

The Administrator of GIPSA has determined that this rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities as defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*).

Currently, near-infrared spectroscopy analyzers are being used to determine wheat protein; soybean oil and protein; and corn oil, protein, and starch in both domestic and export markets. This rule establishes tolerances to expand the use of currently approved near-infrared spectroscopy analyzers to test barley protein and establishes a generic fee for all NIR and NMR testing that is identical to current fees. Testing for barley protein is included in this fee. There are 58 official agencies (46 private entities, 12 States) that are designated and/or delegated by GIPSA to perform official grain inspection services. Most of the agencies could be considered small entities under Small Business Administration criteria.

The extent to which these agencies will choose to provide this service is difficult to quantify because GIPSA is offering this service on a request basis, and locations where service is requested infrequently may make arrangements with neighboring agencies to provide the service (7 CFR 800.196(g)(1)). GIPSA believes that offering this service would have a beneficial effect on those agencies electing to provide the service.

For the 2006/2007 Market Year (June to May), USDA's Economic Research Service estimated the U.S. Barley Supply to be 303,000,000 bushels. Between June 2006 and September 2006 (the months for which we have data), 20,010,000 bushels of barley were tested for protein. Ten of the 58 official agencies, performed barley protein tests in the first 11 months of fiscal year 2006. There were 5,176 barley protein tests performed; of those 2,624 were tests performed for trucks and rail cars, 2,546 were tests performed on submitted samples, and 6 were performed locally, such as within a grain elevator.

According to USDA's National Agricultural Statistics Service, there are 24,747 farms (producers) in the barley for grain category. We do not have estimates for the number of grain handlers, exporters, and feedlot operators that may be involved in submitting barley for protein testing. In general, many producers, grain handlers, exporters, and feedlot operators may be considered small entities under Small Business Administration criteria. Further, grain handlers and exporters often use testing results to determine value and premiums. The extent to which these

entities will request the official barley protein or the impact of offering this service is difficult to quantify. GIPSA believes that barley producers, feedlot operators, grain handlers, and exporters will rely on the official system to provide reliable testing procedures and accurate results that the market can rely on to negotiate price, value, and premiums.

Fees currently are charged for NIR testing. The fees charged by GIPSA are \$2.25 per test when the service is performed at an applicant's facility in an onsite FGIS laboratory, and when an inspection service is performed at a location other than an applicant's facility in an FGIS laboratory the fees are \$10.00 per test for an original inspection service and \$17.70 for an appeal inspection service. The generic fee is the same as fees charged for current individual tests and their impact on applicants for services will vary depending upon usage since these tests are on a request basis.

Executive Order 12988

Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, instructs each executive agency to adhere to certain requirements in the development of new and revised regulations in order to avoid unduly burdening the court system. This final rule has been reviewed under this Executive Order. This final rule is not intended to have a retroactive effect. The United States Grain Standards Act provides in Section 87g that no State or subdivision may require or impose any requirements or restrictions concerning the inspection, weighing, or description of grain under the Act. Otherwise, this final rule will not preempt any State or local laws, regulations, or policies unless they present irreconcilable conflict with this rule. There are no administrative procedures which must be exhausted prior to any judicial challenge to the provisions of this interim rule.

Paperwork Reduction Act

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the recordkeeping and reporting burden imposed by parts 800 and 801 were previously approved by OMB under control number 0580-0013 and will not be affected by this rule.

GIPSA is committed to compliance with the Government Paperwork Elimination Act, which requires Government agencies, in general, to provide the public the option of submitting information or transacting business electronically to the maximum extent possible.

List of Subjects

7 CFR Part 800

Administrative practice and procedure, Conflict of interests, Exports, Freedom of information, Grains, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

7 CFR Part 801

Exports, Grains, Scientific equipment.

■ For the reasons set out in the preamble, we are amending 7 CFR parts 800 and 801 as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 71–87k.

PART 800—GENERAL REGULATIONS

■ The interim final rule amending 7 CFR parts 800 and 801, which was published in the November 8, 2006, **Federal Register** at 71 FR 65371–65373, is adopted as a final rule, without change.

