

The action taken herein does not express or imply any viewpoint on the question of whether there are legal deficiencies in this or any other Clean Air Act program resulting from the effect of Montana's audit privilege and penalty immunity law. A State audit privilege and penalty immunity law can affect only State enforcement and cannot have any impact on Federal enforcement authorities. EPA may at any time invoke its authority under the Clean Air Act, including, for example, sections 113, 114, 167, 205, 211, or 213, to enforce the requirements or prohibitions of the State Plan, independently of any State enforcement effort. In addition, citizen enforcement under section 304 of the Clean Air Act is likewise unaffected by a State audit privilege and penalty immunity law.

#### *F. Petitions for Judicial Review*

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by September 8, 1998. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review must be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see section 307(b)(2)).

#### **List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 62**

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Methane, Municipal solid waste landfills, Nonmethane organic compounds, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: June 29, 1998.

**Jack W. McGraw,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region VIII.*

40 CFR part 62, subpart BB, is amended as follows:

#### **PART 62—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for part 62 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401–7642.

2. Subpart BB is added to read as follows:

#### **Subpart BB—Montana**

##### **Landfill Gas Emissions From Existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills**

Sec.

- 62.6600 Identification of plan.
- 62.6601 Identification of sources.
- 62.6602 Effective date.

#### **Subpart BB—Montana**

##### **Landfill Gas Emissions From Existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills**

###### **§ 62.6600 Identification of plan.**

"Section 111(d) Plan for Municipal Solid Waste Landfills" and the associated State regulations in sections 17.8.302(1)(j) and 17.8.340 of the Administrative Rules of Montana, submitted by the State on July 2, 1997.

###### **§ 62.6601 Identification of sources.**

The plan applies to all existing municipal solid waste landfills for which construction, reconstruction, or modification was commenced before May 30, 1991 that accepted waste at any time since November 8, 1987 or that have additional capacity available for future waste deposition, as described in 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cc.

###### **§ 62.6602 Effective date.**

The effective date of the plan for municipal solid waste landfills is September 8, 1998.

[FR Doc. 98–18082 Filed 7–7–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

##### **40 CFR Part 300**

[FRL–6119–6]

##### **National Oil and Hazardous Substances Contingency Plan; National Priorities List**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of partial deletion of the Hanford 100-Area (USDOE) Superfund site from the National Priorities List.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 10 announces the deletion of portions of the Hanford 100-Area (USDOE) Superfund Site. The portions deleted are waste areas located in the 100–IU–1 and 100–IU–3 Operable Units. The 100–IU–1 and 100–IU–3 Operable Units are part of the Hanford 100 Area NPL Site located at the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Hanford Site, located in southeastern Washington State. The NPL constitutes Appendix B of 40 CFR part 300 which is the National Oil and

Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP), which EPA promulgated pursuant to section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA). This partial deletion pertains to all known waste areas located in the 100–IU–1 and 100–IU–3 Operable Units. EPA and the Washington State Department of Ecology have determined that no further cleanup under CERCLA is required and that the selected remedy has been protective of public health, welfare, and the environment.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 8, 1998.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Dennis Faulk, Superfund Site Manager, USEPA, 712 Swift #5, Richland, Washington 99352; (509) 376–8631.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The partial deletion of the Hanford 100-Area (USDOE) NPL Site applies specifically to the 100–IU–1 and 100–IU–3 Operable Unit waste areas located at the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Hanford Site, located in southeastern Washington State. The waste areas in the 100–IU–1 and 100–IU–3 Operable Units were cleaned up by the DOE between 1992 and 1994 using expedited response actions (ERA). At the Hanford Site, the term ERA is used to describe actions taken under CERCLA removal authority as described in 40 CFR 300.415. In February 1996, a no further action record of decision was signed documenting that previous ERA's had removed all contaminants from the waste areas in the 100–IU–1 and 100–IU–3 Operable Units to below cleanup levels for residential use established under the Washington State Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA). It should be noted, cleanup activities are continuing at other operable units of the Hanford 100 Area NPL Site.

This partial deletion is in accordance with 40 CFR 300.425(e) and the Notice of Policy Change: Partial Deletion of Sites Listed on the National Priorities List, (60 FR 55466 (Nov. 1, 1995)).

