

to §§ 307.5, 350.7, 351.8, 351.9, 352.5, 354.101, 355.12, 362.5 and 381.38 is \$50.04 per hour per program employee.

§ 391.4 Laboratory services rate.

The rate for laboratory services provided pursuant to §§ 350.7, 351.9, 352.5, 354.101, 355.12, and 362.5 is \$61.80 per hour per program employee.

PART 590—INSPECTION OF EGGS AND EGG PRODUCTS (EGG PRODUCTS INSPECTION ACT)

■ 3. The authority citation for Part 590 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 1031–1056.

■ 4. Section 590.126 is revised to read as follows:

§ 590.126 Overtime inspection service.

When operations in an official plant require the services of inspection personnel beyond their regularly assigned tour of duty on any day or on a day outside the established schedule, such services are considered as overtime work. The official plant must give reasonable advance notice to the inspector of any overtime service necessary and must pay the Agency for such overtime at an hourly rate of \$50.04.

■ 5. In § 590.128, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 590.128 Holiday inspection service.

(a) When an official plant requires inspection service on a holiday or a day designated in lieu of a holiday, such service is considered holiday work. The official plant must, in advance of such holiday work, request the inspector in charge to furnish inspection service during such period and must pay the Agency for such holiday work at an hourly rate of \$50.04.

PART 592—VOLUNTARY INSPECTION OF EGG PRODUCTS

■ 6. The authority citation for Part 592 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1621–1627.

■ 7. Sections 592.2, 592.3, and 592.4 are revised to read as follows:

- 592.2 Base time rate.
- 592.3 Overtime rate.
- 592.4 Holiday rate.
- 592.2 Base time rate.

The base time rate for voluntary inspection services of egg products is \$43.64 per hour per program employee.

§ 592.3 Overtime rate.

When operations in an official plant require the services of inspection personnel beyond their regularly

assigned tour of duty on any day or on a day outside the established schedule, such services are considered as overtime work. The official plant must give reasonable advance notice to the inspector of any overtime service necessary and must pay the Agency for such overtime at an hourly rate of \$50.04.

§ 592.4 Holiday rate.

When an official plant requires voluntary inspection service on a holiday or a day designated in lieu of a holiday, such service is considered holiday work. The official plant must, in advance of such holiday work, request the inspector in charge to furnish inspection service during such period and must pay the Agency for such holiday work at an hourly rate of \$50.04.

Done at Washington, DC on: June 23, 2003.

Garry L. McKee,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 03–16167 Filed 6–25–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–DM–P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

12 CFR Part 229

[Regulation CC; Docket No. R–1150]

Availability of Funds and Collection of Checks; Correction

AGENCY: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: The Board of Governors is correcting the supplementary information that it provided in connection with a final rule updating the routing numbers for Federal Reserve Banks and Federal Home Loan Banks, which was published in the **Federal Register** of May 28, 2003.

DATES: The final rule is effective July 28, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Adrienne G. Threatt, Counsel, 202–452–3554, Legal Division. For users of Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD) only, contact 202–263–4869.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Board published a final rule in the **Federal Register** of May 28, 2003, that amended the Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Bank routing information listed in appendix A of Regulation CC, effective July 28, 2003. The supplementary information for this final rule also included detailed information about an upcoming series of amendments to appendix A that will reflect the transfer of check processing

activities within the Federal Reserve system. The Board specifically described which routing symbols in appendix A would be affected by the upcoming restructuring, indicating both the current office to which each affected routing symbol is assigned and the office to which it will be assigned after the restructuring. Inadvertently, the Board omitted from this supplementary information two routing symbols that will be transferred from the Richmond head office to the Baltimore branch. This document corrects the error by adding the two previously omitted routing symbols, 0514 and 2514, to the Baltimore branch routing symbol list in the supplementary information.

In the final rule, FR Doc. 03–13030 (68 FR 31592 (May 28, 2003)), make the following corrections in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section. On page 31595, in the first column, replace routing symbol list 3. with the following:

3. Baltimore.

The operations of the Richmond head office will be transferred such that banks with the following Federal Reserve routing symbols will be local to the Baltimore branch:

0510	2510
0514	2514
0520	2520
0521	2521
0522	2522
0540	2540
0550	2550
0560	2560
0570	2570

By order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, June 19, 2003.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 03–16051 Filed 6–25–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2003–SW–26–AD; Amendment 39–13198; AD 2003–12–13]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Agusta S.p.A. Model A109K2 Helicopters

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) for

Agusta S.p.A (Agusta) Model A109K2 helicopters. This action requires a visual check of each tail rotor blade (blade) for a crack; a visual inspection of each blade for a crack at specified intervals; and if necessary, a dye-penetrant inspection. Replacing any cracked blade with an airworthy blade before further flight is also required. This amendment is prompted by a report of a crack that occurred on an Agusta Model A109K2 blade. The actions specified in this AD are intended to detect fatigue cracks on the blades, which could result in loss of the blades and loss of control of the helicopter.