James E. Link,

Administrator, Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration.

[FR Doc. E7–9388 Filed 5–15–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-KD-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

10 CFR Parts 11 and 25

RIN 3150-AH99

Access Authorization Fees

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is amending the agency access authorization fees charged to licensees for work performed under the Material Access Authorization Program (MAAP) and the Information Access Authority Program (IAAP). The amended cost is due to an increase of the review time for each application for access authorization. The formula for calculating fees remains the same as based on current Office of Personnel Management (OPM) billing rates for personnel background investigations. The formula is designed to recover the full cost of processing a request for access authorization from the licensee. The use of the fee assessment formula tied to current OPM billing rates eliminates the need for the NRC to update its access authorization fee schedules through regular rulemakings.

DATES: The effective date of the final rule is June 15, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Emily Banks, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, telephone (301) 415-0320, e-mail erb@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

Certain individuals employed by licensees or contractors of the NRC are assigned duties which require access to special nuclear material (plutonium, uranium-233, and uranium enriched in the isotopes uranium-233 or uranium-235) or to restricted data or national security information. Individuals who require access to this material or information must obtain an access authorization from the NRC. When a licensee requests access authorization for an employee or a contractor, the NRC initiates a background investigation of the individual seeking access authorization. Based on the results of that investigation, the NRC will determine whether permitting the individual access to special nuclear material, restricted data, or national security information would create a security risk.

OPM conducts the required access authorization background investigations for the NRC and sets the rates charged for these investigations. The combined cost of the OPM background investigation and any related NRC processing activities are recovered from the licensee through an access authorization fee assessed by the NRC. It is the NRC's practice to publish the fee schedule for special nuclear material access authorization in 10 CFR 11.15(e)(1) and the corresponding fee schedule for restricted data and national

security information access authorization in Appendix A to part 25 by modifying the fee charged to licensees for work performed under the MAAP and IAAP from 11.6 percent of the OPM billing rates to 31.7 percent. This modification will ensure that the NRC's administrative costs are fully recovered through access authorization fees charged to licensees.

Discussion

This final rule amends §§ 11.15(e) and 25.17(f), and Appendix A to part 25 by modifying the fee charged to licensees for work performed under the MAAP and IAAP from 11.6 percent of the OPM billing rates to 31.7 percent. This modification will ensure that the NRC's administrative costs are fully recovered through access authorization fees charged to licensees.

This final rule will continue to allow licensees to calculate the NRC fee for any given application by reference to the current OPM billing schedule for personnel investigation services. Investigations Reimbursable Billing Rates for personnel background checks are published by OPM's Investigations Service in a Federal Investigation Notice (FIN). The current OPM billing rates were published as FIN 06-08 on September 11, 2006, and became effective on October 1, 2006. FIN 06-08 is available on OPM's Investigations Service Web site at <http://www.opm.gov/extra/investigate/fins.htm>. NRC licensees can also obtain the current OPM investigations rate schedule from the Personnel Security Branch of the NRC's Division of Facilities and Security by contacting the individual named under the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** heading.

The amendments specify the NRC's access authorization fee for any given request as the sum of the current OPM billing rate for the required investigation, and the NRC's in-house

processing fee. As noted previously, the OPM billing rate is pulled directly from the current OPM fee schedule for investigations. The tables in § 11.15(e)(2) and Appendix A to part 25 cross-reference each type of NRC access authorization request to the appropriate investigation service listed in OPM's fee schedule. The NRC's in-house processing fee is 31.7 percent of the relevant OPM rate. The in-house processing fee of 31.7 percent is based on a recent NRC audit of actual in-house costs incurred in processing licensee applications for access authorization. This fixed percentage of the OPM rate, when added to the base OPM investigations charge, yields the total access authorization fee assessed by the NRC {OPM rate + [(OPM rate × 31.7%)], rounded to the nearest dollar} = NRC access authorization fee}.