A Notice of Intent to Delete for Partial Deletion was published on May 22, 1998 (63 FR 28317). The closing date for comments on the Notice of Intent to Delete was June 20, 1998. EPA received no comments.

EPA identifies sites on the NPL that appear to present a significant risk to human health or the environment. As described in § 300.425(e)(3) of the NCP, sites deleted from the NPL remain eligible for remedial actions in the unlikely event that conditions at the site warrant such action. Deletion of the waste areas from the NPL does not itself

create, alter, or revoke any individual rights or obligations.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 300

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Chemicals, Hazardous substances, Hazardous waste, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Superfund, Water pollution control, Water supply.

Dated: June 24, 1998.

**Chuck Clarke,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 10.*

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 40 CFR part 300 is amended as follows:

#### PART 300—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 300 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1321(c)(2); 42 U.S.C. 9601–9657; E.O. 12777, 56 FR 54757, 3 CFR,

1991 Comp., p. 351; E.O. 12580, 52 FR 2923, 3 CFR, 1987 Comp., p. 193.

2. Table 2 of appendix B to part 300 is amended by adding a “P” in the Notes column for the “Hanford 100-Area (USDOE) in Benton County, WA” to read as follows:

#### Appendix B to Part 300—National Priorities List

Table 2.—Federal Facilities Section

St	Site name	City/County	Notes (a)
WA	Hanford 100-Area (USDOE)	Benton County	P

(a) \* \* \*

P = Sites with partial deletion(s).

[FR Doc. 98–17684 Filed 7–7–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

##### 48 CFR Part 235

[DFARS Case 97–D002]

**Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Streamlined Research and Development Contracting; Correction**

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense (DOD).

**ACTION:** Correction to interim rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense is issuing a correction to the preamble to the interim rule published at 63 FR 34605, June 25, 1998, pertaining to streamlined research and development contracting.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 25, 1998.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, Attn: Mr. Michael Pelkey, (703) 602–0131.

#### Correction

In the issue of Thursday, June 25, 1998, on page 34605, in the second column, the last sentence of the **Background** section is corrected to read as follows: “This interim rule supersedes the interim rule published

under DFARS Case 96–D028 on April 4, 1997 (62 FR 16099).”

**Michele P. Peterson,**

*Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.*

[FR Doc. 98–18098 Filed 7–7–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000–04–M

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

**Research and Special Programs Administration**

##### 49 CFR Part 199

[RSPA Docket PS–128; Amendment 199–15]

RIN 2137–AC84

**Drug and Alcohol Testing; Substance Abuse Professional Evaluation for Drug Use; Correction**

**AGENCY:** Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** On March 17, 1998, RSPA published a final rule in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 12998) titled “Drug and Alcohol Testing; Substance Abuse Professional Evaluation for Drug Use.” This final rule modified procedures in its drug testing regulations by requiring a face-to-face evaluation by substance abuse professionals (SAP) for pipeline employees who have either received a positive drug test or have refused a drug test required by RSPA. It also revised the word “employee” to “covered employee” and added the definition of “covered function.” This document makes minor corrections to restore text that was in the original version of the

regulations, but was inadvertently left out of the Final Rule.

**DATES:** Effective on July 8, 1998.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Catrina M. Pavlik, Drug/Alcohol Program Analyst, Research and Special Programs Administration, Office of Pipeline Safety, Room 2335, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590. Telephone: (202) 366–6199, Fax: (202) 366–4566, e-mail: catrina.pavlik@RSPA.dot.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Correction of Publication

When RSPA published the final rule in the **Federal Register**, it inadvertently left out text that was stated in the original version of the regulations. This text was in the original version of the regulations and was inadvertently left out of the final rule text, so RSPA does not need further rulemaking action to correct the text. This final rule corrects the text. RSPA regrets any confusion the omission may have caused.

Accordingly, the publication on March 17, 1998, of the final rule, **Federal Register** Doc. 98–6859 (63 FR 12998), is corrected as follows:

#### § 199.7 [Corrected]

1. On page 13000, in the second column, add amendatory instruction 2a and an amendment to § 199.79(a)(3) to read as follows:

2a. Section 199.7 is amended by revising paragraph (a)(3) to read as follows:

#### § 199.7 Anti-drug plan.

\* \* \* \* \*

(a) \* \* \*