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

The resolution, with its preamble, is as follows:

### S. RES. 178

Whereas, in the case of *United States f.u.b.o.* Kimberly Industries v. Trafalgar House Construction, Civil Case No. 97-0462, pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia, documents have been requested from the offices of Senator Robert C. Byrd and Senator John D. Rockefeller IV:

Whereas, by the privileges of the Senate of the United States and Rule XI of the Standing Rules of the Senate, no evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate may, by the judicial process, be taken from such control or possession but by permission of the Senate;

Whereas, pursuant to sections 703(a) and 704(a)(2) of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, 2 U.S.C. §§ 288b(a) and 288c(a)(2), the Senate may direct its counsel to represent employees of the Senate with respect to any subpoena, order, or request for evidence relating to their official responsibilities;

Whereas, when it appears that evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate may promote the administration of justice, the Senate will take such action as will promote the ends of justice consistently with the privileges of the Senate: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved That the offices of Senator Byrd and Senator Rockefeller are authorized to produce documents in the case of *United States f.u.b.o. Kimberly Industries* v. *Trafalgar House Construction* except concerning matters for which a privilege or objection should be asserted.

SEC. 2. That the Senate Legal Counsel is authorized to represent employees of the Senator Byrd and Senator Rockefeller in connection with any subpoena or request for documents or testimony in *United States f.u.b.o. Kimberly Industries* v. *Trafalgar House Construction*.

### ORDERS FOR FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 13, 1998

Mr. COVERDELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it stand in adjournment until the hour of 10 a.m. on Friday, February 13, for a pro forma session only and immediately the Senate stand in adjournment until Monday, February 23, as under the provisions of H. Con. Res 201, the adjournment resolution.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

# ORDERS FOR MONDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 1998

Mr. COVERDELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that on Monday, immediately following the prayer, the routine requests through the morning hour be granted, and the Senate then proceed to the reading of President Washington's Farewell Address by Senator Landrieu.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. COVERDELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that, following the reading, the Senate proceed to a period for the transaction of morning business until 3 p.m., with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

### ${\tt PROGRAM}$

Mr. COVERDELL. Mr. President, in conjunction with the previous unanimous consent agreements, tomorrow the Senate will be in a pro forma session only. Upon the return from the President's Day recess on February 23, the Senate will reconvene at 12 noon, and following Senator LANDRIEU's reading of George Washington's Address, the Senate will be in a period for morning business until 3 p.m. No rollcall votes will occur during the Monday, February 23, session of the Senate. Members can anticipate rollcall votes after 2:15 p.m. on Tuesday, February 24.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREE-MENT—CAMPAIGN FINANCE RE-FORM

Mr. COVERDELL. At 3 p.m. on Monday, February 23, 1998, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the campaign finance reform legislation, as outlined in the consent agreement of October 30, 1997.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### ORDER FOR ADJOURNMENT

Mr. COVERDELL. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I now ask that the Senate stand in adjournment, under the previous order, following the remarks of Senator Lautenberg and Senator Specter.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from New Jersey is recognized.

(The remarks of Mr. LAUTENBERG pertaining to the introduction of the legislation are located in today's RECORD under "Statements on Introduced Bills and Joint Resolutions.")

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senator from Pennsylvania is recognized.

Mr. SPECTER. Mr. President, I thank the Chair.

### IRAQ

Mr. SPECTER. Mr. President, I have sought recognition, and as the final speaker before we adjourn for a recess, I am going to comment about the situation in Iraq.

It had been my hope that the Congress might have addressed this issue. But it is obvious now that we will not. I think that the Congress—at least the Senate—is not addressing the issue because there is not clear-cut agreement in this body as to how to proceed.

My own view is that an air attack and a missile attack, if one is to be carried out, constitutes an act of war. And under the Constitution that requires authorization. Congressional President is authorized as the Commander in Chief-and there is only one Commander in Chief, and it is obvious that where the 535 Members of the Congress cannot agree upon a program that we are not committed to be the executive. That is why we have an executive. But still the Constitution requires that war would be declared only by an act of Congress. And I think the international law interpretations make it plain that military action, like air attack or missile attack, does constitute an act of war.

I believe that we have not yet seen a clear definition of U.S. objectives as to what we are seeking to accomplish. My sense is that the American people are not prepared for what may occur.

I make it a practice, as I know the Chair does, of having open house town meetings. And I had three this week—