For example, a licensee seeking a special nuclear material "NRC-U" access authorization requiring a single scope background investigation is directed by the table in § 11.15(e)(2) to calculate the application fee based on the OPM billing rate for a "Code C" Single Scope Background Investigation (SSBI). According to the current OPM investigations fee schedule (FIN 06-08), OPM charges \$3,550 for a "Code C" SSBI. The table instructs the licensee to calculate the NRC processing fee by multiplying \$3,550 by 31.7 percent, which equals \$1,125.35. The licensee then rounds the NRC processing fee to the nearest dollar, or \$1,125, and adds that amount to the OPM investigations fee of \$3,550 to determine the total assessed material access authorization fee: \$4,675. The following table illustrates the calculation process:

Current OPM billing rate for SSBI-C	Plus NRC application processing fee			Equals total NRC access authoriza- tion fee for NRC-U application
	OPM rate	× 31.7% =	NRC fee (rounded to nearest \$)	
\$3,550	\$3,550	× 31.7%	= \$1,125.35 (rounded to \$1,125)	= \$4,675

Licensees applying for restricted data or national security information access authorization follow a similar procedure. The table in Appendix A to part 25 cross-references each type of "Q" or "L" access authorization to the corresponding OPM investigation type. The OPM billing rate for the type of investigation referenced is determined by consulting the current OPM schedule of billing rates. This rate is then plugged into the fee assessment formula {OPM rate + [(OPM rate × 31.7%)], rounded to the nearest dollar} = NRC access authorization fee}, illustrated

previously, to calculate the correct NRC access authorization fee for the type of application submitted.

Section-by-Section Analysis*Section 11.15(e)*

Section 11.15(e)(1) describes how the OPM bills the NRC for the cost of each background investigation of a given type and provides the formula used in calculating the material access authorization fee. The percentage of the OPM billing rates in this formula is being changed from 11.6% of the OPM billing rate to 31.7% of that rate. This

section also explains how to access the OPM billing schedule and specifies that any changes to the NRC's access authorization fees will be applicable to each access authorization request received on or after the effective date of OPM's most recently published billing schedule.

Section 11.15(e)(2) directs licensees to remit the appropriate access authorization fee with each application submitted, in accordance with the table presented in that section. The table cross-references each type of NRC material access authorization request to

a type of investigation in the current OPM fee schedule, and directs licensees to calculate the application fee according to the stated formula {OPM rate + [(OPM rate × 31.7%), rounded to the nearest dollar] = NRC access authorization fee}.

Section 11.15(e)(3) indicates that applications for individuals that have a current access authorization from another Federal agency may be processed expeditiously at no cost to the licensee.

Section 25.17(f)

Section 25.17(f)(1) describes how the OPM bills the NRC for the cost of each background investigation and provides the formula used in calculating national security information and restricted data access authorization fees. This section also explains how to access the OPM billing schedule and specifies that any changes to the NRC access authorization fees will be applicable to each access authorization request received on or after the effective date of OPM's most recently published billing schedule.

Section 25.17(f)(2) directs licensees to remit the appropriate national security information or restricted data access authorization fee with each application submitted. Applicants are instructed to calculate the appropriate fee by using the stated formula {OPM rate + [(OPM rate × 31.7%), rounded to the nearest dollar] = NRC access authorization fee} with reference to the table in appendix A to part 25.

Section 25.17(f)(3) indicates that applications for individuals that have a current access authorization from another Federal agency may be processed expeditiously at no cost to the licensee.

Appendix A to Part 25

The revised table in Appendix A to part 25 cross-references each type of NRC "Q" or "L" access authorization request to a type of investigation in the current OPM fee schedule, and directs licensees to calculate the application fee according to the stated formula.

Because this final rule deals solely with agency practice and procedure, the notice and comment provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act do not apply under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(A). The final rule is effective 30 days after its publication in the **Federal Register**.

