name a few. In 300 years, czarist Russia just had 18 czars. Why do we need 34? We have an energy czar and a Secretary of Energy. We have a health czar and a Secretary of Health. Worse, 27 of the 34 czars have not even been confirmed by the Senate despite a constitutional requirement. These czars make \$172,000 yearly, and that doesn't include expensive, unchecked staff with zero accountability.

I backed legislation that would withhold funding from any czar not confirmed by the Senate. Americans want, need and deserve transparency and accountability. Let's rein in the czars.

THE STUDENT AID AND FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY ACT WILL KEEP AMERICA ECONOMICALLY COMPETITIVE

(Ms. SCHWARTZ asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. SCHWARTZ. Mr. Speaker, today, the House will vote to save taxpayers nearly \$90 billion in making the Federal college loan system more efficient. This action, the Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act, is the greatest investment in higher education ever.

This bill increases Pell Grants for students; it enables States to improve their early education system, and it reduces the Federal deficit by \$10 billion. It improves our Nation's education system for all children.

The Early Learning Challenge Fund supports safe efforts to invest in high-quality, integrated early education and to care for children birth through 5. Early education pays huge fiscal and tocial dividends in the long run, and this is an important step forward.

The College Access and Completion Innovation Fund promotes innovative strategies to improve student success in college, and this bill provides funding for much-needed school modernization and repair, which will be done in an environmentally energy-efficient manner by including legislation we approved earlier this year.

The Democratic majority is committed to stabilizing the economy, to lowering our deficit and to ensuring that America is economically competitive in the future. This plan helps us achieve these key goals.

HEALTH CARE

(Mr. GUTHRIE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Speaker, over the recent district work period I traveled to each county in my district, listening to constituents' ideas and concerns and answering questions. I heard overwhelmingly that a government-run public option was not a viable answer to the problems Americans are facing.

The President gave a well-delivered speech last week, but left many ques-

tions in the minds of the American people: How do we pay for such a bill? How can you cut funding for Medicare without impacting the millions of seniors who receive the benefits? How will individuals who are happy with their coverage get to keep the care they have?

Everyone agrees on the need for improvement. However, we must move toward changes that make health care more affordable, more accessible and of higher quality. We have an opportunity to work together to improve the lives of all Americans by crafting a bipartisan, commonsense solution that our country can afford.

PERMISSION TO REDUCE TIME FOR ELECTRONIC VOTING DURING PROCEEDINGS TODAY

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that, during the proceedings today in the House and in the Committee of the Whole, the Chair be authorized to reduce to 2 minutes the minimum time for electronic voting on any question that otherwise could be subjected to 5-minute voting under clause 8 or 9 of rule XX or under clause 6 of rule XVIII.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. COHEN). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

STUDENT AID AND FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY ACT OF 2009

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 746 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 3221.

\square 1021

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 3221) to amend the Higher Education Act of 1965, and for other purposes, with Ms. DEGETTE (Acting Chair) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole House rose on Wednesday, September 16, 2009, a request for a recorded vote on amendment No. 7, printed in House Report 111–256, offered by the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. Foxx), had been postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 8 OFFERED BY MR. REYES

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 8 printed in House Report 111–256.

Mr. REYES. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 8 offered by Mr. REYES: Page 191, line 15, after "students" insert ", including students who are veterans or members of the National Guard or Reserves,".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 746, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. REYES) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. REYES. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

(Mr. REYES asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. REYES. Madam Chair, the men and women who have made enormous sacrifices to serve our country deserve every opportunity to get a good education, and my amendment will help them do just that.

My amendment will encourage community colleges to use the funding provided through the new grant program to increase the level of training for our veterans and for our members of the National Guard and Reserves. This amendment will help community colleges do outreach to our veterans and to our National Guardsmen and Reservists who may be looking to obtain new skills and training in these difficult economic times.

This funding is also now intended to help our veterans realize the benefits of the post-9/11 GI Bill that Congress passed last year. The post-9/11 GI Bill was created by landmark legislation that makes good on America's promise to take care of those who have proudly served our Nation. It offers unprecedented benefits that will make college affordable to our Nation's veterans.

However, the legislation will not meet its full potential if eligible veterans are not aware or if they do not take advantage of the opportunities available in their communities. This amendment will help to promote a more veteran-friendly environment at our Nation's community colleges by encouraging this generation of American heroes to use the benefits that they have so rightly earned.

Community colleges provide the first postsecondary experience for many students, and are critical in providing them with the education and training that is required for the high-demand jobs that are needed to keep the United States competitive.

I am pleased that my colleague, Representative ADLER, has a similar amendment that will assist veterans who are seeking to attend 4-year colleges or universities. Making sure that veterans want to pursue an advanced degree and that they are able to do so is the right thing to do for our local economies and for our competitive future. I urge my colleagues to support this amendment.

I yield to my colleague, the gentleman from California (Mr. GEORGE MILLER).

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. I thank the gentleman for yielding. I thank him for offering this amendment.

Madam Chair, we would strongly support this amendment. As he is well aware of-and as, I think, most of the Nation is—the young men and women who have joined the Armed Forces over the last 8 or 9 years left this country to serve in Iraq and Afghanistan and elsewhere in the trouble spots of the world. Many of them left as high school graduates, some of them not high school graduates. They even left an economy that is very different today than it was when they left their homes to serve this Nation. Clearly, we want to make sure that they have the opportunities to integrate back into the economy after leaving the service on terms that are helpful to them, to their families and to their local communities.

So thank you very much for offering this amendment.

Mr. REYES. I thank the chairman. Madam Chair, I reserve the balance

of my time. Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Madam Chair, I rise to claim time, although I

do not oppose this amendment. The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from Minnesota is

recognized for 5 minutes. There was no objection.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. In fact, I rise to say that we are going to support this amendment.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. REYES. Thank you, Madam Chair, and I thank my colleague.

I yield back the balance of my time. The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. REYES).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 9 OFFERED BY MR. ETHERIDGE

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 9 printed in House Report 111–256.

Mr. ETHERIDGE. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 9 offered by Mr. ETHERIDGE:

Page 24, after line 24, insert the following: "(iii) providing loan counseling, loan delinquency, and default aversion assistance to student loan borrowers and institutions of higher education;

Page 25, line 1, redesignate clause (iii) as clause (iv).

Page 25, line 4, redesignate clause (iv) as clause (v).

Page 76, line 15, strike "and".

Page 76, after line 15, insert the following: (2) in subsection (b)—

(A) in the subsection header, by striking "ORIGINATION, SERVICING, AND DATA SYSTEMS" and inserting "ORIGINATION, SERVICING, DELINQUENCY PREVENTION AND DEFAULT AVERSION SERVICES, DEFAULT COLLECTIONS, OUTREACH, AND DATA SYSTEMS";

(B) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking "The Secretary may" and inserting "(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may";

(C) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (4) as subparagraphs (A) through (D), and moving such subparagraphs two ems to the right;

(D) in subparagraph (C) (as redesignated by subparagraph (C) of this paragraph), by striking "and" after the semicolon;

(E) by redesignating subparagraph (D) (as redesignated by subparagraph (C) of this paragraph) as subparagraph (E);

(F) by inserting after subparagraph (C) (as so redesignated) the following new subparagraph:

"(D) delinquency prevention and default aversion services, default collections, financial aid counseling, career and education counseling, financial literacy, guidance counselor and financial aid officer training services, and other outreach services; and"; and

(G) by adding at the end the following:

(12) LIMITATION.—The Secretary may enter into contracts for the services described in paragraph (1)(D) with—

"(A) agencies with agreements with the Secretary under subsections (b) and (c) of section 428 on the date of enactment of the Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2009, that are providing such services on such date and that meet the qualifications determined by the Secretary: or

"(B) nonprofit subsidiaries of agencies described in subparagraph (A), if such subsidiaries were established, pursuant to State law, on or before January 1, 1998, and meet the qualifications determined by the Secretary."; and

Page 76, line 16, redesignate paragraph (2) as paragraph (3).

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 746, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. ETHERIDGE) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from North Carolina.

Mr. ETHERIDGE. I yield myself 2 minutes.

I want to thank Chairman MILLER for his support on this amendment and for his work to expand educational opportunities for all of America's students.

Madam Chair, as we work to make our student loan system work better for taxpayers, we must also make sure that the system still works for students and for families who seek to improve their futures through education.

My amendment makes sure that the benefits that help students and that expands access to college, including loan counseling, outreach and education default prevention services, continue to be available. It clarifies that these services, targeted to local needs by State educational authorities and nonprofit agencies, are eligible for funding under H.R. 3221.

Guarantee agencies, such as the North Carolina Education Assistance Authority in my State, have developed customized services to help students learn to manage their debt and to avoid default. As an example, in 2007, they helped students with more than \$52\$ billion in debt recovery from delinquent loans, saving both students and taxpayers their money.

Guarantors and affiliated nonprofits, like the College Foundation of North Carolina, help families plan for college and help them navigate these financial aid and loan options. Every day, nearly 10,000 students and families turn to the CFNC to get help and information.

We need to make sure that these services continue to be available, and my amendment ensures that they are. Higher education is still a key to the American Dream, and this will help make it even more so.

Madam Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. ETHERIDGE. I would be happy to yield.

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. I thank the gentleman for offering this amendment. It's a good amendment and it's important.

These agencies have a track record in helping students and in helping the taxpayers with default diversion activities; but also, we look forward to their having an expanded role in financial literacy and in helping students as they contemplate going to college and, while they're in college, helping them manage their debt and helping them make decisions about whether they need all of that debt or not and also as they leave, because this Congress, on a bipartisan basis, has passed a number of loan forgiveness programs and the income determinant repayment program.

□ 1030

So the students really can start to see how they can manage the debt and make career choices at the same time. Unfortunately, many students don't realize it until they graduate; they really would have liked to have done something else, but they didn't think they could have that career and manage their debt. So these agencies are going to take on an even more important role for young people as they start and progress through college.

Mr. ETHERIDGE. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Madam Chair, I rise to claim time in opposition to the amendment, although at this time I do not expect to oppose it.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from Minnesota is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Madam Chair, this amendment kind of attempts to cobble together a new system that will provide students, families and colleges the types of delinquency prevention, default aversion and financial literacy services available today under the FFEL Program.

I do not oppose these types of activities; I support them. But the existence of this amendment, it seems to me, is proof that we are eliminating these important benefits by eliminating the FFEL Program.

Rather than figuring out a better way to keep the FFEL Program, to keep the private sector involved, the majority is attempting to wedge some of its components into the direct loan program. I am concerned that the net result will mean fewer students served with more red tape for those who do wish to obtain these services.

As I said, Madam Chair, I am not going to oppose this amendment, but

Members should know there is a much easier way to preserve the value-added elements of FFEL. Rather than destroving the program and working to recreate it, we can work to preserve and improve the FFEL Program.

Madam Chair, I yield back.

Mr. ETHERIDGE. Madam Chair, I yield 1 minute to my colleague from Vermont, a cosponsor of this amendment, Mr. WELCH.

Mr. WELCH. Mr. ETHERIDGE, I thank you for your work. Mr. MILLER, I thank you for your work.

I am in strong support of this amendment. The bill is terrific because what it does is take taxpayer assistance and give it to kids and parents rather than to big bailed-out banks.

Secondly, what this amendment does is allow those institutions like the Vermont Student Assistance Corporation, a nonprofit dedicated to getting kids to go to college, to help them navigate the process of financing college and then to contend with the challenges of repaying the loan. It has had an incredible success rate. So this benefit gives the benefit to those local institutions that are nonprofit, studentcentered, parent-centered, family-centered, to be able to continue to do that work at the local level.

Thank you for your leadership on this, Mr. ETHERIDGE. It will make a big difference for folks in Vermont.

Mr. ETHERIDGE. Madam Chair, I yield 1 minute to my colleague and cosponsor, and someone who has been working on this issue for a long time, the gentleman from North Carolina, Congressman Price.

Mr. PRICE of North Carolina. Madam Chair, I thank my colleague, and I want to thank the chairman of the committee and the entire committee for their work on this bill, making historic investments in America's education and economic prosperity.

In particular, I want to thank the committee for including provisions in the bill that would allow guaranty agencies, such as the North Carolina State Education Assistance Authority, to provide value-added outreach services via contracts with the Department of Education. These services play a vital role at both ends of the student loan process by informing borrowers about their education financing options before college and helping them successfully repay their loans after graduation.

Our proposed amendment simply clarifies that several of the key borrower services guaranty agencies currently provide, such as delinquency prevention, default aversion, and delinquency collections, also would be eligible for contract arrangements with the Department.

The work of these agencies pays real dividends for students and taxpayers alike. In North Carolina, default rates have been consistently among the Nation's lowest and about half the national average for the last few years. In 2007, these services helped prevent an

estimated \$52 billion in loans from going into default, according to the National Council of Higher Education Loan Programs.

So I thank my colleague from North Carolina and our other cosponsors for their collaborative work in putting forth this amendment, and urge Members to give it their support.

Mr. ETHERIDGE. Madam Chair, I yield 1 minute to my friend and colleague from North Dakota (Mr. Pom-EROY) who is a cosponsor also.

Mr. POMEROY. I thank the gentleman for yielding and his work on this amendment. I rise in strong support of this amendment.

It will impact entities like the Bank of North Dakota, the only State-owned bank in the country. This bank provides for the students of our State the lending and servicing functions for the Federal student loan program, and it is uniquely positioned in this regard in the country.

It has provided students and families the tools and techniques to deal with their student loan debt. It has worked to maintain low default rates through one-on-one repayment default counseling, on-campus presentations and other outreach efforts.

As a result, we have had very low default rates in North Dakota. I am pleased with the service they have provided to their students.

I am delighted that this amendment. unlike the underlying bill, would allow that to continue. I know the chairman has given his approval to this amendment and urge its adoption.

Mr. ETHERIDGE. Madam Chair, I thank the chairman and ranking member for their work on this bill to help members of the committee, and I encourage my colleagues to vote for the amendment and the underlying bill.

I vield back the balance of my time. The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. ETHERIDGE)

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 10 OFFERED BY MR. DRIEHAUS

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 10 printed in House Report 111-256.

Mr. DRIEHAUS. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 10 offered by Mr. DRIEHAUS:

Page 21, after line 9, insert the following:

(iii) encourages State policies that are designed to improve rates of enrollment and reenrollment of dislocated workers in postsecondary education;

Page 21, line 10, redesignate clause (iii) as clause (iv).

Page 21, line 14, redesignate clause (iv) as clause (v).

Page 26, after line 19, insert the following: (1) DISLOCATED WORKER.—The term "dislocated worker" has the meaning given such term in section 101(9) of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (29 U.S.C. 2801(9)).

Page 26, line 20, redesignate paragraph (1) as paragraph (2).

Page 27, line 18, redesignate paragraph (2) as paragraph (3).
Page 27, line 22, redesignate paragraph (3)

as paragraph (4).

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 746, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. DRIEHAUS) and a Member opposed each will control 5 min-

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Ohio.

Mr. DRIEHAUS. Madam Chair. I yield myself as much time as I may consume.

Madam Chair, as we discuss H.R. 3221. I would like to draw attention to a critical component of the bill, and that is the College Completion and Innovation Fund.

This amendment, Madam Chair, impacts one portion of the College Completion and Innovation Fund, and that specifically is the State Innovation and Completion Grants. About 50 percent of the College Completion and Innovation Fund goes to State Innovation and Completion Grants. These are targeted at low-income and disadvantaged populations in each of our States, and they are meant to incentivize States to engage in creative efforts with low-income communities, working with nonprofits, working with universities. to provide grants for these populations.

With that, the State has to provide to the Department of Education a plan describing how they will utilize the funds. This amendment is quite simple in that it states that in that plan we must target and we must include dislocated workers.

And I think you will agree, Madam Chair, and I think most of the Members will agree, that in this economy, with the number of employees that are currently unemployed, we need to be targeting and looking at the skill sets of dislocated workers. Because when we talk about innovation and education, when we talk about reeducating our workforce, there is no more important population than those that are recently unemployed. And as we move toward a new technology economy, it's critical that although we have tremendous workers across the United States, we appreciate the fact that they need more education, that they need retooling in order to make them competitive for the jobs of the 21st century in order for us to compete in a global marketplace.

So this is a simple amendment, Madam Chair, and it simply says to the States that we need to be focusing on those dislocated workers.

I yield to the distinguished chair of the committee, Mr. MILLER.

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. I want to thank the gentleman for yielding and thank the gentleman from Ohio. This is obviously a very important component of this legislation.

His amendment substantially improves it, because the whole Nation is aware of the needs of dislocated workers, and certainly in the Midwest,

where workers are leaving one generation of technology and seeking jobs in communities or seeking the next generation of manufacturing and technology. This is very important that they be included in these State plans.

Mr. DRIEHAUS. Madam Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Madam Chair, I rise to claim time in opposition, although I do not plan to oppose it.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from Minnesota is recognized.

There was no objection.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. The purpose of this amendment is indeed laudable. It's to ensure that dislocated workers are considered in each State's postsecondary education planning. It's a reasonable goal. I support the goal. We should all support that goal.

But there is a rich irony here in that the underlying bill itself is going to create thousands of these dislocated workers. We have seen estimates of 30.000 or 35.000.

So if we are serious about helping dislocated workers, and I believe we are, we should scrap this underlying job-killing bill and find a better way to stabilize student lending for the long term.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. DRIEHAUS. Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. DRIEHAUS).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 11 OFFERED BY MR. CUELLAR

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 11 printed in House Report 111–256.

Mr. CUELLAR. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 11 offered by Mr. CUELLAR: Page 80, after line 22, insert the following new section (and conform the table of contents accordingly):

SEC. 216. OUTREACH EFFORTS.

- (a) OUTREACH ACTIVITIES REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Education shall conduct outreach activities in accordance with this section to inform and educate students and their families about the transition to Federal Direct lending under the amendments made by this title to title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965.
- (b) REQUIRED COMPONENTS OF OUTREACH.— The Secretary shall provide for the broad dissemination of information on such amendments and shall—
- (1) operate and maintain an Internet website through which individuals may obtain information on changes made to the Federal Family Education Loan programs and the Federal Direct Loan programs;
- (2) develop and disseminate information to high school seniors and their parents concerning student loans and student aid;
- (3) provide assistance to institutions of higher education to educate students on the repayment of Federal Direct loans; and
- (4) ensure that all outreach efforts are developed using plain language and are culturally- and language-appropriate.

(c) USE OF OTHER ENTITIES.—In carrying out this subsection, the Secretary may work with other appropriate entities to facilitate the dissemination of information under this section and to provide assistance as described in this section.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 746, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Cuellar) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. CUELLAR. Madam Chair, I yield myself such time as I might consume.

Madam Chair, I rise today in support of my amendment to the Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2009, which I believe is acceptable to the chairman, Chairman MILLER.

I surely want to thank Chairman MILLER for the leadership that he has provided, and the ranking member, Mr. KLINE, for the work that he has been doing in the committee.

Madam Chair, at a time when our Nation's students need it the most, this legislation makes significant changes to student lending, one of the biggest changes that we have seen in years and years. While this bill makes tremendous investments in education, too many potential college students may be unaware of it.

Unfortunately, today, there are many students, especially those who may be first in their families to apply to college or who may come from disadvantaged communities, who are ill-informed about Federal student loans. Many students aren't aware of the opportunities available to them or of the responsibilities that follow from taking out a loan. This lack of information will range from students deciding that college is too expensive to those who default on their loans after graduation.

When you look at some of the States that have been impacted, this particular amendment will call on the Secretary to work with colleges and universities to educate students about the repayment of Federal direct loans, and this amendment will help cut excessive default rates that threaten the eligibility of some of the schools from participating in this student aid program.

My home State of Texas has one of the highest student loan default rates in the country, and financial aid directors in my district have cited a lack of information and outreach as a primary cause. As we make college more accessible to all Americans, we need to make sure that students and their families have the information so they can make reasoned and informed decisions.

This simple but important amendment will lead to increased student awareness, financial aid opportunities, help prevent student loan defaults and increase our country's production of talented graduates. I urge all my colleagues to support it.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Madam Chair, I rise to claim time in opposi-

tion, although I don't plan to vote against it.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from Minnesota is recognized.

There was no objection.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Madam Chair, this amendment, it seems to me, is a little bit like putting a bandaid on what has proven to be a gaping wound. I don't think it's going to make many things worse, and it might even stop a little bit of the bleeding, but it certainly won't heal the damage.

H.R. 3221 eliminates a program that over 70 percent of colleges and universities have consistently chosen. This amendment is an acknowledgment that the breakneck pace of this transition by next summer will be a problem for students, families and schools.

While I share the concern about this radical change to our financial aid system, I fear this amendment may not do as much good as the gentleman from Texas hopes. The Department of Education already maintains a Web site on Federal aid programs and regularly disseminates information to high schools about the availability of Federal student aid.

In spite of information about the direct loan program, most schools still choose the FFEL Program. That tells me it's not a lack of information but a genuine preference for the choice, innovation and competition of the FFEL Program.

Informing students and families is important, but it's no substitute for simply maintaining the program they already know and they already like.

I reserve the balance of my time. Mr. CUELLAR. I yield as much time as he may consume to Mr. Andrews of New Jersey.

□ 1045

Mr. ANDREWS. I thank the gentleman for yielding, and I rise to express the committee's strong support for his amendment. It is important to reflect on what Mr. CUELLAR's amendment does, and what the bill does not do.

Mr. CUELLAR's amendment answers questions for students and families and financial aid officers and universities and colleges about how best to access student loans. Mr. CUELLAR's amendment, I think, very wisely recognizes there is a whole different kind of person who is achieving a higher education in our country today.

It is not simply the person fresh out of high school. It is people who are in the middle of a career change, either voluntarily or involuntarily because of a layoff or a plant closing. It is a person who is a bit further along in life who wants to build his or her career by going to college. It is a nonconventional student. It might be a person very new to America, or it might be a person who has been here for a very long time. It is people facing language, cultural, or other kinds of issues.

What Mr. Cuellar's amendment is doing is making sure that the Department of Education is a constructive and active partner in answering the questions that our constituents have. We enthusiastically embrace and support his amendment.

His amendment improves on a bill that doesn't really do any of the things that with all due respect the minority said. The minority discusses this as some sort of radical shift. It is not radical at all. Right now a student goes to a financial aid office and applies for a Pell Grant. It is a common process done throughout college and university campuses around the country. The only change between applying for a Pell Grant and applying for a student loan is you sign a document that is a note to pay the loan back. That is the only additional step that takes place. As a matter of fact, it is far less bureaucratic and far less complicated for a student accessing such a loan.

This bill saves the taxpayers \$10 billion over time off the deficit. It stops the practice of rewarding people for taking risks with taxpayers' money. It understands, as the Congressional Budget Office has said, that the savings generated from this are \$87 billion over time. The bill promotes efficiency. It will generate economic development.

With respect to the gentleman's point about lost jobs, Mr. ETHERIDGE's amendment very much speaks to that. It makes sure that loan originators are now eligible to become loan processors and collectors, and much of the work done by those who originate in the private sector will now be done in the private sector by those who process and service these loans.

So the underlying bill saves the taxpayers money, significantly expands educational opportunity, and reduces the deficit by \$20 billion over time. Mr. CUELLAR's amendment significantly adds to the value of this bill. The committee strongly supports his amendment.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Madam Chair, clearly there is continuing disagreement over money that this bill saves or costs. The Congressional Budget Office provided an original score of a so-called savings of \$87 billion. That same Congressional Budget Office has provided additional information which would indicate that this bill is going to put us further into deficit, further into debt by perhaps as much as \$50 billion.

This is not a money-saving bill. This is, indeed, a government takeover of an industry. This will cost jobs despite the Etheridge amendment. This is a bad piece of legislation. I am going to support this amendment because it is at least a Band-Aid.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. CUELLAR. I yield back.

The Acting CHAÏR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CUELLAR).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 12 OFFERED BY MR. MURPHY OF
CONNECTICUT

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 12 printed in House Report 111–256.

Mr. MURPHY of Connecticut. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the desk made in order under the rule.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 12 offered by Mr. MURPHY of Connecticut:

Page 163, line 22, insert "(which may include establishing or supporting partnerships with institutions of higher education (as such term is defined in section 101 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001) to support such education and training)" after "providers".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 746, the gentleman from Connecticut (Mr. Murphy) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Connecticut.

Mr. MURPHY of Connecticut. Madam Chair, I yield myself for such time as I may consume.

I would like to first thank Chairman MILLER, Representative ANDREWS, Representative HINOJOSA, the ranking member for their work on the underlying legislation. We are debating right now landmark legislation that is going to bring more access, affordable access, to hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of college students across this country.

Therefore, it is only fitting that as a component to this legislation, the Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act also heavily invests in birth-to-5 education. We know investing in early childhood education creates a pathway to later success in our educational spectrum.

Madam Chair, I have spent the last several months touring around my district talking with the people who make our early childhood education system work. I have hosted round table discussions in cities like Torrington and Danbury and listened to parents and providers and administrators; and there is one message I have heard loud and clear, and that is the lack of early education degree programs in Connecticut and across the country often makes it difficult to find highly qualified early learning teachers in Connecticut and across the Nation.

My amendment simply seeks to clarify that the very important Early Learning Challenge Fund included in this bill would allow for States to use some of that grant money to partner with local colleges and universities to create or to expand effective education and training programs for early learning providers.

I was a very strong supporter of our Head Start and School Readiness Act in 2007. That bill requires that Head Start teachers by 2011 have associate's degrees; and by 2013, 50 percent of Head Start teachers be required to have a bachelor's or master's degree. I think it is important to make sure that our Nation's kids have teachers and educators who have that academic background and education. But we need to

make sure that our educational system feeds our early learning centers with those trained professionals.

I appreciate the chairman's help on this bill and appreciate Representative ANDREWS' support, and I urge the Chamber's support.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Madam Chair, once again I rise to claim time in opposition, although once again I am not going to oppose the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from Minnesota is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. The purpose of this amendment is to allow States to provide education and training for early learning providers by entering into partnerships with higher education institutions. I don't oppose these partnerships at all, but I am concerned with the underlying language here.

What we are doing in the bill, we are diverting \$8 billion to fund and impose requirements on State early childhood systems. In 2005 the GAO reported there were already 69 Federal early childhood programs spread out over 10 Federal agencies with no coordinated or comprehensive strategy.

It is not the partnerships to improve early learning provider training that cause my concern. It is the entire notion that the Federal Government is inserting itself yet again into pre-K education and other areas, especially when we have not yet met our obligation to very important programs like IDEA, creating new programs that once again will be underfunded, once again will compete with special ed. We ought not be adding new programs when we haven't met our basic obligations

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MURPHY of Connecticut. I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. ANDREWS).

Mr. ANDREWS. I thank my friend for yielding, and the committee congratulates and thanks him for this very excellent work he has done on this amendment.

Mr. Murphy's amendment recognizes that some of the most important teaching in America is going on right now by people who have had some of the least access to high-quality education for themselves. And it is not because they are not competent; it is not because they don't want it. It is because the resources have not been there

The research is very clear that children in the early years of their lives develop much of their learning patterns and their skills. The country needs a significant investment in high-quality teacher education for the men and women who are teaching preschools across the country.

Mr. Murphy's amendment, I think, embraces that concept in a very wise

way by encouraging the States that will receive early learning funding under this bill to consider using some of that funding in partnerships with higher education institutions so that the quality of teaching may improve.

This, I think, is an amendment that will pay dividends for years to come because better education for our pre-K students will lead to better achievement in the classroom which will yield better results throughout the lives of these students when they become taxpayers and workers and productive citizens of this country.

I think this is an effort that will bear fruit for many years to come. The committee would urge a "yes" vote.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MURPHY of Connecticut. Madam Chair, I thank the gentleman for his support.

There are thousands of early child-hood educators in my district, and I am sure similar numbers across the country who want to go back to school and get that advanced degree. Right now the problem is there aren't slots for them to do this. This early learning challenge grant provides the opportunity to expand on programs that exist today and helps to create new ones. I would urge support for this amendment.

I yield back the balance of my time. The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Connecticut (Mr. Murphy).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 13 OFFERED BY MR. CHILDERS

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 13 printed in House Report 111–256.

Mr. CHILDERS. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 13 offered by Mr. Childers:

Page 43, beginning on line 17, amend section 106 (and conform the Table of Contents accordingly) to read as follows:

SEC. 106. VETERANS RESOURCE OFFICER GRANTS.

Section 873 (20 U.S.C. 1161t) is amended—

- (1) by amending the header to read as follows: "MODEL PROGRAMS FOR CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE FOR VETERAN STUDENT SUCCESS; VETERANS RESOURCE OFFICERS";
- (2) in subsection (a), by inserting ", or Veterans Resource Officers," after "model programs";
- (3) by amending subsection (b) to read as follows:
- "(b) GRANT AUTHORIZED.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the availability of appropriations under subsection (f), the Secretary shall award grants to institutions of higher education to—
- "(A) develop model programs to support veteran student success in postsecondary education; or
- "(B) hire a Veterans Resource Officer to increase the college completion rates for veteran students enrolled at such institutions of higher education.

- "(2) GRANT PERIOD.—A grant awarded under this section shall be awarded for a period of 3 years."; and
 - (4) in subsection (c)-
 - (A) in paragraph (1)—
- (i) by amending the header to read as follows: "MODEL PROGRAM REQUIRED ACTIVITIES"; and
- (ii) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking "under this section" and inserting "for the purpose described in subsection (b)(1)(A)";
- (B) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and
- (\hat{C}) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following:
- "(2) VETERANS RESOURCE OFFICER REQUIRED ACTIVITIES.—An institution of higher education receiving a grant for the purpose described in subsection (b)(1)(B) shall use such grant to hire a Veterans Resource Officer whose duties shall include—
 - "(A) serving as a liaison between—
- "(i) veteran students;
- $\mbox{``(ii)}$ the faculty and staff of the institution;

"(iii) local facilities of the Department of Veterans Affairs; and

"(iv) mental healthcare providers at the Department of Veterans Affairs to ensure that veteran students are referred to such providers if needed; and

"(B) organizing and advising veteran student organizations and hosting veterans-oriented group functions on campus;

"(C) distributing news and information to all veteran students, including through maintaining newsletters and listserves; and

"(D) assisting in the training of Department of Veterans Affairs certifying officials, when applicable.".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 746, the gentleman from Mississippi (Mr. CHILDERS) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mississippi.

Mr. CHILDERS. Madam Chair, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Chair, I rise today in support of H.R. 3221, and I ask my colleagues for their support of my amendment to H.R. 3221 and our Nation's veterans. I want to thank the chairman and the committee for making my amendment in order today.

This amendment would require campus veterans resource officers to act as a link between student veterans and mental health care providers at the Department of Veterans Affairs. With the support of veterans resource officers on university and college campuses, student veterans will be better connected to vital services provided by the Department of Veterans Affairs and will be better prepared to complete their studies.

With the recent implementation of the post-9/11 GI Bill, veterans have greater affordability and access to higher education and training. My amendment would help ensure that student veterans are able to complete their degree and graduate.

When the recently deployed National Guard Members from my district in Mississippi return, I want to see these education benefits accessed by veterans, and help those veterans to succeed in their college careers. I would like to especially commend the unprecedented investments in community colleges included in H.R. 3221. Community colleges in Mississippi are some of the best in the Nation. They play an important role in preparing students for tomorrow's workforce. A community college education is one of the best investments a student can make.

I thank our veterans for their service to our Nation, and I encourage them to access the training and education benefits they have earned. I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this important amendment.

I reserve my time.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Madam Chair, I rise to claim the time in opposition to the amendment, although again I do not intend to oppose the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from Minnesota is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

This is a very worthy goal, and I applaud the gentleman's efforts in putting this amendment together. We should be doing things in all of our legislation that will strengthen the support that we provide for our men and women in uniform while they are in uniform, while they are overseas, when they come back, and when they take the uniform off. I applaud the gentleman and support the amendment.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CHILDERS. Madam Chair, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. ANDREWS).

Mr. ANDREWS. I thank my friend for yielding, and I join my friend from Minnesota in supporting this amendment. I know that my friend from Minnesota speaks as a father and as a veteran when he speaks in favor of this amendment. We salute his service.

This amendment is part of a series of amendments that carry forth a bipartisan tradition of this House that says that we don't want to simply welcome our troops home with welcoming ceremonies; we want to really welcome them home with services and respect and resources that they so richly deserve.

□ 1100

This amendment carries forth that tradition by emphasizing that our veterans who choose to pursue a higher education and who would benefit from the full range of health services that are available to veterans need to have those services.

The amendment requires an active liaison process between the veteran service officer on a campus and the health care people at the Veterans Administration so that veterans can have the full range of services and, frankly, try to make as much one-stop shopping as we can. So a veteran who is trying to balance his or her family obligations and work obligations and school obligations, who has some health care

issues, is able to get services in one place, maybe, instead of two or three.

It makes a lot of sense for people. I think the author has reflected the views of his constituents not only in his district, but veterans around our country.

The majority on the committee is strongly in favor of this proposal because it recognizes not only the service that our veterans have given us, but the needs they have. And we would urge a "yes" vote.

Mr. CHILDERS. I thank the gentleman for his remarks. I would also like to thank the gentleman from across the aisle for his kind remarks and support of our veterans as well.

Madam Chair, this is simple: This is good for veterans; it's good for universities and community colleges, and this is one way that this body can honor our commitment to our men and women who have worn the uniform so proudly.

With that, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Mississippi has the right to close.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. It was the gentleman's amendment. Parliamentary inquiry, Madam Chair. Doesn't the opposite side have the right to close on these amendments as offered?

The Acting CHAIR. Only a manager in true opposition has the right to close. When the gentleman claims the time in opposition by unanimous consent, not actually opposing the amendment, then the proponent of the amendment has the right to close.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Thank you, Madam Chair

I support this amendment. I support the comments of my colleagues from New Jersey and Mississippi, the author of the bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.
Mr. CHILDERS. I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Mississippi (Mr. CHILDERS).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 14 OFFERED BY MR. ADLER OF NEW JERSEY

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 14 printed in House Report 111–256.

Mr. ADLER of New Jersey. Madam Chairwoman, I have an amendment at the desk

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 14 offered by Mr. Adler of New Jersey:

Page 31, line 10, redesignate subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (E).

Page 31, line 17, redesignate subparagraph (E) as subparagraph (F).

Page 31, after line 9, insert the following: (D) include activities to increase degree or certificate completion for students who are

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House resolution 746, the gentleman

from New Jersey (Mr. ADLER) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New Jersey.

Mr. ADLER of New Jersey. Thank you, Madam Chairwoman. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I'd like to start by thanking Chairman MILLER, Chairman ANDREWS, and Ranking Member KLINE for their leadership on this important matter.

The legislation we're discussing today provides funding to schools, non-profits, and other educational-related organizations that assist students in the completion of college and associate degrees.

My amendment, along with that earlier amendment offered by Mr. REYES, will take this bill to the next level and prioritize grants to schools and organizations that have shown a dedication to ensure student veterans have the support and resources they need to complete their degrees.

Our veterans have served our country to keep our country safe and free, and they deserve every opportunity to succeed as they return home. We should make every effort to ensure that their transition from service to civilian life is smooth and successful.

To that end, my amendment will prioritize schools and organizations that support our student veterans and help them apply the skills learned in military service to the classroom.

I thank the schools and organizations who already take steps to increase education opportunities for our veterans and hope that my amendment will support their efforts and provide an incentive for others to join them.

Rutgers University, the State University of New Jersey, has been on the forefront in my home State, providing much needed education opportunities to our servicemembers. Most recently, Rutgers created veterans' services offices, mentoring programs, special orientations, and advisory boards to better assist our State's veterans obtain the college degrees and certifications they deserve.

I hope that this bill pushes more colleges and universities across the country to support our veterans in the future.

Judge Washington said it best: "The willingness with which our young people are likely to serve in any war, no matter how justified, shall be directly proportional as to how they perceive the veterans of earlier wars were treated and appreciated by their country."

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CASTLE. I rise not in opposition, but to claim the time in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from Delaware is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. CASTLE. Let me first address Mr. Adler's amendment. I think this is actually a very good purpose, and I'm supportive of it. We actually have done something similar to this in the Higher

Education Act, in putting people in colleges to help with veterans. I think its purpose is well intended.

I also have examined this legislation carefully. It's gone through our committee, on which I served several times. I think there are some very good aspects to the bill, if you just isolate that and you believe all the numbers that are in there—increasing the Pell Grant limit, simplifying the financial aid process, supporting minority-servinstitutions, supporting early childhood education programs, expanding services for veterans, and supporting community colleges and putting money towards deficit reduction. All that is well and good, but I have a couple problems with this legislation.

One is I'm not a hundred percent sure that I believe all the numbers which are being thrown around in terms of the savings. Secondly, I have examined the way student loans are done now, and I have examined the Federal Family Education Loan program, the FFEL program, which is the federally backed student loan program, and I have found that that program serves 4,421 colleges and universities nationally, and close to \$68 billion in student loans during the past year, according to the Congressional Research Service; whereas, the Direct Loan Program, which we're shifting to, only serves 1,500 colleges versus the 4,421, and \$19 billion versus the \$68 billion.

In other words, there's been a decision made by most colleges and universities in this country to go with the existing program, the FFEL program, over the Direct Loan Program, and I worry about what that shift might encounter.

One of the things that's going to happen at a time in which unemployment in this country is 10 percent is there's going to be a loss of jobs in the private sector. The Consumer Bankers Association indicates that this bill threatens approximately 30,000 people's jobs nationwide, and that's all over the country, because various banks make this kind of servicing dollars available and, therefore, have employment in that area. So you're talking about potentially a huge job loss in that area.

I had introduced an amendment before the Rules Committee with Tom PRICE from Georgia which would have indicated that we should hold this up until we can get a study of the job loss, but that, unfortunately, is not before us today.

But the problem still remains. We're just not certain, Madam Chairwoman, exactly what this will entail. If everything we hear about the bill is absolutely correct and all that money can be saved and the Federal Government is not going to hire a lot more people or mess it up in some other way in terms of the cost savings, there may be a very valid argument for the bill. I think it makes some very good points. But if those things do not prove out—and many things that we talk about here on the floor don't prove out in

practice—I think that would be problematic.

Part of the problem is that you're looking at 30,000 jobs, all of which are at risk. And you can argue about whether its origination or servicing and that kind of thing, but the bottom line is some percentage of those jobs would be at risk.

So I'm supportive of the amendment, to get back to the heart of why we're speaking right now, but I have some serious reservations about where we're going with this legislation at this time for the reasons which I stated.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ADLER of New Jersey. I thank the gentleman for his supporting the amendment.

May I inquire as to how much time I have remaining?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman has 3 minutes remaining.

Mr. ADLER of New Jersey. I yield 2 minutes to my colleague, the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. AN-DREWS).

Mr. ANDREWS. I thank my friend for yielding, and the committee expresses its strong support and appreciation for your good work. We support it and would urge a "yes" vote. Again, this follows in the tradition of doing things for our veterans, not just talking about them.

With respect to the underlying bill and addressing the two points made by my friend from Delaware, first, with respect to job loss. The concern that we all share about job loss is one of the reasons why. This bill makes provisions for loan providers, private loan providers who presently originate and service loans to continue to have a robust role in the servicing and processing and collection of loans. We believe that the record will show as the years go through on this that the opportunities will, in fact, expand for those in that field.

Second, with respect to the issue of the cost of this bill, as the Members know, under our rules, we have an agreement that the Congressional Budget Office is the authoritative source, and the Congressional Budget Office has given an authoritative analysis of this bill. That authoritative analysis says that the change that's made, which is the cessation of the process of rewarding private institutions to take risk with taxpayers' money, a very logical change, that that change generates gross savings of \$87 billion over the years that are subject to the analysis, and that in this bill \$10 billion of that is dedicated to deficit reduction.

So I think the issue is clear. The bill provides for a continuing robust role for private sector firms and workers. and the Congressional Budget Office has authoritatively stated the savings generated by this bill are \$87 billion.

The underlying bill is strong. The gentleman from New Jersey's amendment strengthens the bill. We would urge a "yes" vote on his amendment.

Mr. CASTLE. Madam Chairwoman, how much time do we have left on this

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman has 1½ minutes left.

Mr. CASTLE. I vield myself the remaining time.

I understand well the second speaker, the gentleman from New Jersey, Mr. ANDREWS, and I think he's right. As I said at the beginning, there are many good aspects to this bill if we can believe all those things are going to come together. As a matter of fact, it's been a little difficult for me to oppose it for that reason, because if these things do happen, that's advantageous.

With all due respect to the authoritative analysis from CBO, I don't always believe everything I hear from CBO. Not that they don't do a good job, but they are anticipating behavior as far as the future is concerned. So I'm not sure if we're going to have \$87 billion of savings to spread over all these other things. My hunch is there's going to be a lot of hiring that's going to have to go on to do the origination and servicing which is there.

I'm also very concerned if we take away the origination, which is really what the bill does, as far as the private lenders are concerned, you're going to get left with the servicing, and that's going to mean a substantial reduction in jobs. I'm not suggesting 30,000 jobs. We're going to lose a substantial number, I think, of private sector jobs. I'm just reticent about that for that reason. I would have hoped that we could have had some delay before we go full thrust in this and find out 5 years from now it isn't quite as has been promised.

Again, I do support the amendment, but I have some underlying concerns about the legislation. I respect all that's being stated and, frankly, I hope it's correct, because it could be in the best interest of the future of our government.

With that, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ADLER of New Jersey. They fought for our freedom. They fought for our safety. They fought for an ever greater America as a beacon of hope for freedom for the world. We can do something for them today by supporting this amendment. I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on this amendment.

I yield back the balance of my time. The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. ADLER).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 15 OFFERED BY MR. HIMES

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 15 printed in House Report 111-256.

Mr. HIMES. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 15 offered by Mr. HIMES: Page 21, after line 9, insert the following:

(iii) encourages the full use of State resources in support of financial literacy programs:

Page 21, line 10, redesignate clause (iii) as

clause (iv).
Page 21, line 14, redesignate clause (iv) as clause (v)

Page 21, line 20, redesignate clause (v) as clause (vi).

Page 25, line 3, strike "and"

Page 25, after line 5, insert the following: "(v) programs to provide financial literacy education and counseling to elementary, secondary, and postsecondary students that include an examination of how financial plan-

ning may impact a student's ability to pursue postsecondary education; and"

Page 31, after line 9, insert the following: "(D) include activities that enhance the financial literacy and awareness of students who are potentially eligible for assistance under this Act, especially those students from groups that are traditionally underrepresented in postsecondary education;".

Page 31, line 10, redesignate subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (E).

Page 31, line 17, redesignate subparagraph

(E) as subparagraph (F).
Page 77, line 7, insert ", including financial literacy programs," before "(if any)".

Page 80, beginning on line 1, amend subparagraph (B) to read as follows:

"(B) deliver a wide range of financial literacy and counseling tools to equip students with the information necessary to make prudent decisions concerning their educational success and financial well-being.".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 746, the gentleman from Connecticut (Mr. HIMES) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Connecticut.

Mr. HIMES. Thank you, Madam Chair. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I'd like to begin by thanking Chairman MILLER and Ranking Member KLINE for their leadership on this very important bill.

Madam Chair, the next century belongs to the Nation which best educates its citizens today. If America wants to compete in the world economy, we need an educated workforce; yet, the single greatest barrier to higher education can be summed up in one word: cost.

College tuition has gone up more than any other good or service in the last 20 years. The Department of Education tells us that students hold a staggering \$714 billion in outstanding student loan debt. If we want students to succeed in the classroom, we need to help them manage the financial commitments that got them there.

And so as Congress acts today to bring higher education within reach for millions more Americans, we must promote access to the financial education that students need to make what is usually the most important financial decision of their young lives.

The need to enhance our outreach here is enormous. Recent reports estimate that between 30 and 40 percent of first- and second-year students will be put into default at some point during the life of their loans.

□ 1115

At the same time, a financial literacy survey taken by Harris International in 2009 said that 47 percent of Americans between the ages of 18 and 34 give themselves C's, D's or F's on their knowledge of personal finance.

The amendment I offer today with my colleagues, Congresswomen McCarthy and Schwartz, makes several technical changes to the underlying bill which, at no additional cost, will help to ensure that States, nonprofits and private loan servicers who benefit from the new investments in college attainment and completion made by this bill do their utmost to include high-quality financial literacy training in their efforts to help keep more of our kids in school and in the postsecondary degree of their choice.

The Himes-McCarthy-Schwartz amendment enjoys the support of the National Association of College Admissions Counseling, the National Foundation for Credit Counseling, the Corporation for Enterprise and Development, and the Institute for Financial Literacy. I encourage my colleagues to vote in support of this amendment.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Madam Chair, I rise to claim the time in opposition to this amendment, although, in fact, I'm going to support the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Thank you, Madam Chair.

This is a good, laudable goal. I certainly hope it works. Financial literacy is in dire straits at every stage of our development. I don't know that this will do the job, but I certainly like the direction it's going.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. HIMES. Madam Chair, I first yield 1 minute to my colleague and fellow sponsor, the distinguished gentlelady from New York (Mrs. MCCARTHY)

lady from New York (Mrs. McCarthy). Mrs. McCarthy of New York. Thank you, Mr. HIMES. I appreciate working with you and Congresswoman SCHWARTZ in working to bring this important amendment to the floor. I want to also thank Chairman MILLER, Ranking Member Kline and the committee staff for their hard work on H.R. 3221 which will make landmark investments in education and will provide \$10 billion in deficit reduction. I also want to thank the chairman for working with me to include several positions in the bill related to school safety, classroom noise, child care facilities and increasing college access for low-income and minority students.

The amendment before us would make five technical changes to the bill to strengthen the financial literacy components. It has become apparent that the lack of education among students and consumers about financial systems and products is one of the key elements of our Nation's current economic crisis. In many cases, consumers were preyed on by financial institutions and sold into debts that they were not capable of fulfilling. This has

been a defining factor of the current economic crisis.

This amendment seeks to better educate students and arm them with the knowledge that will help them navigate the rough waters of our economy. It's more important than ever that Americans become informed consumers in order to prevent our economy from weakening further. I believe it is never too early or too late to learn about consumer, economic and personal finance concepts. This amendment is a good step that will hopefully put Americans on a track toward fiscal responsibility and make a new generation of informed consumers.

I urge all of my colleagues to support the amendment and the underlying bill.

Mr. HIMES. I next yield 1 minute to my colleague and fellow sponsor, the distinguished gentlelady from Pennsylvania (Ms. Schwarz).

Ms. SCHWARTZ. Thank you.

I rise today in support of the Himes-McCarthy-Schwartz amendment which strengthens the financial literacy provisions in the Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act.

As our country emerges from a recession that has starkly exposed the need for good financial planning and fiscal responsibility for individuals, for corporations and for the Nation, supporting financial literacy education is more important than ever. That is why I'm proud to work with my colleagues, Mr. HIMES and Mrs. McCarthy, on this amendment before us.

The amendment makes several commonsense additions that will encourage financial literacy education for students; and importantly, it will reach students early, well before they enter college so that early financial planning and counseling can positively impact students' views that college is possible, that it is financially accessible. And it will enable students to develop sound financial habits that they will carry with them through college and beyond.

The Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act addresses important issues of college affordability, including how students and their families plan, save and borrow for college. This amendment will strengthen the financial literacy provisions, and I am very pleased to see its inclusion in this bill.

Mr. HIMES. Finally I yield 1 minute to my colleague and a great leader in the area of financial literacy, the distinguished gentlelady from Texas (Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON).

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas. Thank you very much.

I rise in support of the Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act and the Himes-McCarthy-Schwartz amendment on financial literacy.

Statistics from my State show that there is a staggering 50 percent drop between the number of persons that are high school graduates and persons that have a bachelor's degree or higher. This is below the national trend

I represent a district with a large percent of underrepresented groups in postsecondary education. Preparation for a postsecondary education starts far in advance of a student's enrollment in college. In fact, it is this preparation that got them accepted into college. The same should be said for student financial literacy in preparation for higher education.

Our people as well as our country are benefactors of broad-based financial literacy initiatives. We are only as rich as our poorest citizens. Enactment of this bill will go a long way toward ensuring that our young people do not fall into the current adult financial trends, including delinquency in paying bills, maintaining high credit card debt, as well as not establishing budgeting priorities for the most needs basic, including housing and food.

I encourage my colleagues to vote for this legislation and this amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. All time having expired, the question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Connecticut (Mr. HIMES).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. HIMES. Madam Chair, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Connecticut will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 16 OFFERED BY MS. KILROY

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 16 printed in House Report 111–256.

Ms. KILROY. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 16 offered by Ms. KILROY: Page 185, beginning on line 21, strike paragraph (2) and insert the following:

(2) are institutions of higher education eligible for assistance under title III or V of the Higher Education Act of 1965, or consortia that include such an institution; or

(3) are focused on serving low-income, non-traditional students (as defined in section 803(j) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1161c(j))), or students who are dislocated workers, who do not have a bachelor's degree.

Page 196, beginning on line 21, strike subsection (c) and all that follows through page 197, line 5, and insert the following:

(c) Grant Duration; Renewal.—A grant awarded under this section shall be awarded to an eligible State for a 6-year period, except that if the Secretary determines that the eligible State has not made demonstrable progress in achieving the benchmarks developed pursuant to subsection (h) by the end of the third year of the grant period, non further grant funds shall be made available to the entity after the date of such determination.

(d) PRIORITY.—In awarding grants under this section, the Secretary shall give priority to applications focused on serving low-income, nontraditional students (as defined in section 803(j) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1161c(j))), or students who are dislocated workers, who do not have a bachelor's degree.

(e) FEDERAL AND NON-FEDERAL SHARE; SUPPLEMENT, NOT SUPPLANT.—

Ms. KILROY. Madam Chair, I ask unanimous consent to bring up the amendment as modified by the form placed at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will report the modification.

The Clerk read as follows:

Modification to amendment No. 16 offered by Ms. KILROY:

Page 185, beginning on line 21, strike paragraph (2) and insert the following:

(2) are institutions of higher education eligible for assistance under title III or V of the Higher Education Act of 1965, or consortia that include such an institution; or

(3) are focused on serving low-income, non-traditional students (as defined in section 803(j) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1161c(j))), students who are dislocated workers, or students who are veterans, who do not have a bachelor's degree.

Page 196, beginning on line 21, strike subsection (c) and all that follows through page 197, line 5, and insert the following:

(c) GRANT DURATION; RENEWAL.—A grant awarded under this section shall be awarded to an eligible State for a 6-year period, except that if the Secretary determines that the eligible State has not made demonstrable progress in achieving the benchmarks developed pursuant to subsection (h) by the end of the third year of the grant period, non further grant funds shall be made available to the entity after the date of such determination.

(d) PRIORITY.—In awarding grants under this section, the Secretary shall give priority to applications focused on serving low-income, nontraditional students (as defined in section 803(j) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1161c(j))), students who are dislocated workers, or students who are veterans, who do not have a bachelor's degree.

(e) FEDERAL AND NON-FEDERAL SHARE; SUPPLEMENT, NOT SUPPLANT.—

Ms. KILROY (during the reading). Madam Chair, I ask unanimous consent to dispense with the reading.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the reading is dispensed with.

There was no objection.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the amendment is modified.

There was no objection.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 746, the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. KILROY) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentle-woman from Ohio.

Ms. KILROY. Thank you, Madam Chair. I yield myself as much time as I may consume.

My amendment will help Americans looking for jobs. My amendment will focus on getting the 55,000 unemployed central Ohioans in my district back working and also help veterans get the training that they and millions of unemployed Americans need to get that job, a job that will support a family and increase their wages. My amendment is possible because of the strong work of Chairman MILLER and his committee, and I thank him for that.

For many, finding a new job will mean enrolling in school at a time when the costs of higher education have been steadily increasing. Community colleges often represent the best and most affordable opportunity for individuals who need to obtain new skills but do not have the means to pay the tuition. Columbus State Community College in my district has been a source of pride because of the outstanding job they have done in these tough economic times to improve workforce training. On their own, they have created a special scholarship program that gives workers over the age of 25 without degrees up to \$3,500 for retraining.

My amendment would ensure that Columbus State can continue their program and will encourage community colleges across the country to focus on dislocated workers and veterans. My amendment would help all of our outof-work constituents, like the program at Columbus State has already helped my constituent Ryan. Raising a family of five, he was laid off from his job at a GM auto parts plant. But the scholarship program allowed him to retrain and pursue a passion to become a chef, get a full-time job and support his family. Not only did he receive a full-time job at a local restaurant, but he was also encouraged to open a catering business. His first job was a graduation party this summer that led to 14 new catering opportunities.

Madam Chair, this bill will be historic because of the opportunities it creates for education for our children. My amendment will ensure that this historic bill will also assist out-of-work Americans and veterans by getting them out of dead ends and into successful career paths.

Madam Chair, I reserve the balance of my time

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Madam Chair, I rise to claim time in opposition to the amendment, although, once again, I do not plan to oppose the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Thank you, Madam Chair.

Our higher education system should be focused on serving low-income and nontraditional students along with dislocated workers and veterans. In fact, some parts of the system are already working and working well. Community colleges and proprietary institutions, for example, are addressing this need. I do not oppose prioritizing these populations if we're providing grants for education and job training. But again, this amendment proves that H.R. 3221 was crafted hastily, failing to adequately address the needs of students and job seekers. It creates a new program that duplicates many of the purposes of the existing job training system under the Workforce Investment Act which is long overdue for reauthorization, I might add. Those populations are receiving assistance today under WIA.

I would also point out the perverse consequences of this bill coupled with this amendment. Under H.R. 3221, we will likely see significant job losses, creating those dislocated workers. Rather than adding to the number of dislocated workers, we should simply abandon this job-killing bill.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. KILROY. May I inquire, Madam Chair, how much time I have?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentlewoman from Ohio has $2\frac{1}{2}$ minutes remaining.

Ms. KILROY. I yield such time as he may consume to Representative ANDREWS from New Jersey.

Mr. ANDREWS. I thank the gentle-lady for yielding.

The committee expresses its strong support for the gentlelady's amendment. The amendment is very much about a person who's not simply seeking a new job, like the story the gentlelady told about Ryan, but who is seeking a new career. And frankly, this is the difference between the issues raised in the Workforce Investment Act, which we should reauthorize, and this bill. The Workforce Investment Act really focuses on switching from job to job and helping someone do that.

The gentlelady's amendment and this bill focus on building a whole new life and a whole new career, which is necessary for many of our people. They have to do it involuntarily, but it also makes that available for the person who perhaps is doing it voluntarily.

The gentlelady's amendment properly focuses on the 55,000 people in her district and the millions of people across this country who find themselves involuntarily in a position where they must build a new career and a new life. Her amendment rewards institutions that are most innovative and creative in achieving that goal. For these reasons, we enthusiastically support the gentlelady's amendment and would urge a "yes" vote.

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. Madam Chair, we're going to support this amendment. I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. KILROY. Madam Chair, I appreciate the support from my colleagues and my colleagues from across the aisle. It is time that we come together to address this issue of the unemployed in our country. This amendment is about them. It's about getting them the education, the jobs and the training that will help them contribute to our economy and support their families.

I thank you very much and ask for support from my colleagues for the amendment and for this bill.

I yield back the balance of my time. The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. KILROY), as modified.

The amendment, as modified, was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 17 OFFERED BY MR. MINNICK

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 17 printed in House Report 111–256.

Mr. MINNICK. I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 17 offered by Mr. MINNICK: Page 193, line 8, amend clause (iv) to read as follows:

(iv) transfer of general education credits, including education credits earned while serving in the Armed Forces, between institutions of higher education, as applicable;

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 746, the gentleman from Idaho (Mr. MINNICK) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Idaho.

Mr. MINNICK. Madam Chair, no group better exemplifies the power of what a college education can accomplish in building on practical life experiences than that of our Nation's servicemen and -women. So many of my State's and our Nation's leaders grew into adulthood through the military and then, with the benefit of a quality college education, went on to serve their communities and countries in positions of significant leadership in all walks of life.

□ 1130

It is critical that members of the Armed Forces who thirst for further formal education and show the extra initiative to earn college credit while in the service have the opportunity later to count those credits toward an advanced degree.

I'm proud to say that my amendment to the Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act will enhance that opportunity by allowing servicemen and women to transfer academic credits earned while serving in the Armed Forces between institutions of higher education so as to benefit not only themselves but their families and their country.

My amendment has been endorsed by the Iraq and Afghanistan Veterans of America, the Idaho Division of Veteran Services, and the Idaho American legion.

I would like to thank Chairman MIL-LER and members of the Education and Labor Committee for their hard work on this legislation.

I urge my colleagues to support the Minnick amendment.

Madam Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Madam Chair, I rise to claim time in opposition though I do not oppose the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Madam Chair, this amendment is important, I think, because I have some military experience and I have a lot friends with military experience, and as we send our young men and women across the world to defend us, they do take advantage of college opportunities that so many people

and so many institutions do offer our military. And when they come home, we should expect that their efforts should count towards their degrees.

I think this is a very good thing to do, and I appreciate the gentleman from Idaho for bringing this forward.

Madam Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MINNICK. I thank the gentleman, and I yield to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. Andrews).

Mr. ANDREWS. I thank the author of the amendment for yielding.

The committee strongly supports his amendment and commends him for his excellent work.

No student should pay twice for the same course. If someone takes an English course and excels in it and learns a certain set of skills, he or she should then not have to pay again and consume his or her time again a second time around at a different institution. This is even more true for the men and women who volunteer to serve this country in the Armed Forces. I think it's very important that the House understands the benefits of Mr. MINNICK's very wise amendment.

If a young American today who's serving in Afghanistan is able to access college credits whether online or in person and then he or she returns to his or her hometown and wants to transfer those credits so he or she can then build on their education, what Mr. MINNICK says is that's one of the standards that we're going to hold these institutions to to see how well they cooperate with that veteran who has returned home. What it really does is make sure that the veteran has extra leverage, that if the course meets reasonable academic requirements and if the student really learns what he or she should, they're going to get the credit; so the veteran is not going to pay twice, nor is he or she going to have to spend as much time on their course. This is a very important to a lot of our returning veterans.

The committee enthusiastically embraces and supports this amendment by Mr. MINNICK.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Again I just want to say I agree. When our military men and women travel, they're temporary. When they travel, they're away from their homes and they move around quite often. And the military has done an outstanding job of encouraging people to advance their degrees, advance in the ranks: noncommissioned officers as well as commissioned officers now require education and degrees. And I think it's very important that we do this, as they may be in Afghanistan for a year and then back in Fort Campbell, Kentucky, for a year or two, and they're picking up different courses. Then when they get home and want to get on with their life and get back into the civilian sector, they ought to put all that together into a clear path towards a degree.

Again I appreciate the gentleman bringing this forward.

Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MINNICK. I thank the gentleman from Kentucky, and I appreciate the bipartisan support for this amendment. I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Idaho (Mr. MINNICK).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. MINNICK. Madam Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Idaho will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 18 OFFERED BY MR. PERRIELLO

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 18 printed in House Report 111–256.

Mr. PERRIELLO. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 18 offered by Mr. Perriello:

Page 161, line 21, redesignate paragraph (14) as paragraph (15).

Page 161, after line 20, insert the following: (14) A description of any disparity by geographic area (urban and rural) of available high quality early learning programs for low-income children and the steps the State will take to decrease such disparity, if applicable.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 746, the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. Perriello) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Virginia.

Mr. PERRIELLO. Madam Chair, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Today I rise in support of my amendment to H.R. 3221, the Student Financial Assistance and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2009.

Simply stated, a well-educated citizenry is the bedrock of democracy. H.R. 3221 will help to renew America's global leadership in education. The bill will accomplish this important goal by making college more accessible, reforming quality early education opportunities, and by strengthening community colleges and training programs to help build a highly skilled and innovative 21st century workforce that is ready for the rigors of a global economy.

Study after study has validated the important role that early childhood education plays in a student's future educational success. U.S. Secretary of Health and Human Services, Kathleen Sebelius, recently testified before Congress, noting that "too many children are entering school without the basic skills they need to succeed in kindergarten and beyond." The Secretary went on to say what many of us already know: "Children who start off

school behind their peers are more likely to stay behind throughout their school lives and into adulthood, meaning they never reach their full potential."

As a representative of a rural district, I know all too well the myriad of challenges faced by our rural public schools, many of which are faced with the evolving responsibility of providing our children with a first-class education while operating on less than adequate resources. In light of these disparities and the critical nexus between quality early childhood education and future educational success, I believe that affirmative steps must be taken to ensure that all public schools, regardless of geographic location, receive equal treatment in Federal education reform initiatives.

To that end the amendment I offer today would require that those States participating in the U.S. Department of Education's Quality Pathways Grant Program will evaluate and report to the Secretary of Education a description of any disparity by geographic area, rural and urban, that exists in ongoing high-quality, early learning programs for low-income children. The amendment would also require that participating States outline the steps the State will take to address any such disparities. The Congressional Budget Office has determined this amendment would have no direct effect on Federal direct spending or revenues and thus would have no PAYGO impact.

The key here is to do two things: First, to focus on the vital issue of early childhood development and education; and, second, not to punish those rural areas where disparity exists but rather to reward those areas that have identified that problem and laid out a plan for moving forward. This is not about punishing but about rewarding success, rewarding innovation, and moving forward, particularly in those crucial rural areas where it's so important that our children, our young people, get these same opportunities. As a Nation, we have a responsibility to ensure that all of our children have access to a high-quality education and the American Dream.

I urge my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to support this amendment and the underlying legislation so that we may move forward with our commitment to America's future.

Madam Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Madam Chair, I rise to claim time in opposition though I'm not opposed to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Madam Chair, as I understand it, the purpose of this amendment is to ensure States applying for this new pre-K funding understand any geographic disparity between early learning programs for low-income children and consider steps to reduce the

disparity. This amendment's a positive step. It may even move us closer to ensuring more low-income children are served by this program, something that's really not clearly spelled out in the bill.

Madam Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. PERRIELLO. I thank the gentleman for his remarks, and I yield to the gentleman from New Jersey.

Mr. ANDREWS. I thank my friend for yielding and express the committee's strong support for his well-thought-out amendment.

The amendment reflects embracing three principles. The first is deficit reduction because the underlying bill reduces the deficit by \$10 billion. The second is the value of high-quality prekindergarten education for the children of this country. And the third is the principle of fairness. The quality of a child's education should not depend on his or her zip code. What Mr. Perriello's amendment does is to say that States who receive these early learning grants will have to pay attention to that fact, to discern any patterns of inequality that exist and talk about what they're going to do to fix them. We think that's a very important point, and we commend Mr. PERRIELLO for listening to people in his district. I know he represents a lot of very small counties and local subdivisions, but I know that he doesn't treat anyone's concerns as small. And by raising this amendment, he is raising the concerns of those constituents

The committee enthusiastically supports this amendment.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. PERRIELLO. Madam Chair, I ask that my colleagues support this amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. Perriello). The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 19 OFFERED BY MR. SCHAUER

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 19 printed in House Report 111–256.

Mr. SCHAUER. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 19 offered by Mr. SCHAUER: Page 31, line 10, redesignate subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (E).

Page 31, line 17, redesignate subparagraph (E) as subparagraph (F).

Page 31, after line 9, insert the following:

(D) include activities to encourage dislocated workers (as such term is defined in section 101(9) of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (29 U.S.C. 2801(9)) to complete postsecondary education opportunities;

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 746, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. SCHAUER) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Michigan.

Mr. SCHAUER. Madam Chair, my amendment gives priority in awarding Federal grants to schools, States, and nonprofits to encourage dislocated workers to complete their degrees.

In the last 2 years, 6.5 million Americans have lost their jobs, and many of them remain dislocated workers. These individuals are in need of retraining in a new field that will help them transition in the new economy. And nowhere is this more true than in my home State of Michigan.

I want to tell you about Ray Roddy in Hillsdale, Michigan. His home county, by the way, has an unemployment rate of 20 percent. Mr. Roddy was laid off from his job making engine components and realized he would need further education to find another job. He enrolled at Jackson Community College and is working hard to become a nurse. Many like Ray need retraining to regain employment in a new field but are unable to find it.

Now, within the Access and Completion Innovation Fund, my amendment will give priority to degree completion, something that matters to people like Ray Roddy. H.R. 3221 will make key investments in providing Americans with affordable and accessible education. My amendment will ensure that those who have been hurt the most in this tough economy, like Ray, aren't lost and are provided with opportunities for retraining to get back on their feet.

Madam Chair, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. ETHERDGE).

Mr. ETHERIDGE. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Madam Chair, I rise to engage in a colloquy with the gentleman from California, the distinguished chairman of the Education and Labor Committee.

Yesterday we voted to accept an amendment to ensure that local educational agencies that contain a military installation selected for closure under the BRAC process would qualify for access to reserved funds for distressed areas.

Mr. Chairman, not only do base closures under the BRAC process significantly affect local communities but also do rapid expansions due to realignment. The significant influx of military families, while welcomed in our communities, results in immediate and significant enrollment increases in our local schools and community colleges. These rapid population shifts put a strain on local budgets already distressed by the economic downturn.

Mr. Chairman, I am hopeful that as we move to conference, we can contemplate how we might assist these communities as well.

The Acting CHAIR. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. SCHAUER. I yield an additional 1 minute to the gentleman from North Carolina.

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. ETHERIDGE. I yield to the chairman.

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. I realize that the BRAC process has a multitude of consequences for local communities, both those facing base closures and those dealing with base expansions. As we move forward, we can take a look at how we might assist these communities under existing avenues as well as in conference on this legislation.

\Box 1145

Mr. ETHERIDGE. I thank the gentleman from California for his work on this issue and for this legislation.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Madam Chairman, I rise to claim time in opposition, although I do not oppose the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from Kentucky is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. GUTHRIE. The purpose of this amendment is to ensure dislocated workers are encouraged to compete through the grant process, and we think that's a worthwhile goal.

Also, since I have time, I want to complement what Chairman MILLER just said on BRAC. I actually represent Fort Knox, which is a big winner in the BRAC. I know a lot of communities were distressed before, but Fort Knox is going to be expanding and putting a lot of strain on our local schools.

I look forward to seeing what comes out of conference and being an opportunity to be supportive of that. I really appreciate that very much.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. SCHAUER. Madam Chairman, I yield to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. Andrews).

Mr. ANDREWS. I thank the author for yielding, and the committee strongly supports his amendment.

This is another example of making sure that the educational opportunities in this bill are focused on American workers who most need the help, those who find themselves with their lives disrupted, their finances in tatters, and in a lot of trouble. The author just told a very moving story about one of his constituents who fit that description. What we want the House to do is move his legislation to success today and move forward so we can help the kind of individuals that the author of the amendment talked about. We thank him for offering it and express our support.

Mr. SCHAUER. Madam Chair, I ask my colleagues to support this amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. SCHAUER).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it,

Mr. SCHAUER. Madam Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Michigan will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 20 OFFERED BY MR. TEAGUE

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 20 printed in House Report 111–256.

Mr. TEAĜUE. Madam Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 20 offered by Mr. TEAGUE: Page 182, after line 20, insert the following: (7) Are students who are veterans.

Page 192, after line 2, insert the following: (8) Expanding, enhancing, or creating academic programs or training programs that focus on preparing students for skilled occupations in energy-related fields, which may be carried out in partnership with employers and may include other relevant partners, that provide relevant job-skill training (including apprenticeships and worksite learning and training opportunities) for skilled occupations in high-demand industries.

(9) Expanding, enhancing, or creating academic programs or training programs that prepare students for occupations critical to serving veterans, including occupations within the Department of Veterans Affairs health care system.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 746, the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. TEAGUE) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New Mexico.

Mr. TEAGUE. Madam Chairwoman, I rise today to offer the first of two amendments I have to H.R. 3221, the Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2009. I would like to thank Chairwoman SLAUGHTER and Chairman MILLER for allowing the House to debate my proposals.

Madam Chairman, this amendment makes three commonsense changes to the American Graduation Initiative and the Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act. The American Graduation Initiative makes a historic investment in our community colleges.

In my home State of New Mexico, community colleges enroll over 51,000 students. These institutions of higher education provide critical pathways for many nontraditional students to receive an education, and they provide training for workers looking to get hired on in a local industry.

My amendments will help the community colleges in my district access resources to serve the many veterans across New Mexico and help my constituents get training for energy jobs, which represent most of the good-paying jobs available in southern New Mexico.

My first amendment makes sure that the programs geared toward helping our veterans be successful in school are given priority in receiving grants. I consider one of my most important responsibilities in Congress to be looking out for the interests of our veterans. That's why I work for and earned a seat on the Veterans' Affairs Committee, and that's why I introduced this amendment and other legislation on their behalf.

By adopting this amendment, we will make sure that our veterans are at the front of the line in receiving the benefits of the bill. And after the service they have so selflessly given to our country, they deserve to be at the front of the line.

I encourage my colleagues to vote "yes" on this amendment and show our veterans that they are a priority by giving them priority under the American Graduation Initiative.

The next change makes sure that schools can use grant funds to establish, enhance, or expand programs that are geared towards training personnel who can serve our veterans. This change will allow schools to use money from this bill to train workers to serve our veterans in VA hospitals, clinics, and centers across America. And it could mean that we will be training the mental health professionals we need to address the growing problem of post-traumatic stress disorder.

The return of the soldiers from Afghanistan and Iraq is putting a tremendous strain on our already understaffed Veterans' Administration. We must start training workers to fill in these positions. This cannot happen overnight, and we must start making investments in solving this problem today.

The last part of my amendment will help schools in my district train students for energy jobs. In the northeast part of my district, they are looking for wind turbine technicians, and in the southeast we need skilled hands in the oilfield. No matter which part of the energy industry somebody wants to work in, they should be able to get the training they need at the community college in their town.

So my amendment aims to make it easier for schools to use grant funds to establish, enhance, or expand programs that train workers for careers in energy-related fields. A trained energy workforce will help us produce more energy in America, and producing more energy in America is the only way we can end our dependence on foreign oil and make our Nation secure.

I urge my colleagues to support this commonsense amendment.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Madam Chair, I rise to claim time in opposition, although I am not opposed to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from Kentucky is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Madam Chairwoman, the purpose of this amendment is two-fold: it gives priority for applicants for the Community College Grant Program serving students who are veterans, and it also will allow to expand in energy-related fields.

We do not oppose the amendment, and I vield back my time.

Mr. TEAGUE. Madam Chairwoman, I am happy to yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. ANDREWS).

Mr. ANDREWS. I thank the gentleman from New Mexico, the author of the amendment, for yielding.

The committee strongly supports his very well-thought-out amendment.

Madam Chair, one of the things that I think we need to highlight about this amendment is its wisdom in understanding that perhaps the people who are best suited to work in our VA system are those who served the country themselves in the Armed Forces.

The gentleman talked about the fact that perhaps some of our returning veterans will be trained to work in mental health services for work in VA clinics and VA hospitals. And who would better understand the challenges and issues that one of our returning vets is facing than someone who has walked in his or her shoes?

So we think that among the many good ideas in this amendment, that focus on training people for the VA system makes an awful lot of sense. Obviously, as well, the energy component of the gentleman's amendment makes a great deal of sense.

So the committee thanks the gentleman for offering this amendment and would urge people in both parties to vote "yes" and support it.

Mr. TEAGUE. I thank the gentleman from New Jersey for his comments, and I urge all of my colleagues to vote "yes" on this bill.

Madam Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. TEAGUE).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 21 OFFERED BY MR. TEAGUE The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 21 printed

in House Report 111–256.

Mr. TEAGUE. Madam Chairwoman, I

have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designed to the amendment.

designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as fol-

lows:

Amendment No. 21 offered by Mr. TEAGUE: Page 5, after line 7, insert the following new section (and conform the table of contents accordingly):

SEC. 4. USE OF SAVINGS FOR DEBT REDUCTION.

All savings in Federal expenditures not otherwise expended as a result of the enactment of this Act shall be made available for the reduction of the Federal deficit.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 746, the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. TEAGUE) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New Mexico.

Mr. TEAGUE. Madam Chairwoman, I rise today to offer and speak in support of my deficit reduction amendment to H.R. 3221, the Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2009.

First of all, I would like to thank Chairwoman SLAUGHTER and Chairman MILLER for allowing the amendment to come to the floor today.

My amendment is simple; and like a lot of simple, commonsense legislation, it's not long either. Here's what it says:

All savings and Federal expenditures not otherwise expended as a result of enactment of this act shall be made available for the reduction of the Federal deficit. In other words, where we don't spend a dollar, we save a dollar.

Madam Chairwoman, America is drowning in debt. On the day that I was sworn in, the national debt was about \$10.6 billion. And this year alone, the Congressional Budget Office expects that we will add another \$1.4 trillion in deficit. This is clearly an unsustainable course. Our government must start practicing some fiscal responsibility. Businessmen like me have to balance their books; government needs to try and do the same.

This bill will put \$10 billion toward reducing the deficit. But if we're going to completely close our annual deficits, we need a sustained solution. That is why I am also a strong supporter of statutory pay-as-you-go legislation, which says that Congress can't spend a dollar without saving a dollar.

Today, with the passage of this legislation, we save \$10 billion of taxpayer money. With the passage of my amendment, we take that \$10 billion and we lock it away for the purpose of deficit reduction. We lock it away to make sure our children and grandchildren don't have to pay a dollar.

So let's save this \$10 billion, but let's also find a sustainable solution to reducing our deficit. That means tightening our belts when we need to, and of course passing statutory PAYGO into law.

Madam Chairwoman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Madam Chairman, I rise to claim time in opposition, although I am not opposed to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from Kentucky is recognized for 5 minutes

There was no objection.

Mr. GUTHRIE. I yield myself as much time as I may consume.

When we're talking about the \$10 billion savings to the deficit and using CBO numbers, the number that we like to talk about, if you look at the overall cost of the budget, CBO numbers in the discretionary side, what this bill would do to the discretionary side, they're transferring money out of the mandatory into the discretionary side for administration.

And, also, as we expand Pell Grants, with this bill we will expand Pell Grants, on the mandatory side, which this bill scores, it doesn't score what will happen in the discretionary side. Part of Pell Grants are discretionary, so if you expand Pell Grant applicants in the mandatory side, it is also going to require additional appropriations. And we believe that the admin in the discretionary side plus the expansion of Pell Grants from CBO numbers is \$13.5 billion cost to the system, which is more than the \$10 billion that we're

putting in the deficit reduction now. So we will have to increase more than we're putting in the deficit reduction.

The other thing is, these numbers were scored by CBO in March, and the most up-to-date numbers of people participating in the Pell Grants as of August—now that we're here in September—the August numbers believe that it will be \$11.4 billion in added Pell Grant costs when using the most up-to-date numbers. And so I think those are real numbers that we can talk about. We are already up to—I guess it's \$25 billion of costs that this will have when we're talking about \$10 billion in savings.

The one thing that wasn't taken into account either—and these are numbers that could come to pass or not, but those first two numbers I think are real. The other is the \$33 billion that CBO says hasn't been identified that are market risk to the program. Now, that's market risk: so you could have them, you could not have them, I'll cede that. But I do believe that the discretionary side of Pell and the most up-to-date numbers of Pell do show that it's about a \$25 billion cost of the bill.

Madam Chairwoman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TEAGUE. Madam Chairwoman, I am happy to yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. ANDREWS).

Mr. ANDREWS. Madam Chair, I thank the gentleman for yielding. We are in strong support of his amendment.

Since his very first day in the House, the gentleman has worked diligently on the issue of addressing our deficit and reducing our debt. By supporting this amendment and by supporting this bill, he is following that course in a couple of ways. First, he is understanding that reducing entitlements is a key to reducing the deficit. And this bill has a net reduction of \$10 billion in mandatory spending, as validated by the Congressional Budget Office. It is one of the single most significant entitlement reductions in several years, and the gentleman is to be commended for supporting it.

Second, the amendment shows understanding that economic growth is a powerful way to reduce our deficit and, therefore, our debt. And by supporting the investment in the education of the American people, we are supporting more jobs and more economic growth.

Finally, I would commend the gentleman for making sure that every dollar of that \$10 billion in entitlement reduction will in fact be dedicated to deficit reduction.

The gentleman has offered a very good amendment. The committee strongly supports it and urges a "yes" vote.

□ 1200

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Chairman, if we disagree with the CBO numbers from the March score, instead of using the

most up-to-date ones, if you take \$10 billion and save it from a mandatory program, I applaud that, and I applaud the amendment because we should save toward deficit reduction. Yet, if the bill allows you to take \$10 billion and to save it for deficit reduction but on the discretionary side of the counter a tax dollar is a tax dollar and it requires you to spend \$13.5 billion on transferring administrative costs from the program to discretionary, then the additional Pell Grants are going to have to be spent by the discretionary side through the appropriations process. So when you save \$10 billion here but you spend \$13.5 billion there, then you're raising the deficit \$3.5 billion. I don't know any other way to look at it.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TEAGUE. Mr. Chairman, the CBO says that this bill will generate savings, and my amendment says that these savings will go to paying off the deficit.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. GUTHRIE. I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR (Mr. HOLDEN). The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. Teague).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. TEAGUE. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Mexico will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 22 OFFERED BY MR. SOUDER

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 22 printed in House Report 111–256.

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to speak out of turn for 2 minutes.

The Acting CHAIR. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Mr. Chairman, it is now time, as the Chair has noted, to move to amendment No. 22 by Mr. SOUDER. My understanding is that Mr. SOUDER will not be offering that amendment and that he and the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. PERLMUTTER) have had discussions around this amendment, and they have agreed that we should work this out in the conference committee. I have agreed to their discussions, and they are pursuing those at this time.

Mr. PERLMUTTER. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. I would be happy to yield to the gentleman from Colorado.

 $\operatorname{Mr.}$ PERLMUTTER. Thank you, $\operatorname{Mr.}$ $\operatorname{Miller.}$

Mr. Chairman, Mr. SOUDER and I have had a conversation. I think we're going to reach a good compromise that will be good for the bill. I have committed, as have you, to work with Mr. SOUDER

in a conference committee to get that done.

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. I thank the gentleman.

With that, I yield back the balance of

AMENDMENT NO. 23 OFFERED BY MR. FLAKE

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 23 printed in House Report 111–256.

Mr. FLAKE. I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

OWS:
Amendment No. 23 offered by Mr. FLAKE:

Page 5, after line 7, insert the following new section (and conform the table of contents accordingly):

SEC. 4. PROHIBITION ON EARMARKS.

None of the funds appropriated pursuant to this Act may be used for a Congressional earmark as defined in clause 9(d) of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 746, the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. FLAKE) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Arizona.

Mr. FLAKE. Mr. Chair, this amendment, I believe, is noncontroversial. It simply ensures that the funds within the new grant programs created in this bill are not earmarked but, rather, that they are awarded on a competitive or on a formula basis.

It is important that we add prohibitive language here. There is prohibitive language in one of the sections of the bill, but it does not apply to the entire bill, so we need to ensure that the entire bill with these new grant programs isn't earmarked.

As we have seen in the past, unfortunately, even when Congress says we have no intention of earmarking these accounts or this bill, we do. The best example, perhaps, is the Homeland Security bill. When the Homeland Security legislation came through first and we created the department, we were told that we wouldn't be earmarking these funds. Well, it just took us a few years, and now there are literally hundreds of earmarks in the Homeland Security bill.

Many of the accounts that should be awarded on a competitive basis—disaster mitigation and other things—are now earmarked, so when communities and organizations apply for this funding, it's already earmarked, and they can't even compete. We don't want this to happen in other areas as well, so it's important that this amendment is accepted. I believe that it will be.

It is consistent with legislation that I've offered before to the BEACH Act a couple of years ago. That was voted on with a roll call vote and was approved. Later, when the Paycheck Fairness Act passed last year, this amendment was accepted by a voice vote. Most recently, it was accepted by voice vote on H.R. 1262, the Water Quality Invest-

ment Act, and on H.R. 2200, the TSA authorization bill.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ANDREWS. I rise to claim time in opposition, although I will not oppose the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from New Jersey is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. ANDREWS. Mr. Chairman, the committee supports the amendment. The clear intention of the underlying spending bill is that the funds be awarded on the formula and competitive basis stated in the bill. There is no intention that any be earmarked.

For the record, I would just say that our support of the amendment should not be read to imply that we do not support congressionally sponsored projects in other contexts, but on this one, I agree with the gentleman's amendment and would urge its acceptance.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. FLAKE. I thank the gentleman.

I wish the gentleman would make that statement, but I don't expect that here, certainly, and I am pleased that this amendment will be accepted.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. ANDREWS. I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. FLAKE).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 24 OFFERED BY MR. GUTHRIE

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 24 printed in House Report 111–256.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 24 offered by Mr. Guthrie: Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SEC. 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Ensuring Student Choice and Competition Act of 2009".

SEC. 2. EXTENSION OF ENSURING CONTINUED ACCESS AND STUDENT LOANS ACT.

Section 459A of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087i-1) is amended—

- (1) in subsection (a)(1), by striking "July 1, 2010" and inserting "July 1, 2014";
- (2) in subsection (e)—
- (A) in paragraph (1)(A), by striking "September 30, 2010" and inserting "September 30, 2014";
 - (B) in paragraph (2)—
- (i) by striking "February 15, 2011" and inserting "February 15, 2015"; and
- (ii) by striking "September 30, 2010" and inserting "September 30, 2014"; and
- (C) in paragraph (3), by striking "2010, and 2011" and inserting "2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015":
- (3) in subsection (f), by striking "July 1, 2010" and inserting "July 1, 2014"; and
- (4) by adding at the end the following new subsection:
 - "(g) SPECIAL RULE.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), in carrying out the program under this section, the Secretary shall continue, until

June 30, 2014, to carry out the 3 programs described in the Federal Register notices published pursuant to subsection (a)(2) of this section, as such programs were in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Ensuring Student Choice and Competition Act of 2009.

"(2) LOAN PARTICIPATION PURCHASE PROGRAM.—Notwithstanding any provision of law to the contrary or the terms and conditions of the programs described in the Federal Register notices published pursuant to subsection (a)(2), an eligible lender participating in the loan participation purchase program shall not, prior to July 1, 2014, be required to—

"(A) make a redemption payment with respect to each eligible loan purchased by the Secretary; or

"(B) exercise the put option with respect to each such loan.

"(3) DEFINITIONS.—The terms 'redemption payment' and 'put option' refer to the redemption payment and put option described in the summary of the terms and conditions of the loan participation purchase program (73 Federal Register 127, July 1, 2008).".

SEC. 3. STUDY OF FFEL PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES.

(a) STUDY REQUIRED.—

- (1) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General of the United States, the Secretary of Education, and the Secretary of the Treasury, in consultation with the study group described in paragraph (2), shall conduct a study to identify and make recommendations for the development of a Federal student loan program that incorporates a strong public-private partnership between the Federal Government and the private sector.
- (2) STUDY GROUP.—The Comptroller General of the United States, the Secretary of Education, and the Secretary of the Treasury shall convene a study group which shall include—
- (A) the Director of the Office of Management and Budget;
- (B) the Director of the Congressional Budget Office:
- (C) representatives of entities making loans under part B of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1071 et seq.);
- (D) representatives of other entities in the financial services community;
- (E) representatives of other participants in the student loan programs; and
- (F) such other individuals as the Comptroller General of the United States, the Secretary of Education, and the Secretary of the Treasury may designate.
- (b) DESIGN OF THE STUDY.—The study conducted under this section shall identify recommendations for a new model for maintaining a strong public-private partnership for student lending. Such model shall be designed to achieve the following objectives:
- (1) Use private capital in loan origination.
- (2) Produce sufficient market competition among loan providers to ensure that students and families have choices in Federal student loans.
- (3) Avoid waste, fraud, and abuse.
- (c) FACTORS.—The study group shall consider the following factors in developing recommendations for a model that meets the objectives described in subsection (b):
- (1) The ability of lenders, guaranty agencies, and loan servicers to provide top-quality customer service, default aversion activities, and financial literacy activities.
- (2) The use of in-school subsidies or flexible repayment options to ensure that borrowers are able to successfully repay their loans.
- (3) The ability of the program to be streamlined for ease of administration and understanding by institutions of higher education, students, and families.

- (4) The stability of the program during times of economic disruption by uncontrollable market forces.
- (5) The use of market mechanisms in determining lender return on student loans, while continuing to meet the other objectives of the programs under parts B and D of title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1071 et seq; 1087a et seq.), including the provision of loans to all eligible students.
- (6) The feasibility of requiring borrowers to repay loans through income tax withholding.
- repay loans through income tax withholding.
 (d) Preliminary Report and Publication
 of Study.—
- (1) PRELIMINARY REPORT.—Not later than July 1, 2012, the study group shall prepare a preliminary report on the recommendations of the study conducted under this section, including any additional or dissenting views with respect to the findings, available to the public with a 60-day request for public comment. The study group shall review the public comments
- (2) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than January 1, 2013, the Comptroller General of the United States, the Secretary of Education, and Secretary of the Treasury shall submit a final report on the recommendations of the study, including any additional or dissenting views, to the Committee on Education and Labor of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions of the Senate.

SEC. 4. REVISED SPECIAL ALLOWANCE CALCULATION.

- (a) REVISED CALCULATION RULE.—Section 438(b)(2)(I) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087–1(b)(2)(I)) is amended by adding at the end the following new clause: "(vii) REVISED CALCULATION RULE TO RE-
- FLECT FINANCIAL MARKET CONDITIONS.—
- "(I) CALCULATION BASED ON LIBOR.—For the calendar quarter beginning on October 1, 2009, and each subsequent calendar quarter, in computing the special allowance paid pursuant to this subsection with respect to loans described in subclause (II), clause (i)(I) of this subparagraph shall be applied by substituting 'of the 1-month London Inter Bank Offered Rate (LIBOR) for United States dollars in effect for each of the days in such quarter as compiled and released by the British Bankers Association' for 'of the quotes of the 3-month commercial paper (financial) rates in effect for each of the days in such quarter as reported by the Federal Reserve in Publication H-15 (or its successor) for such 3-month period'.
- "(II) LOANS ELIGIBLE FOR LIBOR-BASED CAL-CULATION.—The special allowance paid pursuant to this subsection shall be calculated as described in subclause (I) with respect to special allowance payments for the 3-month period ending December 31, 2009, and each succeeding 3-month period, on loans for which the first disbursement is made—

"(aa) on or after the date of enactment of the Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2009, and before July 1, 2010; and

"(bb) on or after January 1, 2000, and before the date of enactment of the Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2009, if, not later than the last day of the second full fiscal quarter after the date of enactment of such Act, the holder of the loan affirmatively and permanently waives all contractual, statutory or other legal rights to a special allowance paid pursuant to this subsection that is calculated using the formula in effect at the time the loans were first disbursed.

''(III) TERMS OF WAIVER.—A waiver pursuant to subclause (II)(bb) shall—

"(aa) be applicable to all loans described in such subclause that are held under any lender identification number associated with the holder (pursuant to section 487B); and "(bb) apply with respect to all future calculations of the special allowance on loans described in such subclause that are held on the date of such waiver or that are acquired by the holder after such date.

"(IV) PARTICIPANT'S YIELD.—For the calendar quarter beginning on October 1, 2009, and each subsequent calendar quarter, the Secretary's participant yield in any loan for which the first disbursement is made on or after January 1, 2000, and before October 1, 2009, and that is held by a lender that has sold any participation interest in such loan to the Secretary shall be determined by using the LIBOR-based rate described in subclause (I) as the substitute rate (for the commercial paper rate) referred to in the participation agreement between the Secretary and such lender.";

- (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 438(b)(2)(I) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087-1(b)(2)(I)) is further amended—
- (1) in clause (i)(II), by striking "such average bond equivalent rate" and inserting "the rate determined under subclause (I)"; and
- (2) in clause (v)(III) by striking "(iv), and (vi)" and inserting "(iv), (vi), and (vii)".

SEC. 5. AUTHORIZATION AND APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS.

Section 401A(e)(1)(E) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (U.S.C. 1070a-1(e)(1)(E)) is amended by striking "\$1,010,000,000" and inserting "\$250,000,000".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 746, the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. GUTHRIE) and a Member opposed each will control 10 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Kentucky.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself as much time as I may consume.

I am pleased to join Ranking Member KLINE in offering this amendment. Our amendment accomplishes key goals for student loan stabilization and reform without gutting a successful public-private partnership.

First, this amendment preserves the FFEL program—the Federal Family Education Loan Program. It ensures stability and continuity for both students and schools by extending the Ensuring Continued Access for Student Loans Act, or ECASLA, through 2014, which aligns it with the rest of the Higher Education Act, which Congress reauthorized last year.

As long as we're facing a global credit shortage, ECASLA provides a Federal backstop to ensure there is no interruption in funding for students and families. As the market recovers, ECASLA offers the flexibility for private capital to return. In fact, even in today's weakened economy, a substantial portion of loans originated in the FFEL program are made with private capital.

We know the ECASLA programs are working on campuses all around the country. We have heard from a group of financial aid administrators who have made it clear that ECASLA is working. You just don't have to talk to financial administrators. I would submit that 4,400 colleges and universities still participate in the FFEL program, and they voted with their feet. If they felt

that ECASLA had not been working, they would have joined the Direct Loan Program by now, but they haven't.

I've heard from colleges and universities across my district—from large public, State universities to small, independent, private colleges, and they've all shared with me how the FFEL program benefits their students by offering the services of flexibility and choice with additional services. Let's not forget about how this helps students.

Second, our amendment will drive down the deficit. ECASLA proves that you can save taxpayer money while preserving an effective program. In fact, we expect to generate \$13 billion in savings over the next 5 years. Poll after poll shows that the American people are deeply concerned about the deficit. We should invest in future generations by putting the savings toward deficit reduction.

Third, we chart a path for the future by pursuing a comprehensive renewal of student lending. By extending ECASLA through 2014, consistent with other financial aid programs, we create a vital window of opportunity to pursue real student loan reform. Our amendment would create a commission to study the student lending system and would propose a new framework for stable, cost-effective financing.

We will remove politics from the discussion and focus on what matters: preserving choice and competition for borrowers; preventing waste, fraud and abuse; maintaining value-added benefits like financial literacy and counseling; ensuring stability even in a weak economy; and retaining private capital, avoiding a massive infliction of debt on future generations.

Finally, I would like to point out that our amendment does not create the same long-term entitlement expansions that have been called for in this bill. The issues addressed in the majority's bill are all important. Republicans care about the condition of our schools, about pre-K education, about community colleges, and about their role in developing our workforce, but this is the wrong place and the wrong way to address these challenges. We can invest in students without crippling them with runaway entitlement spending. This is a straightforward amendment based on extending a bipartisan solution. I urge my colleagues to support this amendment.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ANDREWS. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendment. I will oppose the amendment

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from New Jersey is recognized for 10 minutes.

Mr. ANDREWS. Mr. Chairman, this amendment recognizes the need for substantial reform in the Federal student loan program. It recognizes that the practice of using taxpayer money to reward private institutions that take risks, not with their own money but with taxpayer money, doesn't

make any sense. So there is recognition of this problem, and that recognition is shared by the underlying bill, but here is where the underlying bill parts company from the amendment, and it's why we oppose it.

There is a huge difference between these two approaches on what to do. The approach that the minority favors saves about \$17 billion less than the approach that the underlying bill favors. It's a reform that continues, unwisely in my judgment, the practice of using taxpayer money to subsidize private institutions that take a risk with taxpayer money. So, rather than continue those subsidies, the underlying bill makes some very different choices, and here is the difference on what the choices are in the \$17 billion difference.

The underlying bill says let's spend that money so returning veterans could get Pell Grants in addition to their GI benefits and continue their educations. They would spend the \$17 billion on bank subsidies.

Our bill recognizes the fact that community colleges are burgeoning with new enrollees who need an education because of the tumultuous circumstances in our economy. Our bill says let's spend the \$17 billion to strengthen those community colleges. The amendment says let's spend it on bank subsidies

There are students, as we speak, who are attending schools. They're taking classes in broom closets, in former boiler rooms because their schools don't have adequate places to teach children. There are schools that are more than 100 years old where children are learning about the Civil War in buildings that were built at the time of the Civil War. Our bill says let's invest some of that \$17 billion in upgrading the quality of those schools and in putting Americans back to work. The amendment says, no, let's spend it on bank subsidies.

Finally, there is a choice about early childhood. Our bill says that we value and want to invest in the reading and math skills of a 4-year-old or a 5-year-old so he or she can excel as a student, can climb the ladder as a student and can succeed as a worker and as a tax-payer. So it makes an historic investment in quality early childhood education around this country. Their bill favors bank subsidies. We think our approach is right.

At this time, I yield to the chairman of the full committee to continue the argument, the gentleman from California (Mr. George Miller).

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. I thank the gentleman.

Mr. Chairman, my colleague on the other side of the aisle said that this legislation is the wrong way and the wrong place to make this investment. He has got it exactly backwards. This is the exact way to make this investment—to take the savings by cutting the subsidies to the lenders and recycling those on behalf of families, students and our community institutions

so that we can expand the educational opportunities in this country.

We cannot continue just to wring our hands about our competitive place in the world, about the need for new engineers, new scientists, new mathematicians, a skilled and technologically fit workforce in this country. We must do something about it.

What the Obama administration has said under the leadership of the President and the Secretary of Education is that we're going to do something about it now, and we're going to provide additional money for Pell Grants, that we're going to provide additional money for community colleges, that we're going to provide additional money for early childhood education, and that we're going to provide additional opportunities for access and completion of that educational opportunity. It's not enough that young people start college. It's important that they finish college.

We've got to do better at that, and we're going to do it in a fiscally sound manner. We're going to pay for it, because there is enough money in those exorbitant subsidies that we pay decade after decade that were first raised to the consciousness of this Congress by President Bush's Office of Management and Budget. They kept showing us the comparison. If you ran the Direct Loan Program, you would save a huge amount of money for the tax-payers.

Finally, this Congress, under this administration, is taking the leadership to take that money and to recycle it on behalf of our families and students.

□ 1215

I just want to say, this is the right time, the right place, and the right way to do this. I thank him for his support in opposition to this amendment.

Mr. ANDREWS. We reserve the balance of our time.

Mr. GUTHRIE. I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. KLINE).

Mr. KLINE of Minnesota. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

We clearly have some differences of opinion on this legislation, as often happens in this body. I want to underscore a couple of things that the underlying bill, by mandating the public option, mandating a government takeover of an industry, does to expand the government's role. It creates new programs, it creates new expenses. It will cost jobs in the private sector.

And when you remove the budget gimmicks, and you look at the latest numbers from the Congressional Budget Office, it is clear that it will add to the deficit. It will add to our debt.

And so we are looking at an underlying bill here that says it's better if we turn over to the Department of Education and the Treasury the responsibilities of lending \$100 billion a year to students and getting the interest back from those loans.

Of course, we don't have the \$100 billion. We are running a deficit this year

of \$1.6 trillion, and we are looking at a debt in 10 years of \$21 trillion. So in order for the government, now this huge bank, to have the money to lend, the government is going to have to go somewhere, China perhaps, and borrow that money so that it can lend the money. This seems to be a strange time to be doing this.

I think the underlying bill is flawed. I think it is a rush to a government takeover. It is going to add to our deficit.

So I rise in strong support of this amendment, which says let's take advantage of the private sector. Let's see if there is a way that we can strengthen it, encourage it. Let's take some time and continue with the bipartisan agreement ECASLA and look at the program before we push precipitously the entire industry into the hands of the government.

Mr. ANDREWS. I would inquire of the Chair how much time we have remaining on our side?

The Acting CHAIR. Both sides have 5 minutes remaining.

Mr. ANDREWS. Before I yield to the gentleman from New York, it is very important for the Members to understand the alternative proposal substitute guts the early childhood investment, guts the increase in Pell Grant, guts the aid to community college and guts the other investments in education, the historically black colleges, the Hispanic-serving institutions, it takes away that investment. We think that is very unwise.

At this time I would yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. RISHOP).

Mr. BISHOP of New York. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

I rise in opposition to the amendment, and I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on this amendment.

Frankly, I am surprised. Over the last 2 days we have heard a great deal from our friends on the other side of the aisle about the deficit, about which we should all quite correctly be concerned. And, frankly, I thought that their substitute amendment would address that issue in a very forceful way.

This amendment does not. This amendment leaves in place a program that is wasteful and expensive. It leaves in place a program that costs approximately \$8 billion to \$9 billion more per year than that which we are proposing to take its place, the Direct Loan Program.

What this amendment essentially says is that over the next 5 years, the Federal Government gets to do the heavy lifting of this loan program. The Federal Government gets to do the heavy lifting of providing the capital, it gets to do the heavy lifting of guaranteeing the amounts that are loaned, and the private lenders get to walk away with the profits. I don't see how any reasonable person can think that that is a situation that we can allow to stand

What the amendment also says is, it says to needy students, Hope you can

get by, hope you can make it as you try to pay your bills. We would love to help, but we have got these lenders that are counting on huge profits, and we have got to make sure that we provide for them.

Our proposal, the underlying bill, says quite the opposite. Our proposal says that we are going to pay, take Federal tax dollars and put them to their highest possible use in this circumstance, and that is helping needy students go to college.

Every one of us, virtually every one of us that has the privilege of serving in this Chamber, is here because we had the opportunity to seek a higher education. What our bill does, the underlying bill does, is it says to everyone else that's out there, that has aspirations of their own, that we are going to help you get your slice of the American dream.

In doing so, we build a stronger Nation, because we build a Nation that can compete on equal footing with the rest of the world.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Chairman, the 2014 numbers, when the Higher Education Act is reauthorized—and we feel it would be appropriate to do it—when we have ECASLA in place, when the markets are turning around, when the markets do turn around, the heavy lifting—we were at a unique time last year. I wasn't in the Congress last year when the bipartisan group came together to do ECASLA to preserve, and worked, both Republicans and Democrats together, and should be commended for that.

All we are asking is that we continue that until the higher education is authorized, during that time have the commission study and see exactly with what program we should go. We did talk a lot about deficit reduction because, quite frankly, I think that's the most important thing in the country.

If we look at CBO numbers, when you say \$10 billion in a mandatory spending program, but spend \$13.5 billion in a discretionary spending program using CBO numbers, then you are not putting \$10 billion to the deficit if you are spending \$13.5 billion in discretionary spending, because as the Pell Grants expand on the mandatory side of the aisle, they also expand on the discretionary side. So when a taxpayer sends their dollar to Washington D.C., they don't mark it for discretionary or mandatory, it comes here and it's spent.

So the underlying bill, using CBO numbers, I am not going to bring in the market risk, because we can argue that. Some people have asked for \$33 billion, we could argue that. But just in real hard numbers, spending, transferring administration in the Pell Grant, discretionary side, says that the underlying bill is a \$3.5 billion addition to the deficit.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. ANDREWS. We would respectfully ask that the House disapprove this amendment, vote against it.

I did want to return to one of the fiscal arguments we heard from my friend from Minnesota, that he is right, that the idea of borrowing money from central banks around the world is not desirable to anyone here. And he is right that we should embark on an effort to reduce our deficit and eventually reduce that debt.

But I would respectfully say he is wrong with his further characterization of this issue.

What the status quo does is to borrow that very same money, which none of us wants to borrow, and then turn around and use it to reward private lending institutions who are taking risks with taxpayer money. The issue is not whether the taxpayers are at risk, the issue is how they will be at risk

The existing status quo, which I believe the minority, through this amendment, shows that it understands needs change, puts the taxpayers' money at risk and then rewards private institutions for putting the taxpayers' money at risk. That simply makes no sense.

With respect to the fiscal argument about the \$87 billion and the cost in discretionary spending, there is one that is something that is clearly known, and something that is subject to dispute. What's clearly known is that the Congressional Budget Office has said there will be \$87 billion in gross savings under this bill. What happens each year under the discretionary side is for this House to work its will and decide.

So we would urge defeat of this amendment. If you believe in investment in early childhood education, in Pell Grants, in community colleges, in our Historically Black Colleges and Universities, and in our Hispanic-serving institutions and other minority-serving institutions, and if you believe in \$10 billion of deficit reduction, the right course is to vote against this substitute, vote for the underlying bill.

We yield back the balance of our time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. GUTHRIE).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Kentucky will be postponed.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, proceedings will now resume on those amendments printed in House Report 111–256 on which further proceedings were postponed, in the following order:

Amendment No. 2 by Mr. HOEKSTRA of Michigan.

Amendment No. 4 by Mrs. McMorris Rodgers of Washington.

Amendment No. 7 by Ms. Foxx of North Carolina.

Ros-Lehtinen

Ross

Amendment No. 15 by Mr. HIMES of Connecticut.

Amendment No. 17 by Mr. MINNICK of

Amendment No. 19 by Mr. SCHAUER of Michigan.

Amendment No. 21 by Mr. TEAGUE of New Mexico.

Amendment No. 24 by Mr. GUTHRIE of Kentucky.

The Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the time for any electronic vote after the first vote in this series.

AMENDMENT NO. 2 OFFERED BY MR. HOEKSTRA

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. HOEK-STRA) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Mr. HOEK-STRA:

Strike title III of the Bill, and redesignate titles IV and V as titles III and IV, respectively

Redesignate sections 401 through 409 as sections 301 through 309, respectively.

Redesignate sections 501 through 505 as sections 401 through 405, respectively

Page 144, line 23, strike "section 403" and insert "section 303"

Page 145, line 1, strike "section 404" and insert "section 304".

Page 145, line 4, and page 174, lines 3 and 14, strike "section 403(c)(3)" and insert "section 303(c)(3)"

Page 145, line 17, and page 174, line 5, strike

"section 405" and insert "section 305".
Page 147, line 4, strike "404" and insert

Page 148, line 10, strike "section 403(f)" and insert "section 303(f)".

Page 150, line 15, strike "section 405(2)" and insert "section 305(f)"

Page 151, lines 4 and 25, page 153, lines 8 and 12, page 162, lines 2 and 17, page 163, line 1, page 166, lines 18 and 23, page 168, line 4 and 19, and page 175, line 25, strike "section

402(a)" and insert "section 302(a)".

Page 151, line 21, strike "section 405(1)" and insert "section 305(1)".

Page 153, line 13, and page 162, line 6, strike "section 402(d)" and insert "section 302(d)".

Page 168, line 10, 15, and 21, page 169, line 2, and page 170, line 7, strike "section 402(b)" and insert "section 302(b)"

Page 168, line 17, strike "section 402(c)(3)" and insert "section 302(c)(3)".

Page 170, line 11, strike "section 402(c)(1)" and insert "section 302(c)(1)"

Page 178, line 9, strike "503" and insert

Page 178, line 12, strike "504" and insert

Page 178, lines 15 and 18, strike "section 505" and insert "section 405"

Page 178, beginning on line 20, strike "sections 503 and 504" and insert "sections 403 and 404"

Page 179, line 3, strike "sections 503 and 504" and insert "sections 403 and 404"

Page 183, line 8, strike "section 502(a)(3)" and insert "section 402(a)(3)".

Page 184, line 6, and page 194, line 10, strike "section 501(b)(1)" and insert "section 401(b)(1)

Page 188, line 15, strike "section 505(b)" and insert "section 405(b)".

Page 189, line 6, and page 191, lines 5, 13, and 20, strike "section 502(a)(3)" and insert "section 402(a)(3)".

Page 196, line 2, and page 200, line 1, strike

"503(i)" and insert "403(i)".

Page 200, line 8, strike "section 503(f)(1)" and insert "section 403(f)(1)".

Conform the table of contents accordingly.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 161, noes, 262, not voting 16, as follows:

[Roll No. 710]

AYES-161

Aderholt Forbes Moran (KS) Akin Fortenberry Murphy (NY) Alexander Foxx Murphy, Tim Franks (AZ) Austria Myrick Bachmann Frelinghuvsen Neugebauer Gallegly Bachus Olson $\widetilde{Garrett}(NJ)$ Bartlett Paulsen Barton (TX) Gingrev (GA) Pence Bean Gohmert Peters Goodlatte Biggert Peterson Bilbray Granger Petri Bilirakis Graves Pitts Blackburn Guthrie Poe (TX) Hall (TX) Blunt Price (GA) Boehner Harper Putnam Hastings (WA) Bonner Rehberg Bono Mack Heller Roe (TN) Hensarling Boozman Rogers (AL) Boustany Herger Rogers (KY) Hoekstra Brady (TX) Rogers (MI) Broun (GA) Hunter Rohrabacher Brown (SC) Inglis Roonev Brown-Waite, Issa Roskam Ginny Jenkins Royce Buchanan Johnson (IL) Ryan (WI) Johnson, Sam Burgess Scalise Burton (IN) Jones Jordan (OH) Schmidt Buyer Schock Calvert King (IA) Sensenbrenner Camp Kingston Kline (MN) Sessions Campbell Shadegg Lamborn Cantor Shimkus Capito Lance Shuster Latham Carter Smith (NE) Cassidy Latta Smith (TX) Castle Lee (NY) Souder Chaffetz Lewis (CA) Coble Linder Stearns Sullivan Coffman (CO) Lucas Luetkemeyer Terry Cole Thompson (PA) Conaway Lungren, Daniel Thornberry Crenshaw E. Culberson Mack Tiahrt. Davis (KY) Manzullo Tiberi Turner McCarthy (CA) Deal (GA) Upton Delahunt McClintock Dent McCotter Walden Wamp Doggett McHenry Westmoreland Dreier McKeon Duncan McMorris Whitfield Wilson (SC) Ehlers Rodgers Emerson Mica Wittman Miller (FL) Fallin Wolf Young (AK) Flake Miller (MI) Fleming Miller, Gary Young (FL)

NOES 262

Ackerman

Adler (NJ) Altmire

Andrews

Arcuri

Ba.ca.