DATES: Effective July 11, 2003.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of July 11, 2003.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before August 25, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2003–SW–26–AD, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas 76137. You may also send comments electronically to the Rules Docket at the following address: 9-asw-adcomments@faa.gov. 229111, fax 39 (0331) 229605–222595. This information may be examined at the FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard Monschke, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, Rotorcraft Directorate, Rotorcraft Standards Staff, Fort Worth, Texas 76193–0110, telephone (817) 222–5116, fax (817) 222–5961.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Ente Nazionale per l'Aviazione Civile (ENAC), the airworthiness authority for Italy, notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on Agusta A 109K2 helicopters. ENAC advises that checks/inspections are required to verify the presence of cracks on the blades, part number (P/N) 109–8132–01–107.

Agusta has issued Alert Bollettino Tecnico No. 109K–35, dated May 13, 2003 (ABT), which specifies checks/inspections to verify the possible presence of cracks on the upper and lower surfaces of blades having accumulated 1,500 or more operating hours. ENAC classified this ABT as mandatory and issued AD N.2003–169, dated May 16, 2003, to ensure the

continued airworthiness of these helicopters in Italy.

This helicopter model is manufactured in Italy and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of 14 CFR 21.29 and the applicable bilateral agreement. Pursuant to the applicable bilateral agreement, ENAC has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the ENAC, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

This unsafe condition is likely to exist or develop on other Agusta Model A109K2 helicopters of the same type design registered in the United States. Therefore, this AD is being issued to detect fatigue cracks on the blades, which could result in loss of the blades and loss of control of the helicopter. This AD requires:

- Visually checking the upper and lower surfaces of the blades for cracks prior to each start of the helicopter engines.
- Visually inspecting the blades using a 5x or higher magnifying glass before the first flight of each day, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 5 hours time-in-service (TIS), and anytime an increase in vibration levels occurs.
- Inspecting the blades using a dye-penetrant method after each of the visual inspections in which you used a 5x or higher magnifying glass if you are unable to determine by the visual inspection whether there is a crack.
- Replacing any cracked blade with an airworthy blade before further flight. The actions must be done in accordance with the ABT described previously. The short compliance time involved is required because the previously described critical unsafe condition can adversely affect the controllability and structural integrity of the helicopter. Therefore, the visual checks, visual inspections, dye-penetrant inspections, if necessary, and replacing any cracked blade are required before further flight or within 5 hours TIS, as indicated, and this AD must be issued immediately.

The visual check required by paragraph (a) of this AD may be performed by an owner/operator (pilot) holding at least a private pilot certificate, but must be entered into the aircraft records showing compliance in accordance with 14 CFR 43.11 and 91.417(a)(2)(v). This AD allows a pilot to perform this check because it involves only a visual check for a crack in a surface of the blade, and can be performed equally well by a pilot or a mechanic.

The unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop on other helicopters of the same type design registered in the United States. Therefore, this AD is being issued to detect a fatigue crack on the blades, which could result in loss of the blades and loss of control of the helicopter.

On July 10, 2002, the FAA issued a new version of 14 CFR part 39 (67 FR 47997, July 22, 2002), which governs the FAA's AD system. The regulation now includes material that relates to altered products, special flight permits, and alternative methods of compliance. Because we have now included this material in part 39, we no longer need to include it in each individual AD.

The FAA estimates that this AD will affect 5 helicopters, and the inspections and replacement will take approximately 2.5 work hours to accomplish at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts will cost approximately \$20,000 per helicopter. Based on these figures, the total estimated cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is \$100,750, assuming all blades are replaced one time.

Comments Invited

Although this action is in the form of a final rule that involves requirements affecting flight safety and, thus, was not preceded by notice and an opportunity for public comment, comments are invited on this rule. Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified under the caption **ADDRESSES**. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered, and this rule may be amended in light of the comments received. Factual information that supports the commenter's ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the AD action and determining whether additional rulemaking action would be needed.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. All comments submitted will be available in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this AD will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their mailed comments submitted in response to this

rule must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 2003-SW-26-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

The FAA has determined that this regulation is an emergency regulation that must be issued immediately to correct an unsafe condition in aircraft, and that it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866. It has been determined further that this action involves an emergency regulation under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44

FR 11034, February 26, 1979). If it is determined that this emergency regulation otherwise would be significant under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures, a final regulatory evaluation will be prepared and placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it, if filed, may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive to read as follows:

2003-12-13 Agusta S.p.A.: Amendment 39-13198. Docket No. 2003-SW-26-AD.