Voluntary Consensus Standards

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995, Public Law 104-113, requires agencies to use technical standards developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies unless the use of such

a standard is inconsistent with applicable law or is otherwise impractical. This final rule amends the formula for calculating the NRC access authorization fee charged to licensees for work performed under MAAP and IAAP from 11.6 percent of the OPM billing rate for an investigation of a given type to 31.7 percent.

This action is administrative in nature and does not involve the establishment or application of a technical standard containing generally applicable requirements.

Environmental Impact: Categorical Exclusion

The NRC has determined that this final rule is the type of action described in categorical exclusions 10 CFR 51.22(c)(1) and (2). Therefore, neither an environmental impact statement nor an environmental assessment has been prepared for this final rule.

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement

This final rule does not contain new or amended information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*). Existing requirements were approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), approval numbers 3150-0046 and 3150-0062.

Public Protection Notification

If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to the information collection.

Regulatory Analysis

A regulatory analysis has not been prepared for this final rulemaking. This final rule ensures that the NRC recovers the full cost of application processing from licensees submitting access authorization requests. The formula method for calculating these fees continues to provide a more efficient and effective mechanism for updating NRC access authorization fees in response to changes in the underlying OPM rate schedule for required personnel background investigations. These amendments are administrative in nature and will neither impose new nor relax existing safety requirements and, thus, do not call for the sort of safety/cost analysis described in the agency's regulatory analysis guidelines in NUREG/BR-0058.

Backfit Analysis

The NRC has determined that the backfit rule does not apply to this final rule and a backfit analysis is not

required because these amendments do not involve any provisions that would impose backfits as defined in 10 CFR Chapter I.

Congressional Review Act

In accordance with the Congressional Review Act, the NRC has determined that this action is not a major rule and has verified this determination with the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB.

List of Subjects

10 CFR Part 11

Hazardous materials—transportation, Investigations, Nuclear materials, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Special nuclear material.

10 CFR Part 25

Classified information, Criminal penalties, Investigations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures.

■ For the reasons set forth in the preamble and under the authority of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended; the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended; and 5 U.S.C. 552 and 553, the NRC is adopting the following amendments to 10 CFR parts 11 and 25.

PART 11—CRITERIA AND PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINING ELIGIBILITY FOR ACCESS TO OR CONTROL OVER SPECIAL NUCLEAR MATERIAL

■ 1. The authority citation for part 11 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Sec. 161, 68 Stat. 948, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2201); sec. 201, 88 Stat. 1242, as amended (42 U.S.C. 5841); sec. 1704, 112 Stat. 2750 (44 U.S.C. 3504 note).

Section 11.15(e) also issued under sec. 501, 85 Stat. 290 (31 U.S.C. 9701).

■ 2. In § 11.15, paragraph (e) is revised to read as follows:

§ 11.15 Application for special nuclear material access authorization.

* * * * *

(e)(1) The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) bills NRC for the cost of each background investigation conducted in support of an application for special nuclear material access authorization. The combined cost of the OPM investigation and NRC's application processing overhead are recovered from the licensee through a material access authorization fee calculated with reference to current OPM personnel investigation billing rates {OPM rate + [(OPM rate × 31.7%), rounded to the nearest dollar] = NRC access authorization fee}. Updated OPM

billing rates are published periodically in a Federal Investigations Notice (FIN) issued by OPM's Investigations Service. Copies of the current OPM billing schedule can be obtained by phoning the NRC's Personnel Security Branch, Division of Facilities and Security, Office of Administration at (301-415-7739). Any change in the NRC's access

authorization fees will be applicable to each access authorization request received on or after the effective date of OPM's most recently published investigations billing schedule.

