three or more children; slow down the phase-out for individuals with no children; and permanently extend the provision which allows members of the armed forces to include combat pay as income for EITC computations. By making these changes, more individuals and families would benefit from the EITC.

First, the legislation increases marriage penalty relief and makes it permanent. In the way that the EITC is currently structured, many single individuals that marry find themselves faced with a reduction in their EITC once they are married. The tax code should not penalize individuals who marry.

Second, the legislation increases the credit for families with three or more children. This proposal would make the credit more generous for families with 3 or more children. Increasing the credit rate results in an increase in the phase-out range. More families would be able to benefit from the EITC. The poverty level for an adult living with three children is \$19,233. Under current law, an adult living with three children and eligible for the maximum EITC with income equivalent to the phaseout income level would still have income below the poverty level. This provision would lift this family above the poverty level. Some 36 percent of all children live in families with at least three children and more than half of poor children live in such families.

Third, the legislation would slow down the phase-out rate for individuals without children. It would result in more individuals without children eligible for the credit. For 2005, an individual with earnings above \$11,750 would not be eligible for the EITC. Under the proposal, an individual with earnings above \$16,950 would not be eligible for the EITC. The EITC for individuals with no children only offsets a portion of federal taxes. Giving more individuals the EITC would help provide an incentive to work.

Fourth, the Working Families Tax Relief Act of 2004 included a provision which would treat combat pay as earned income for purposes of computing the child credit. This provision expires at the end of the year. This legislation makes this provision permanent. There is no reason why a member of the armed services should lose their EITC when they are mobilized and serving their country.

This legislation will help those who most need our help. It will put more money in their pay check. We need to invest in our families and help individuals who want to make a living by working. We are all aware of our fiscal situation and we should legislate in a responsible manner. It is a time for shared sacrifice. We do not need to extend tax cuts or allow tax cuts to go forward that only benefit those earning over \$200,000. We cannot keep adding to the deficit

Thank you for your consideration.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 265—RECOGNIZING 2005 AS THE YEAR OF THE 50TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE CROP SCIENCE SOCIETY OF AMERICA

Mr. FEINGOLD submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry:

#### S. RES. 265

Whereas the Crop Science Society of America was founded in 1955, with Gerald O. Mott as its first President:

Whereas the Crop Science Society of America is one of the premier scientific societies in the world, as shown by its world-class journals, international and regional meetings, and development of a broad range of educational opportunities;

Whereas the science and scholarship of the Crop Science Society of America are mission-directed, with the goal of addressing agricultural challenges facing humanity:

Whereas the Crop Science Society of America significantly contributes to the scientific and technical knowledge necessary to protect and sustain natural resources in the United States:

Whereas the Crop Science Society plays a key role internationally in developing sustainable agricultural management and biodiversity conservation for the protection and sound management of the crop resources of the world:

Whereas the mission of the Crop Science Society of America continues to expand, from the development of sustainable production of food and forage, to the production of renewable energy and novel industrial products;

Whereas, in industry, extension, and basic research, the Crop Science Society of America has fostered a dedicated professional and scientific community that, in 2005, includes more than 3,000 members; and

Whereas the American Society of Agronomy was the parent society that led to the formation of both the Crop Science Society of America and the Soil Science Society of America and fostered the development of the common overall management of the 3 sister societies: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) recognizes 2005 as the 50th Anniversary year of the Crop Science Society of America;

(2) commends the Crop Science Society of America for 50 years of dedicated service to advance the science and practice of crop science; and

(3) acknowledges the promise of the Crop Science Society of America to continue to enrich the lives of all citizens, by improving stewardship of the environment, combating world hunger, and enhancing the quality of life for the next 50 years and beyond.

SENATE RESOLUTION 266—DESIGNATING THE MONTH OF OCTOBER 2005, AS "FAMILY HISTORY MONTH"

Mr. HATCH submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

## S. RES. 266

Whereas it is the family, striving for a future of opportunity and hope, that reflects our Nation's belief in community, stability, and love:

Whereas the family remains an institution of promise, reliance, and encouragement;

Whereas we look to the family as an unwavering symbol of constancy that will help us discover a future of prosperity, promise, and potential:

Whereas within our Nation's libraries and archives lie the treasured records that detail the history of our Nation, our States, our communities, and our citizens;

Whereas individuals from across our Nation and across the world have embarked on a genealogical journey by discovering who their ancestors were and how various forces shaped their past;

Whereas an ever-growing number of people in our Nation, and in other nations, are collecting, preserving, and sharing genealogies, personal documents, and memorabilia that detail the life and times of families around the world:

Whereas 54,000,000 individuals belong to a family where someone in the family has used the Internet to research their family history;

Whereas individuals from across our Nation, and across the world, continue to research their family heritage and its impact upon the history of our Nation and the world:

Whereas approximately 60 percent of Americans have expressed an interest in tracing their family history;

Whereas the study of family history gives individuals a sense of their heritage and a sense of responsibility in carrying out a legacy that their ancestors began;

Whereas as individuals learn about their ancestors who worked so hard and sacrificed so much, their commitment to honor the memory of their ancestors by doing good is increased;

Whereas interest in our personal family history transcends all cultural and religious affiliations:

Whereas to encourage family history research, education, and the sharing of knowledge is to renew the commitment to the concept of home and family; and

Whereas the involvement of national, State, and local officials in promoting genealogy and in facilitating access to family history records in archives and libraries are important factors in the successful perception of nationwide camaraderie, support, and participation: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved. That the Senate—

(1) designates the month of October 2005, as "Family History Month"; and

(2) calls upon the people of the United States to observe the month with appropriate ceremonies and activities.

SENATE RESOLUTION 267—TO AUTHORIZE TESTIMONY, DOCUMENT PRODUCTION, AND LEGAL REPRESENTATION IN STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE V. ANNE MILLER, MARY LEE SARGENT, JESSICA ELLIS, LYNN CHONG, DONALD BOOTH, EILEEN REARDON

Mr. FRIST (for himself and Mr. REID) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

Whereas, in the cases of State of New Hampshire v. Anne Miller, Mary Lee Sargent, Jessica Ellis, Lynn Chong, Donald Booth, Eileen Reardon, pending in Concord District Court, New Hampshire, testimony and documents have been requested from Carol Carpenter, an employee in the office of Senator Judd Gregg;

Whereas, pursuant to sections 703(a) and 704(a)(2) of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, 2 U.S.C. §§288b(a) and 288c(a)(2), the Senate may direct its counsel to represent an employee of the Senate with respect to

any subpoena, order, or request for testimony relating to their official responsibilities:

Whereas, by the privileges of the Senate of the United States and Rule XI of the Standing Rules of the Senate, no evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate may, by the judicial or administrative process, be taken from such control or possession but by permission of the Senate;

Whereas, when it appears that evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate may promote the administration of justice, the Senate will take such action as will promote the ends of justice consistent with the privileges of the Senate: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved that Carol Carpenter and other employees of Senator Gregg's office from whom testimony or the production of documents may be required are authorized to testify and produce documents in the cases of State of New Hampshire v. Anne Miller, Mary Lee Sargent, Jessica Ellis, Lynn Chong, Donald Booth, Eileen Reardon, except concerning matters for which a privilege should be asserted.

Sec. 2. The Senate Legal Counsel is authorized to represent Carol Carpenter and other employees of Senator Gregg's office in connection with the testimony and document production authorized in section one of this resolution.

# AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 2046. Mr. SCHUMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1042, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2006 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe personnel strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2047. Mr. NELSON of Florida (for himself, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. MARTINEZ, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, Mr. THUNE, Mrs. BOXER, Ms. LANDRIEU, Mr. BINGAMAN, Ms. CANTWELL, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. HARKIN, Mr. KERRY, and Ms. MIKULSKI) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2863, making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2048. Mr. HARKIN (for himself and Mr. DORGAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1955 proposed by Mr. WARNER (for himself and Mr. LEVIN) to the bill H.R. 2863, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2049. Mr. WARNER (for himself and Mr. Levin) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1955 proposed by Mr. WARNER (for himself and Mr. Levin) to the bill H.R. 2863, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2050. Mr. SCHUMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1955 proposed by Mr. WARNER (for himself and Mr. LEVIN) to the bill H.R. 2863, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2051. Mrs. CLINTON (for herself, Ms. Mikulski, Mr. Lautenberg, Mr. Harkin, Mr. Jeffords, Mr. Reed, Mr. Salazar, Mr. Obama, Mrs. Boxer, Ms. Stabenow, Mr. Corzine, Mr. Schumer, Mr. Durbin, Mrs. Feinstein, Mr. Feingold, Mr. Carper, Mr. Johnson, and Mr. Leahy) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1042, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2006 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for mili-

tary construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe personnel strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2052. Mr. INHOFE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1955 proposed by Mr. Warner (for himself and Mr. Levin) to the bill H.R. 2863, making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

#### TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 2046. Mr. SCHUMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1042, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2006 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe personnel strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 378, between lines 10 and 11, insert the following:

#### SEC. 31 . MEDICAL ISOTOPE PRODUCTION.

Section 134 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2160d) (as amended by section 630 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (Public Law 109-58; 119 Stat. 594)) is amended—

- (1) in subsection a., by striking "Except as provided in subsection b., the Commission" and inserting "The Commission";
- (2) by striking subsection b.; and
- (3) by redesignating subsection c. as subsection b.