Applicability: Model A109K2 helicopters with tail rotor blades (blades), part number (P/N) 109-8132-01-107, having 1,500 or more hours time-in-service (TIS), installed, certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To detect a fatigue crack on the blades, which could result in loss of the blades and loss of control of the helicopter, accomplish the following:

(a) Before each start of the helicopter engines, visually check the upper and lower surfaces of each blade for a crack in the area depicted in Figure 1 of this AD. An owner/operator (pilot) holding at least a private pilot certificate may perform this check, but must enter compliance with this paragraph into the aircraft records in accordance with 14 CFR sections 43.11 and 91.417(a)(2)(v). See Figure 1:




FIGURE 1

Note 1: Paint irregularities on the blade may be due to a crack.

(b) Before the first flight of each day, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 5 hours TIS, and anytime an increase in vibration levels occurs, inspect each blade for a crack using a 5x or higher magnifying glass in accordance with Part II of the Compliance Instructions of Alert Bollettino Tecnico No.

109K-35, dated May 13, 2003 (ABT) and Figure 1 of this AD.

(c) After each visual inspection using a 5x or higher magnifying glass and before further flight, if you are unable to determine by the visual inspection whether there is a crack, inspect each blade for a crack using a dye-penetrant method in accordance with Part II of the Compliance Instructions of the ABT and Figure 1 of this AD.

(d) If a crack is found, replace each cracked blade with an airworthy blade before further flight.

(e) To request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD, follow the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Contact the Safety Management Group, Rotorcraft Directorate, FAA, for information about previously approved alternative methods of compliance.

(f) Special flight permits will not be issued.

(g) The inspections and replacements, if necessary, shall be done in accordance with Agusta Alert Bollettino Tecnico No. 109K-35, dated May 13, 2003, except reporting findings of cracks to Agusta Service Engineering is not required. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Agusta, 21017 Cascina Costa di Samarate (VA) Italy, Via Giovanni Agusta 520, telephone 39 (0331) 229111, fax 39 (0331) 229605-222595. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(h) This amendment becomes effective on July 11, 2003.

Note 2: The subject of this AD is addressed in Ente Nazionale per l'Aviazione Civile (Italy) AD N.2003-169, dated May 16, 2003.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on June 11, 2003.

Jerald E. Strentz,

*Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate,
Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 03-15447 Filed 6-25-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 96-ANE-40-AD; Amendment 39-13212; AD 97-18-02R1]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Hartzell Propeller Inc. ()HC-()(2,3)(X,V)()-() Series and HA-A2V20-1B Series Propellers with Aluminum Blades

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment revises an existing airworthiness directive (AD), that is applicable to Hartzell Propeller Inc. ()HC-()(2,3)(X,V)()-() series and HA-A2V20-1B series propellers with aluminum blades. That AD currently requires initial and repetitive dye penetrant and eddy current inspections of the blade and an optical comparator inspection of the blade retention area, and, if necessary, replacement with serviceable parts. In addition, that AD currently requires initial and repetitive visual and magnetic particle inspection of the blade clamp, dye penetrant inspection of the blade internal bearing bore, and, if necessary, replacement with serviceable parts. Also, for all HC-(1,4,5,8)(2,3)(X,V)()-() steel hub

propellers, that AD currently requires an additional initial and repetitive visual and magnetic particle inspection of the hub, and, if necessary, replacement with serviceable parts. This amendment revises that AD by permitting the replacement of affected propellers with Hartzell Propeller Inc. model "MV" series propellers as an optional terminating action for the initial and repetitive inspections of that AD. This amendment is prompted by type certification approval of the Hartzell "MV" series propellers that are direct replacements for the affected propellers, and service bulletin approval to allow modification of affected propellers to the "MV" type design configuration. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent blade separation due to cracked blades, hubs, or blade clamps, which can result in loss of control of the airplane.

DATES: Effective July 31, 2003. The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations was approved previously by the Director of the Federal Register as of September 11, 1997 (62 FR 45309).

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Hartzell Propeller Inc., One Propeller Place, Piqua, OH 45356-2634, ATTN: Product Support; telephone (937) 778-4200; fax (937) 778-4321. This information may be examined, by appointment, at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tomaso DiPaolo, Aerospace Engineer, Chicago Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 2300 East Devon Ave., Des Plaines, IL 60018; telephone (847) 294-7031; fax (847) 294-7834.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) by revising AD 97-18-02, Amendment 39-10112 (62 FR 45309, August 27, 1997), which is applicable to Hartzell Propeller Inc. ()HC-()(2,3)(X,V)()-() series and HA-A2V20-1B series propellers with aluminum blades was published in the **Federal Register** on January 2, 2003 (68 FR 71). That action proposed to revise AD 97-18-02 by introducing as an optional terminating action for the initial and repetitive inspections of that AD, replacement of affected propellers with Hartzell Propeller Inc. model "MV" series propellers.

Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. No comments were received on the proposal or the FAA's determination of the cost to the public. The FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

Regulatory Analysis

This final rule does not have federalism implications, as defined in Executive Order 13132, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Accordingly, the FAA has not consulted with state authorities prior to publication of this final rule.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing Amendment 39-10112 (62 FR 45309, August 27, 1997) and by adding a new airworthiness directive, Amendment 39-XXXXX, to read as follows: