

shipment of material. This document restates the collections of information without substantive change.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid control number assigned by OMB.

Comments concerning suggestions for reducing the burden of the collections of information should be sent to the Regulations Branch, Office of Regulations and Rulings, U.S. Customs Service, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20229. A copy should also be sent to U.S. Customs Service, Information Services Group, Attention: J. Edgar Nichols, Room 3.2-C, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20229.

#### List of Subjects in 19 CFR Part 19

Customs duties and inspection, Exports, Freight, Imports, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Warehouses.

#### Amendments to the Regulations

Part 19, Customs Regulations (19 CFR part 19), is amended as set forth below.

#### PART 19—CUSTOMS WAREHOUSES, CONTAINER STATIONS, AND CONTROL OF MERCHANDISE THEREIN

1. The general authority citation for part 19, and the relevant sectional authority citation, continue to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 301; 19 U.S.C. 66, 1202 (General Note 20, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States), 1624.

\* \* \* \* \*

Sections 19.17–19.25 also issued under 19 U.S.C. 1312;

\* \* \* \* \*

2. Section 19.12 is amended by revising the seventh and eighth sentences of paragraph (d)(3), by revising the first sentence of paragraph (g), adding a sentence thereafter, and revising the last sentence of paragraph (g), and by revising the first sentence, respectively, of paragraphs (h)(1) and (h)(3), to read as follows:

#### § 19.12 Inventory control and recordkeeping system.

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) *Accountability for merchandise in a warehouse.* \* \* \*

(3) *Theft, shortage, overage or damage.* \* \* \* The proprietor must also record all shortages and overages as required in the Customs Form 300 or annual reconciliation report under paragraphs (g) or (h) of this section, as appropriate. Duties and taxes applicable

to any non-extraordinary shortage or damage and not required to be paid earlier must be reported and submitted to the port director no later than the date the certification of preparation of Customs Form 300 is due or at the time the certification of preparation of the annual reconciliation report is due, as prescribed in paragraphs (g) or (h) of this section. \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

(g) *Warehouse proprietor submission.* Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (h) of this section or

§ 19.19(b) of this part, the warehouse proprietor must prepare a Warehouse Proprietor's Submission on Customs Form (CF) 300 within 45 calendar days from the end of the business year and maintain the Submission on file for 5 years from the end of the business year covered by the Submission. The proprietor must submit to the port director, within 10 business days after preparation of the CF 300, a letter signed by the proprietor certifying that the CF 300 has been prepared, is available for Customs review, and is accurate. \* \* \* An alternative format may be used for providing the information required on the CF 300.

(h) *Annual reconciliation.* \* \* \*

(1) *Report.* Instead of preparing Customs Form 300 as required under paragraph (g) of this section, the proprietor of a class 2, importers' private bonded warehouse, and proprietors of classes 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 warehouses if the warehouse proprietor and the importer are the same party, must prepare a reconciliation report within 90 days after the end of the fiscal year unless the port director authorizes an extension for reasonable cause. \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

(3) *Certification.* The proprietor must submit to the port director within 10 business days after preparation of the annual reconciliation report, a letter signed by the proprietor certifying that the annual reconciliation has been prepared, is available for Customs review, and is accurate. \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

3. Section 19.17 is amended by revising the first and second sentences of paragraph (g) to read as follows:

#### § 19.17 Application to establish warehouse; bond.

\* \* \* \* \*

(g) *Statement of inventory and bond charges.* Where two or more smelting or refining warehouses are included under one blanket smelting and refining bond, an overall statement must be prepared and maintained by the principal named

in the bond by the 28th of each month, showing the inventory as of the close of the preceding month, of all metals on hand at each plant covered by the blanket bond and the total of bonded charges for all plants. If the warehouses covered by an overall statement are located in more than one port, each port director may choose to verify the accuracy of the inventory report only with respect to that portion of the report that relates to amounts held at a plant that is located within that port director's jurisdiction. \* \* \*

4. Section 19.19 is amended by revising the first sentence of paragraph (b) to read as follows:

#### § 19.19 Manufacturers' records; annual statement.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) Every manufacturer engaged in smelting or refining, or both, must prepare and submit to the port director at the port nearest which the plant is located an annual statement for the fiscal year for the plant involved not later than 60 days after the termination of that fiscal year. \* \* \*

**Raymond W. Kelly,**  
*Commissioner of Customs.*

Approved: September 15, 1999.