SA 2047. Mr. NELSON of Florida (for himself, Mr. Corzine, Mr. Martinez, Mr. Nelson of Nebraska, Mr. Thune, Mrs. Boxer, Ms. Landrieu, Mr. Bingaman, Ms. Cantwell, Mrs. Feinstein, Mr. Harkin, Mr. Kerry, and Ms. Mikulski) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2863, making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of subtitle D of title VI of division A, as added by Senate amendment No. 1955, add the following:

# SEC. 642. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT OF REDUCTION OF SBP SURVIVOR ANNUITIES BY DEPENDENCY AND INDEMNITY COMPENSATION.

- (a) REPEAL.—Subchapter II of chapter 73 of title 10, United States Code is amended—
- (1) in section 1450(c)(1), by inserting after "to whom section 1448 of this title applies" the following: "(except in the case of a death as described in subsection (d) or (f) of such section)"; and
  - (2) in section 1451(c)—
- (A) by striking paragraph (2); and
- (B) by redesignating paragraphs (3) and (4) as paragraphs (2) and (3), respectively.
- (b) Prohibition on Retroactive Benefits.—No benefits may be paid to any person for any period before the effective date provided under subsection (e) by reason of the amendments made by subsection (a).
- (c) PROHIBITION ON RECOUPMENT OF CERTAIN AMOUNTS PREVIOUSLY REFUNDED TO SBP RECIPIENTS.—A surviving spouse who is or has been in receipt of an annuity under the Survivor Benefit Plan under subchapter II of

chapter 73 of title 10, United States Code, that is in effect before the effective date provided under subsection (e) and that is adjusted by reason of the amendments made by subsection (a) and who has received a refund of retired pay under section 1450(e) of title 10, United States Code, shall not be required to repay such refund to the United States.

(d) RECONSIDERATION OF OPTIONAL ANNU-ITY.—Section 1448(d)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new sentences: "The surviving spouse, however, may elect to terminate an annuity under this subparagraph in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Secretary concerned. Upon such an election, payment of an annuity to dependent children under this subparagraph shall terminate effective on the first day of the first month that begins after the date on which the Secretary concerned receives notice of the election, and, beginning on that day, an annuity shall be paid to the surviving spouse under paragraph (1) instead.".

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the later of—

(1) the first day of the first month that begins after the date of the enactment of this Act: or

(2) the first day of the fiscal year that begins in the calendar year in which this Act is enacted.

#### SEC. 643. EFFECTIVE DATE FOR PAID-UP COV-ERAGE UNDER SURVIVOR BENEFIT PLAN.

Section 1452(j) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking "October 1, 2008" and inserting "October 1, 2005".

SA 2048. Mr. HARKIN (for himself and Mr. DORGAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1955 proposed by Mr. WARNER (for himself and Mr. LEVIN) to the bill H.R. 2863, making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of subtitle A of title IX of division A, as added by Senate amendment No. 1955, add the following:

## SEC. 903. AMERICAN FORCES NETWORK.

- (a) MISSION.—The American Forces Network (AFN) shall provide members of the Armed Forces, civilian employees of the Department of Defense, and their families stationed outside the continental United States and at sea with the same type and quality of American radio and television news, information, sports, and entertainment as is available in the continental United States.
  - (b) POLITICAL PROGRAMMING.-
- (1) FAIRNESS AND BALANCE.—All political programming of the American Forces Network shall be characterized by its fairness and balance.
- (2) FREE FLOW OF PROGRAMMING.—The American Forces Network shall provide in its programming a free flow of political programming from United States commercial and public radio and television stations.
- (c) OMBUDSMAN OF THE AMERICAN FORCES NETWORK.—
- (1) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is hereby established the Office of the Ombudsman of the American Forces Network.
  - (2) HEAD OF OFFICE.
- (A) OMBUDSMAN.—The head of the Office of the Ombudsman of the American Forces Network shall be the Ombudsman of the American Forces Network (in this subsection referred to as the "Ombudsman"), who shall be appointed by the Secretary of Defense.
- (B) QUALIFICATIONS.—Any individual nominated for appointment to the position of Ombudsman shall have recognized expertise in