(2) Each application for a special nuclear material access authorization, renewal, or change in level must be accompanied by the licensee's

remittance, payable to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Applicants shall calculate the access authorization fee according to the stated formula {OPM rate + [(OPM rate × 31.7%), rounded to the nearest dollar] = NRC access authorization fee} and with reference to the following table:

The NRC application fee for an access authorization of type * * *	Is the sum of the current OPM billing rate charged for an investigation of type * * *	Plus the NRC's processing fee (rounded to the nearest dollar), which is equal to the OPM billing rate for the type of investigation referenced multiplied by * * * (percent)
i. NRC-R ¹	NACLC—National Agency Check with Law and Credit (Standard Service, Code B).	31.7
ii. NRC-R ¹ (expedited processing)	NACLC—National Agency Check with Law and Credit (Expedite Handling, Code A).	31.7
iii. NRC-R based on certification of comparable investigation ² .	No fee assessed for most applications
iv. NRC-R renewal ¹	NACLC—National Agency Check with Law and Credit (Standard-Service, Code B).	31.7
v. NRC-U requiring single scope investigation	SSBI—Single Scope Background Investigation (120 Day Service, Code C).	31.7
vi. NRC-U requiring single scope investigation (expedited processing).	SSBI—Single Scope Background Investigation (35 Day Service, Code A).	31.7
vii. NRC-U based on certification of comparable investigation ² .	No fee assessed for most applications
viii. NRC-U renewal ²	LBI—Limited Background Investigation (120 Day Service, Code C).	31.7

¹ If the NRC, having reviewed the available data, deems it necessary to perform a single scope investigation, the appropriate NRC-U fee will be assessed before the conduct of the investigation.

² If the NRC determines, based on its review of available data, that a single scope investigation is necessary, the appropriate NRC-U fee will be assessed before the conduct of the investigation.

(3) Certain applications from individuals having current Federal access authorizations may be processed expeditiously at no cost to the licensee because the Commission, at its discretion, may decide to accept the certification of access authorizations and investigative data from other Federal government agencies that grant personnel access authorizations.

* * * * *

PART 25—ACCESS AUTHORIZATION FOR LICENSEE PERSONNEL

■ 3. The authority citation for part 25 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 145, 161, 68 Stat. 942, 948, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2165, 2201); sec. 201, 88 Stat. 1242, as amended (42 U.S.C. 5841); sec. 1704, 112 Stat. 2750 (44 U.S.C. 3504 note); E.O. 10865, as amended, 3 CFR 1959–1963 COMP., p. 398 (50 U.S.C. 401, note); E.O. 12829, 3 CFR, 1993 Comp., p. 570; E.O. 12958, as amended, 3 CFR, 1995 Comp., p. 333, as amended by E.O. 13292, 3 CFR 2004 Comp., p. 196; E.O. 12968, 3 CFR, 1995 Comp., p. 396.

Appendix A also issued under 96 Stat. 1051 (31 U.S.C. 9701).

■ 4. In § 25.17, paragraph (f) is revised to read as follows:

§ 25.17 Approval for processing applicants for access authorization.

* * * * *

(f)(1) The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) bills NRC for the cost of each background investigation conducted in support of an application for access authorization. The combined cost of the OPM investigation and NRC's application processing overhead are recovered from the licensee through an authorization fee calculated with reference to current OPM personnel investigation billing rates {OPM rate + [(OPM rate × 31.7%), rounded to the nearest dollar] = NRC access authorization fee}. Updated OPM billing rates are published periodically in a Federal Investigations Notice (FIN) issued by OPM's Investigations Service. Copies of the current OPM billing schedule can be obtained by phoning the NRC's Personnel Security Branch, Division of Facilities and Security, Office of Administration at (301-415-7739). Any change in the NRC's access authorization fees will be applicable to

each access authorization request received on or after the effective date of OPM's most recently published investigations billing schedule.

(2) Applications for access authorization or access authorization renewal processing that are submitted to the NRC for processing must be accompanied by a check or money order, payable to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, representing the current cost for the processing of each "Q" and "L" access authorization, or renewal request. Applicants shall calculate the access authorization fee according to the stated formula {OPM rate + [(OPM rate × 31.7%), rounded to the nearest dollar] = NRC access authorization fee} and with reference to the table in appendix A to this part.

(3) Certain applications from individuals having current Federal access authorizations may be processed more expeditiously and at less cost, because the Commission, at its discretion, may decide to accept the certification of access authorization and investigative data from other Federal Government agencies that grant personnel access authorizations.

