

## PART 241—PENALTIES

### Subpart B—Penalties for Federal and Indian Oil and Gas Leases

■ 4. The authority for part 241 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 25 U.S.C. 396 *et seq.*, 396a *et seq.*, 2101 *et seq.*; 30 U.S.C. 181 *et seq.*, 351 *et seq.*, 1001 *et seq.*, 1701 *et seq.*; 43 U.S.C. 1301 *et seq.*, 1331 *et seq.*, and 1801 *et seq.*

■ 5. In § 241.51, remove paragraph (c) and revise paragraph (b) to read as follows:

**§ 241.51 What may MMS do if I violate a statute, regulation, order, or lease term relating to a Federal or Indian oil and gas lease?**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) We will serve the Notice of Noncompliance by registered mail or personal service using your address of record as specified under subpart H of part 218.

■ 6. Revise § 241.61 to read as follows:

**§ 241.61 How will MMS inform me of violations without a period to correct?**

We will inform you of any violation, without a period to correct, by issuing a Notice of Noncompliance and Civil Penalty explaining the violation, how to correct it, and the penalty assessment. We will serve the Notice of Noncompliance and Civil Penalty by registered mail or personal service using your address of record as specified under subpart H of part 218.

### Subchapter C—Appeals

## PART 290—APPEAL PROCEDURES

■ 7. The authority citation for part 290 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 301 *et seq.*; 25 U.S.C. 396, 396a–396g, 2107; 30 U.S.C. 189, 190, 359, 1023, 1701 *et seq.*, 1751(a); 31 U.S.C. 3716, 9701; 43 U.S.C. 1334, 1801 *et seq.*; and 44 U.S.C. 3506(a).

### Subpart B—Minerals Revenue Management Appeal Procedures

■ 8. The heading for subpart B is revised to read as set forth above.

■ 9. Section 290.100 is revised to read as follows:

**§ 290.100 What is the purpose of this subpart?**

This subpart tells you how to appeal Minerals Management Service (MMS) or delegated State orders concerning reporting to the Minerals Revenue Management (MRM) and the payment of royalties and other payments due under leases subject to this subpart.

■ 10. In § 290.102, in the introductory text of the definition of “order,” the first sentence is revised to read as follows:

**§ 290.102 What definitions apply to this subpart?**

\* \* \* \* \*

*Order*, for purposes of this subpart only, means any document issued by the MMS Director, MMS MRM, or a delegated state that contains mandatory<sup>[smc2]</sup> or ordering language that requires the recipient to do any of the following for any lease subject to this subpart: report, compute, or pay royalties or other obligations, report production, or provide other information. \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

**§ 290.111 [Removed]**

■ 11. Section 290.111 is removed.

[FR Doc. E6–14368 Filed 8–30–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 100

[CGD05–06–069]

RIN 1625–AA08

### Special Local Regulations for Marine Events; Choptank River, Cambridge, MD

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing permanent special local regulations during the “Chesapeakeman Ultra Triathlon”, a marine event to be held annually on the last Saturday in September on the waters of the Choptank River at Cambridge, MD. These special local regulations are necessary to provide for the safety of life on navigable waters during the event. This action is intended to temporarily restrict vessel traffic in a portion of the Choptank River during the Chesapeakeman Ultra Triathlon swim.

**DATES:** This rule becomes effective on September 29, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket (CGD05–06–069) and are available for inspection or copying at Commander (dpi), Fifth Coast Guard District, 431 Crawford Street, Portsmouth, Virginia 23704–5004, between 9 a.m. and 2 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dennis Sens, Project Manager, Inspections and Investigations Branch, at (757) 398–6204.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Regulatory Information

On July 13, 2006, we published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) entitled Special Local Regulations for Marine Events; Choptank River, Cambridge, MD, in the **Federal Register** (71 FR 39611). We received no letters commenting on the proposed rule. No public meeting was requested, and none was held.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Delaying the effective date would be contrary to the public interest, since immediate action is needed to ensure the safety of the event participants, support craft and other vessels transiting the event area. However, advance notifications will be made to affected waterway users via marine information broadcasts and area newspapers.

#### Background and Purpose

Annually, the Columbia Triathlon Association sponsors the “Chesapeakeman Ultra Triathlon” on the waters of the Choptank River near Cambridge, Maryland. The swimming segment of the event will consist of approximately 300 swimmers competing across a 2.4-mile course along the Choptank River between the Hyatt Regency Chesapeake Bay Resort Beach and Great Marsh Park, Cambridge, Maryland. The competition will begin at the Hyatt Regency Beach. The participants will swim across to the finish line located at Great Marsh Park, swimming approximately 100 yards off shore, parallel with the shoreline. Approximately 20 support vessels will accompany the swimmers. Due to the need for vessel control during the swimming event, the Coast Guard will temporarily restrict vessel traffic in the event area to provide for the safety of participants, support craft and other transiting vessels.

The event currently at 33 CFR part 100.512, the American Diabetes Association Reach the Beach Triathlon, Choptank River, Cambridge, Maryland is no longer held. This special local regulation is being replaced with the Chesapeakeman Ultra Triathlon marine event.

#### Discussion of Comments and Changes

The Coast Guard did not receive comments in response to the Notice of

proposed rulemaking (NPRM) published in the **Federal Register**. Accordingly, the Coast Guard is establishing permanent special local regulations on specified waters of the Choptank River, Cambridge, Maryland.

#### **Regulatory Evaluation**

This rule is not a “significant regulatory action” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not “significant” under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

We expect the economic impact of this rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under the regulatory policies and procedures of DHS is unnecessary.

Although this regulation will prevent traffic from transiting a segment of the Choptank River adjacent to Cambridge, MD during the event, the effect of this regulation will not be significant due to the limited duration that the regulated area will be in effect. Extensive advance notifications will be made to the maritime community via Local Notice to Mariners, marine information broadcasts, area newspapers and local radio stations, so mariners can adjust their plans accordingly. Vessel traffic will be able to transit the regulated area when the Coast Guard Patrol Commander deems it is safe to do so.

#### **Small Entities**

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule would affect the following entities, some of which might be small entities: The owners or operators of vessels intending to transit this section of the Choptank River during the event.

This rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons. This rule will be enforced for only a limited period, from

6:30 a.m. to 2:30 p.m. on the last Saturday in September. Vessels desiring to transit the event area will be able to transit the regulated area at slow speed as the swim progresses, when the Coast Guard Patrol Commander determines it is safe to do so. Before the enforcement period, we will issue maritime advisories so mariners can adjust their plans accordingly.

#### **Assistance for Small Entities**

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we offered to assist small entities in understanding this rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency’s responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

#### **Collection of Information**

This rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

#### **Federalism**

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

#### **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### **Taking of Private Property**

This rule would not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

#### **Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### **Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and would not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that might disproportionately affect children.

#### **Indian Tribal Governments**

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

#### **Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

#### **Technical Standards**

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are

technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

#### Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.1D and Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 5100.1, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, this rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(h), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation. Special local regulations issued in conjunction with a regatta or marine parade permit are specifically excluded from further analysis and documentation under that section.

Under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(h), of the Instruction, an “Environmental Analysis Check List” and a “Categorical Exclusion Determination” are not required for this rule.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 100

Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 100 as follows:

#### PART 100—SAFETY OF LIFE ON NAVIGABLE WATERS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 100 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1233; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Section 100.512 is revised to read as follows:

##### **§ 100.512 Chesapeakeman Ultra Triathlon, Choptank River, Cambridge, MD.**

(a) *Regulated area.* The regulated area includes all waters of the Choptank River within 200 yards either side of a line drawn northwesterly from a point on the shoreline at latitude 38°33'45" N, 076°02'38" W, thence to latitude 38°35'06" N, 076°04'42" W, a position located at Great Marsh Park, Cambridge, MD. All coordinates reference Datum NAD 1983.

(b) *Definitions.* (1) *Coast Guard Patrol Commander* means a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the Coast Guard who has been designated by the Commander, Coast Guard Sector Baltimore.

(2) *Official Patrol* means any vessel assigned or approved by Commander, Coast Guard Sector Baltimore with a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer on board and displaying a Coast Guard ensign.

(3) *Participant* includes all persons participating in the Chesapeakeman Ultra Triathlon swim under the auspices of the Marine Event Permit issued to the event sponsor and approved by Commander, Coast Guard Sector Baltimore.

(c) *Special local regulations.* (1) Except for event participants and persons or vessels authorized by the Coast Guard Patrol Commander, no person or vessel may enter or remain in the regulated area.

(2) The operator of any vessel in the regulated area must:

(i) Stop the vessel immediately when directed to do so by any Official Patrol and then proceed only as directed.

(ii) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Official Patrol.

(iii) When authorized to transit the regulated area, all vessels shall proceed at the minimum speed necessary to maintain a safe course that minimizes wake near the swim course.

(d) *Enforcement period.* This section will be enforced annually from 6:30 a.m. to 2:30 p.m. on the last Saturday in September.

Dated: August 21, 2006.

**L.L. Hereth,**

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Fifth Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. E6–14497 Filed 8–30–06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–15–P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

##### Coast Guard

##### 33 CFR Part 165

[COTP San Diego 06–025]

**RIN 1625–AA00**

##### **Safety Zone; Lower Colorado River, Laughlin, NV**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone on the Lower Colorado River, Laughlin,

Nevada in support of the Laughlin Labor Day Fireworks Display. This temporary safety zone is necessary to provide for the safety of the participants, crew, spectators, participating vessels and other vessels and users of the waterway in the vicinity of the Lower Colorado River, Laughlin, Nevada, AVI Resort and Casino. Persons and vessels will be prohibited from entering into, transiting through, or anchoring within this safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, or his designated on-scene representative.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from 8 p.m. through 9:30 p.m. on September 3, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket COTP San Diego 06–025 and are available for inspection or copying at Coast Guard Sector San Diego, 2710 N. Harbor Drive, San Diego, CA 92101–1028 between 8 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Chief Petty Officer Eric Carroll, USCG, Ports and Waterways Management, U.S. Coast Guard Sector San Diego at (619) 278–7277.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Regulatory Information

On Monday, June 19, 2006, we published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) entitled Safety Zone; Lower Colorado River, Laughlin, NV in the **Federal Register** (71 FR 117). We did not receive any letters commenting on the proposed rule. No public meeting was requested, and none was held.

##### Background and Purpose

AVI Resort and Casino is sponsoring the Labor Day Fireworks Display, which is held in the vicinity of AVI Resort and Casino on the Lower Colorado River, Laughlin, Nevada. This temporary safety zone is necessary to provide for the safety of the participants, crew, spectators, sponsor vessels, and other users of the waterway, as fireworks displays are considered dangerous to human life.

The safety zone extends over an area with an approximate 980-foot radius centered around an anchored firing barge. The sponsor has been provided one (1) Ft. Mojave Fire Department vessel and two (2) Nevada Fish and Game vessels to provide safety and patrol this event.