**John P. Simpson,**  
*Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.*  
[FR Doc. 99–27965 Filed 10–25–99; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4820–02–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

#### Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

#### 30 CFR Part 914

[SPATS No. IN–140–FOR; State Program Amendment No. 98–4]

#### Indiana Regulatory Program

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.  
**ACTION:** Final rule; approval of amendment.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is approving an amendment to the Indiana regulatory program (Indiana program) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). Indiana proposed additions of rules concerning blaster certification. Indiana intends to revise its program to improve operational efficiency.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 26, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Andrew R. Gilmore, Director, Indianapolis Field Office, Office of

Surface Mining, Minton-Capehart  
Federal Building, 575 North  
Pennsylvania Street, Room 301,  
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-1521.  
Telephone (317) 226-6700. Internet:  
INFOMAIL@indgw.osmre.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

- I. Background on the Indiana Program
- II. Submission of the Proposed Amendment
- III. Director's Findings
- IV. Summary and Disposition of Comments
- V. Director's Decision
- VI. Procedural Determinations

### I. Background on the Indiana Program

On July 29, 1982, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the Indiana program. You can find background information on the Indiana program, including the Secretary's findings, the disposition of comments, and the conditions of approval in the July 26, 1982, **Federal Register** (47 FR 32107). You can find later actions on the Indiana program at 30 CFR 914.10, 914.15, 914.16, and 914.17.

### II. Submission of the Proposed Amendment

By letter dated July 1, 1999 (Administrative Record No. IND-1659), Indiana sent us an amendment to its program under SMCRA. This amendment replaces State Program Amendment No. 94-6, which we approved in the March 10, 1995, **Federal Register** (60 FR 13038). Indiana sent the amendment, which amends the Indiana Administrative Code (IAC), at its own initiative.

We announced receipt of the amendment in the July 15, 1999, **Federal Register** (64 FR 38165). In the same document, we opened the public comment period and provided an opportunity for a public hearing or meeting on the adequacy of the amendment. The public comment period closed on August 16, 1999. Because no one requested a public hearing or meeting, we did not hold one.

### III. Director's Findings

Following, under SMCRA and the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 732.15 and 732.17, are our findings concerning the amendment.

#### A. Withdrawal of Previously Approved Amendment

Indiana notified us in its letter dated July 23, 1997 (Administrative Record No. IND-1578), that the statutory time frame for approving State Program Amendment No. 94-6 had expired prior to final approval. We approved this amendment, dated December 7, 1994 (Administrative Record No. IND-1416),

on March 10, 1995 (60 FR 13038). Since Indiana did not adopt the amendment, we are removing our approval and amending 30 CFR 914.15 to reflect this decision.

#### B. 310 IAC 12-8-4.1, Application for Certification

Indiana proposed to add this section to require persons wishing to become certified blasters to submit an application for certification to the department. The application must be in writing, on forms supplied by the department, and completed in accordance with the application instructions. If an application form is incomplete, the department will notify the applicant of the deficiencies. The applicant will then have thirty days to provide the required information. If the applicant does not provide the required information, the department will terminate the application. The director or an authorized representative may verify the information shown on the application. Finally, if the department terminates the application, the applicant will not be considered for certification. However, the applicant may submit a new application at any time.

Federal regulations at 30 CFR 850.15(a) require regulatory authorities to certify for a fixed period those candidates qualified to accept responsibility for blasting operations. We find that the proposed regulations at 310 IAC 12-8-4.1 are consistent with the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 850.15(a).

#### C. 30 IAC 12-8-8.1, Renewal

Indiana proposed to add this section to require a certified blaster to renew his or her certification every three years. The request for renewal must be submitted to the department in writing, on forms supplied by the department, within thirty days prior to expiration of the certificate. The department will approve the renewal request if the certified blaster has worked at least twelve months of the preceding thirty-six as a certified blaster and is not in violation of 310 IAC 12-8-9. If the certified blaster does not renew his or her certification within one year after expiration, the certificate will no longer be renewable. A blaster must then submit a new application for certification. The department will send a renewal notice to each registrant at least two months before expiration of certification. Finally, the renewal notice and all other communications will be sent to the last address the registrant gave to the department. Failure to receive a renewal notice does not relieve

the certified blaster of the obligation to renew his or her certification.

Federal regulations at 30 CFR 850.15(c) allow a regulatory authority to require periodic reexamination, training, or other demonstration of continued blaster competency. The proposed regulations at 310 IAC 12-8-8.1 are consistent with the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 850.15(c). Therefore, we approve them.

### IV. Summary and Disposition of Comments

#### Public Comments

OSM requested public comments on the proposed amendment, but did not receive any.

#### Federal Agency Comments

Under 30 CFR 732.17(h)(11)(i), we requested comments on the amendment from various Federal agencies with an actual or potential interest in the Indiana program (Administrative Record No. IND-1660). By letter dated July 19, 1999 (Administrative Record No. IND-1661), the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) responded to our request by stating that Indiana's amendment does not conflict with MSHA regulations or policies.

#### Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

Under 30 CFR 732.17(h)(11)(ii), we are required to get a written agreement from the EPA for those provisions of the program amendment that relate to air or water quality standards issued under the authority of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.*) or the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*). None of the revisions that Indiana proposed to make in this amendment pertain to air or water quality standards. Therefore, we did not ask the EPA to agree on the amendment.

Under 30 CFR 732.17(h)(11)(i), we requested comments on the amendment from the EPA (Administrative Record No. IND-1660). The EPA did not respond to our request.

#### State Historical Preservation Officer (SHPO) and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP)

Under 30 CFR 732.17(h)(4), we are required to request comments from the SHPO and ACHP on amendments that may have an effect on historic properties. On July 8, 1999, we requested comments on Indiana's amendment (Administrative Record No. IND-1660), but neither responded to our request.

### V. Director's Decision

Based on the above findings, we approve the amendment as sent to us by

Indiana on July 1, 1999. We approve the rules that Indiana proposed with the provision that they be published in identical form to the rules submitted to and reviewed by OSM and the public.

To implement this decision, we are amending the Federal regulations at 30 CFR Part 914, which codify decisions concerning the Indiana program. We are making this final rule effective immediately to expedite the State program amendment process and to encourage Indiana to bring its program into conformity with the Federal standards. SMCRA requires consistency of State and Federal standards.

For reasons discussed in finding III.A., we are also amending 30 CFR Part 914 by removing the approval of an amendment that Indiana submitted on December 7, 1994.

## VI. Procedural Determinations

### Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) exempts this rule from review under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review).

### Executive Order 12988

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30

U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on State regulatory programs and program amendments must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

### National Environmental Policy Act

This rule does not require an environmental impact statement since section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

### Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 *et seq.*).

### Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon corresponding Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Therefore, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously

published by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the corresponding Federal regulations.

### Unfunded Mandates

OSM has determined and certifies under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. 1502 *et seq.*) that this rule will not impose a cost of \$100 million or more in any given year on local, state, or tribal governments or private entities.

### List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 914

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: October 6, 1999.

**Brent Wahlquist,**

*Regional Director, Mid-Continent Regional Coordinating Center.*

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 30 CFR part 914 is amended as set forth below:

### PART 914—INDIANA

1. The authority citation for part 914 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 30 U.S.C. 1201 *et seq.*

2. Section 914.15 is amended in the table by removing the entry for "Original amendment submission date" of December 7, 1994, and by adding a new entry in chronological order by "Date of final publication" to read as follows:

#### § 914.15 Approval of Indiana regulatory program amendments.

\* \* \* \* \*

Original amendment submission date	Date of final publication	Citation/description
* * *	* * *	* * *
July 1, 1999 .....	October 26, 1999 .....	310 IAC 12-8-4.1; -8.1.

[FR Doc. 99-27846 Filed 10-25-99; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4310-05-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

#### 30 CFR Parts 924

[SPATS No. MS-015-FOR]

### Mississippi Regulatory Program

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.

**ACTION:** Final rule; approval of amendment.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is approving an amendment to the Mississippi regulatory program (Mississippi program) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). Mississippi proposed revisions to regulations concerning formal hearings; bond release; hydrologic balance; cessation orders; formal review of citations; definitions; areas where mining is prohibited or limited; performance bonds; pre-

blasting surveys; permitting; inspections; coal exploration; qualified laboratories; disposal of excess spoil; coal mine waste impounding structures; backfilling and grading; roads; and coal preparation plant performance standards. The State also proposed to correct typographical errors and make other non-substantive revisions. Mississippi intends to revise its program to be consistent with the corresponding Federal regulations.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 26, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Arthur W. Abbs, Director, Birmingham Field Office, Office of Surface Mining,