■ 5. Appendix A to part 25 is revised to read as follows:

Appendix A to Part 25.—Fees for NRC Access Authorization

The NRC application fee for an access authorization of type * * *	Is the sum of the current OPM billing rate charged for an investigation of type * * *	Plus the NRC's processing fee (rounded to the nearest dollar), which is equal to the OPM billing rate for the type of investigation referenced multiplied by * * * (percent)
Initial "L" access authorization ¹	ANACI—Access National Agency Check with Inquiries (Standard Service, Code B).	31.7
Initial "L" access authorization ¹ expedited processing	ANACI—Access National Agency Check with Inquiries (Expedite Handling, Code A).	31.7
Reinstatement of "L" access authorization ²	No fee assessed for most applications.	
Renewal of access authorization ¹	NACLC—Access National Agency Check with Inquiries (Standard Service, Code B).	31.7
Initial "Q" access authorization	SSBI—Single Scope Background Investigation (120 Day Service, Code C).	31.7
Initial "Q" access authorization (expedited processing)	SSBI—Single Scope Background Investigation (35 Day Service, Code A).	31.7
Reinstatement of "Q" access authorization ²	No fee assessed for most applications.	
Renewal of "Q" access authorization ¹	SSBI-PR—Single Scope Background Investigation (120 Day Service, Code C).	31.7

¹ If the NRC determines, based on its review of available data, that a single scope investigation is necessary, the appropriate fee for an Initial "Q" access authorization will be assessed before the conduct of investigation.

² Full fee will only be charged if an investigation is required.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of March, 2006.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Luis A. Reyes,

Executive Director for Operations.

[FR Doc. E7-9415 Filed 5-15-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2007-27676; Airspace Docket No. 07-AGL-2]

Modification of Class E Airspace; Canby, MN

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Direct final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This action amends Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations, part 71 (14 CFR 71) by modifying Class E airspace at Canby, Myers Field, MN. Standard Instrument Approach Procedures have been developed by Canby, Myers Field, MN. Additional controlled airspace extending upward from the surface and upward from 700 feet above the surface of the earth is needed to contain aircraft executing these approaches. This action increases the area of the existing controlled airspace for Canby, Myers Field, MN.

DATES: This direct final rule is effective on 0901 UTC, July 5, 2007. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference action under 7 CFR Part 51, subject to the annual revision of FAA Order 7400.9 and publication of conforming amendments. Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before May 31, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on this proposal to the Docket Management System, U.S. Department of Transportation, Room Plaza 401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. You must identify the docket number FAA-2007-27676/ Airspace Docket No. 07-AGL-2, at the beginning of your comments. You may also submit comments on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>. You may review the public docket containing the proposal, any comments received, and any final disposition in person in the Dockets Office between 9 a.m. and p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Office (telephone 1-800-647-5527) is on the plaza level of the Department of Transportation NASSIF Building at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Grant Nichols, System Support, DOT Regional Headquarters Building, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106; telephone: (816) 329-2522.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This amendment to 14 CFR Part 71 modifies the Class E airspace area at Canby,

Myers Field, MN. The radius of the Class E airspace area extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth is expanded from within a 6.3-mile radius to within a 7.4-mile radius of the airport. An extension is established within 4 miles each side of the 301 bearing from the airport extending from the 7.4-mile radius to 10.3 miles northwest of the airport. This modification brings the legal description of the Canby, Myers Field, MN Class E5 airspace area into compliance with FAA Orders 7400.2F and 8260.19C. Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth are published in Paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9P, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 1, 2006, and effective September 15, 2006, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the same order. The Class E airspace designations listed in this document would be published subsequently in the Order.

The Direct Final Rule Procedure

The FAA anticipates that this regulation will not result in adverse or negative comment and, therefore, is issuing it as a direct final rule. Previous actions of this nature have not been controversial and have not resulted in adverse comments or objections. Unless a written adverse or negative comment or a written notice of intent to submit an adverse or negative comments is received within the comment period, the regulation will become effective on