Baird Baldwin

Barrow

Becerra

Berkley

Berman

Bishop (GA)

Bishop (NY)

Blumenauer

Boccieri

Bordallo

Boswell 8 |

Boucher

Brady (PA)

Braley (IA)

Boren

Bovd

Berry

NOES-202	
Bright	Courtney
Brown, Corrine	Crowley
Butterfield	Cuellar
Cao	Cummings
Capps	Dahlkemper
Capuano	Davis (AL)
Cardoza	Davis (CA)
Carnahan	Davis (IL)
Carney	Davis (TN)
Carson (IN)	DeFazio
Castor (FL)	DeGette
Chandler	DeLauro
Childers	Diaz-Balart, L.
Christensen	Diaz-Balart, M.
Chu	Dicks
Clarke	Donnelly (IN)
Clay	Doyle
Cleaver	Driehaus
Clyburn	Edwards (MD)
Cohen	Edwards (TX)
Connolly (VA)	Ellison
Conyers	Ellsworth
Cooper	Engel
Costello	Eshoo

Etheridge LoBiondo Loebsack Farr Fattah Lofgren, Zoe Filner Lowey Luján Foster Frank (MA) Lynch Fudge Maffei Gerlach Maloney Giffords Markey (CO) Gonzalez Markey (MA) Gordon (TN) Marshall Massa Grayson Matheson Green, Al Green, Gene Matsui McCarthy (NY) Griffith Grijalva McCaul McCollum Hall (NY) McDermott Halvorson McGovern Hare McHugh Harman McIntyre Hastings (FL) McMahon Heinrich McNerney Herseth Sandlin Meek (FL) Higgins Meeks (NY) Melancon Hill Himes Michaud Hinchey Miller (NC) Hinojosa Miller, George Hirono Minnick Hodes Mitchell Holden Mollohan Holt Moore (WI) Honda Moran (VA) Hover Murphy (CT) Murphy, Patrick Inslee Israel Murtha Jackson (IL) Nadler (NY) Jackson-Lee Napolitano (TX) Neal (MA) Johnson, E. B. Norton Kagen Nve Kanjorski Oberstar Kaptur Obev Kennedy Olver Kildee Ortiz Kilpatrick (MI) Pallone Pascrell Kilroy Kind Pastor (AZ) King (NY) Pavne Perlmutter Kirk Kirkpatrick (AZ) Perriello Kissell Pierluisi Klein (FL) Pingree (ME) Kosmas Platts Polis (CO) Kratovil Kucinich Pomerov Posey

Rothman (NJ Roybal-Allard Ruppersberger Rush Ryan (OH) Sablan Salazar Sánchez, Linda Т. Sanchez, Loretta Sarbanes Schakowsky Schauer Schiff Schrader Schwartz Scott (GA) Scott (VA) Serrano Sestak Shea-Porter Sherman Shuler Simpson Sires Skelton Slaughter Smith (NJ) Smith (WA) Snyder Space Speier Spratt Stark Stupak Taylor Teague Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Tierney Titus Tonko Towns Tsongas Van Hollen Velázquez Visclosky Walz Wasserman Schultz Waters Watson

NOT VOTING-

Watt

Waxman

Weiner

Wexler

Woolsey

Yarmuth

Wu

Wilson (OH)

Welch

Abercrombie Johnson (GA) Radanovich Barrett (SC) Lummis Rahall Bishop (UT) Marchant Sutton Moore (KS) Costa Tanner Dingell Nunes Faleomavaega Paul

Price (NC)

Quiglev

Rangel Reichert

Richardson

Rodriguez

Reyes

Langevin

Larsen (WA)

Larson (CT)

LaTourette

Lewis (GA)

Lee (CA)

Lipinski

Levin

□ 1250

CAPPS, Mrs. Messrs. ENGEL. POSEY, HOYER, ADLER of New Jersey, HASTINGS of Florida, LARSON of Connecticut, WEINER, CAO, RUSH, CAPUANO, and WEXLER changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

Mrs. MILLER of Michigan and Mrs. McMORRIS RODGERS changed their vote from "no" to "aye."

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 4 OFFERED BY MRS. MCMORRIS RODGERS

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Washington (Mrs. McMorris Rodgers) on which further

Yarmuth

Perlmutter

Ryan (OH)

Rangel

Tanner

Watt

Wexle

Radanovich

proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

Clerk will redesignate amendment

The text of the amendment is as fol-

Amendment No. 4 offered by Mrs. McMorris Rodgers:

Page 118, beginning on line 8, strike section 331 and insert the following:

SEC. 331. IMPERMISSIBLE USES OF FUNDS AND CONCURRENT FUNDING.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—No funds received under this subtitle may be used for-
- (1) payment of maintenance costs, including routine repairs classified as current expenditures under State or local law;
- (2) stadiums or other facilities primarily used for athletic contests or exhibitions or other events for which admission is charged to the general public;
- (3) improvement or construction of facilities the purpose of which is not the education of children, including central office administration or operations or logistical support facilities; or
 - (4) purchasing carbon offsets.
- (b) Funding Under Other Acts.—Funds made available under this title shall not be used to assist any local educational agency that receives funding for the construction, modernization, renovation, and repair of facilities under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

Conform the table of contents accordingly.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 5minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—aves 167, noes 251. not voting 21, as follows:

[Roll No. 711]

AYES-167

Aderholt Crenshaw King (NY) Akin Davis (KY) Kingston Alexander Deal (GA) Kline (MN) Austria Dent Lamborn Bachmann Doggett Lance Bachus Dreier Latham Bartlett Duncan LaTourette Barton (TX) Ehlers Latta Biggert Emerson Lee (NY) Bilbray Fallin Lewis (CA) Bilirakis Flake Linder Bishop (UT) Fleming LoBiondo Blackburn Forbes Lucas Blunt Fortenberry Luetkemeyer Boehner Foxx Lummis Bonner Frelinghuysen Lungren, Daniel Bono Mack Gallegly E. Boozman Garrett (NJ) Mack Boustany Gerlach Manzullo Brady (TX) Gingrey (GA) Marchant Bright Gohmert McCarthy (CA) Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown-Waite, Graves McCotter Ginny Guthrie McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Colle Jordan (OH) Pitts Conaway King (IA) Platts		A1ES-101	
Alexander Austria Dent Lamborn Bachmann Doggett Lance Bachus Dreier Latham Bartlett Duncan LataTourette Barton (TX) Bilgert Emerson Billoray Bilinakis Bishop (UT) Biloray Bilinakis Bishop (UT) Biloray Boehner Bonner Forbes Blunt Fortenberry Boehner Bono Mack Gallegly Booman Garrett (NJ) Boostany Boostany Boostany Bright Gohmert Brown (SC) Brown (SC) Brown-Waite, Ginny Guthrie Burton (IN) Burgess Harper Burton (IN) Buyer Heller Calvert Hensarling Camp Herger Camp Camp Herger Castle Johnson (IL) Castle Castle Johnson (IL) Coble Johnson, Sam Pence Coffman (CO) Jones Duncan Lathom Lamborn Latham Latourette Latham Lamborn Lattourette Latham Lamborn Lattourette Latham Lamborn Lattourette Latta Latham Lattourette Latta Latrourette Latta Latrourette Latham Lattourette Latta Latrourette Latta Latrourette Latta Latrourette Latham Lattourette Alexinger (CA) Linder School Loan Lee (NY) Buewis (CA) Linder Loalbiondo Lee (NY) Lattourette Lattourette Nationer Adarourette Loan Lattourette Lattourette Luetkemeyer Loanide Manzullo Marchant Macken McCarthy (CA) Marchant Macken Macken McCarthy (CA) Marchant Macken McCarthy (CA) Marchant Macken Mack	Aderholt	Crenshaw	King (NY)
Austria Dent Lamborn Bachmann Doggett Lance Bachus Dreier Latham Bartlett Duncan LaTourette Barton (TX) Ehlers Latta Biggert Emerson Lee (NY) Bilbray Fallin Lewis (CA) Billinakis Flake Linder Bishop (UT) Fleming LoBiondo Blackburn Forbes Lucas Blunt Fortenberry Luetkemeyer Boehner Foxx Lummis Bonner Frelinghuysen Lungren, Daniel Bono Mack Gallegly E. Boozman Garrett (NJ) Mack Boustany Gerlach Manzullo Brady (TX) Gingrey (GA) Marchant Bright Gohmert McCarthy (CA) Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown-Waite, Graves McCotter Ginny Guthrie McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (Gary Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole	Akin	Davis (KY)	Kingston
Bachmann Doggett Lanee Bachus Dreier Latham Bartlett Duncan LaTourette Barton (TX) Ehlers Latta Biggert Emerson Lee (NY) Bilbray Fallin Lewis (CA) Bilirakis Flake Linder Bishop (UT) Fleming LoBiondo Blackburn Forbes Lucas Blunt Fortenberry Luetkemeyer Boehner Foxx Lummis Bonner Frelinghuysen Lungren, Daniel Bono Mack Gallegly E. Boozman Garrett (NJ) Mack Boustany Gerlach Manzullo Brady (TX) Gingrey (GA) Marchant Bright Gohmert McCarthy (CA) Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown-Waite, Graves McCotter Ginny Guthrie McReon Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Rodgers Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Rodgers Buyer Heller Mica Calvett Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Capito Hunter Murph, Tim Castel Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Colle Johnson (Sam Petri Cole Grandan Pitts	Alexander	Deal (GA)	Kline (MN)
Bachus Dreier Latham Bartlett Duncan LaTourette Barton (TX) Ehlers Latta Biggert Emerson Lee (NY) Bilbray Fallin Lewis (CA) Bilbray Fallin LoBiondo Blackburn Forbes Lucas Blunt Fortenberry Luctkemeyer Boehner Foxx Lummis Bonner Frelinghuysen Lungren, Daniel Bono Mack Gallegly E. Boozman Garrett (NJ) Mack Boustany Gerlach Manzullo Brady (TX) Gingrey (GA) Marchant Bright Gohmert McCarthy (CA) Broun (GA) Goodlatte McCaul Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown-Waite, Graves McCotter Ginny Guthrie McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Campbell Himes Miller, Gary Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jondan (DH) Fitts	Austria	Dent	Lamborn
Bartlett Duncan LaTourette Barton (TX) Ehlers Latta Biggert Emerson Lee (NY) Bilbray Fallin Lewis (CA) Billriakis Flake Linder Bishop (UT) Fleming LoBiondo Blackburn Forbes Lucas Blunt Fortenberry Luetkemeyer Boehner Foxx Lummis Bonner Frelinghuysen Lungren, Daniel Bono Mack Gallegly E. Boozman Garrett (NJ) Mack Boustany Gerlach Manzullo Brady (TX) Gingrey (GA) Marchant Bright Gohmert McCarthy (CA) Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown-Waite, Graves McCotter Ginny Guthrie McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Campbell Himes Miller, Gary Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole	Bachmann	Doggett	Lance
Barton (TX)	Bachus	Dreier	Latham
Biggert Emerson Lee (NY) Bilbray Fallin Lewis (CA) Bilbray Fallin Lewis (CA) Bilbray Fallin Lewis (CA) Bilbray Fallin Lewis (CA) Billinakis Flake Linder Bishop (UT) Fleming LoBiondo Blackburn Forbes Lucas Blunt Fortenberry Luetkemeyer Boehner Foxx Lummis Bonner Frelinghuysen Lungren, Daniel Bono Mack Gallegly E. Boozman Garrett (NJ) Mack Boustany Gerlach Manzullo Brady (TX) Gingrey (GA) Marchant Bright Gohmert McCarthy (CA) Broun (GA) Goodlatte McCaul Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown-Waite, Graves McCotter Ginny Guthrie McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Burgess Harper McMorris Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Rodgers Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Campbell Himes Miller, Gary Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts	Bartlett	Duncan	LaTourette
Biggert Emerson Lee (NY) Bilbray Fallin Lewis (CA) Bilbray Fallin Lewis (CA) Bilbray Fallin Lewis (CA) Bilbray Fallin Lewis (CA) Billinakis Flake Linder Bishop (UT) Fleming LoBiondo Blackburn Forbes Lucas Blunt Fortenberry Luetkemeyer Boehner Foxx Lummis Bonner Frelinghuysen Lungren, Daniel Bono Mack Gallegly E. Boozman Garrett (NJ) Mack Boustany Gerlach Manzullo Brady (TX) Gingrey (GA) Marchant Bright Gohmert McCarthy (CA) Broun (GA) Goodlatte McCaul Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown-Waite, Graves McCotter Ginny Guthrie McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Burgess Harper McMorris Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Rodgers Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Campbell Himes Miller, Gary Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts	Barton (TX)	Ehlers	Latta
Bilirakis Bishop (UT) Boehner Boehner Bonner Bonn		Emerson	Lee (NY)
Bishop (UT) Fleming LoBiondo Blackburn Forbes Lucas Blunt Fortenberry Luetkemeyer Boehner Foxx Lummis Bonner Frelinghuysen Lungren, Daniel Boox Lummis Bono Mack Gallegly E. Booxman Garrett (NJ) Mack Boustany Gerlach Manzullo Brady (TX) Gingrey (GA) Marchant Bright Gohmert McCarthy (CA) Broun (GA) Goodlatte McCaul Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown-Waite, Graves McCotter Ginny Guthrie McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Burgess Harper McMorris Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Rodgers Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Campbell Himes Miller, Gary Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jondan (OH) Pitts	Bilbray	Fallin	Lewis (CA)
Blackburn Forbes Lucas Blunt Fortenberry Luetkemeyer Boehner Foxx Lummis Bonner Frelinghuysen Lungren, Daniel Bono Mack Gallegly E. Boozman Garrett (NJ) Mack Boustany Gerlach Manzullo Brady (TX) Gingrey (GA) Marchant Bright Gohmert McCarthy (CA) Broun (GA) Goodlatte McCaul Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown-Waite, Graves McCotter Ginny Guthrie McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Burgess Harper McMorris Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Dornal Honel	Bilirakis	Flake	Linder
Blunt Fortenberry Luetkemeyer Boehner Foxx Lummis Bonner Frelinghuysen Lungren, Daniel Bono Mack Gallegly E. Boozman Garrett (NJ) Mack Boustany Gerlach Manzullo Brady (TX) Gingrey (GA) Marchant Bright Gohmert McCarthy (CA) Broun (GA) Goodlatte McCaul Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown-Waite, Graves McClintock Brown-Waite, Graves McClotter Ginny Guthrie McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Burgess Harper McMorris Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Rodgers Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Campbell Himes Miller, Gary Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Johnson (IL) Paulsen Colfman (CO) Jones Petri Cole	Bishop (UT)	Fleming	LoBiondo
Boehner Foxx Lummis Bonner Frelinghuysen Lungren, Daniel Bono Mack Gallegly E. Boozman Garrett (NJ) Mack Boustany Gerlach Manzullo Brady (TX) Gingrey (GA) Marchant Bright Gohmert McCarthy (CA) Broun (GA) Goodlatte McCaul Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown-Waite, Graves McCotter Ginny Guthrie McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Burgess Harper McMorris Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Rodgers Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Camphell Himes Miller, Gary Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole	Blackburn	Forbes	Lucas
Bonner Frelinghuysen Lungren, Daniel Bono Mack Gallegly E. Boozman Garrett (NJ) Mack Boustany Gerlach Manzullo Brady (TX) Gingrey (GA) Marchant Bright Gohmert McCarthy (CA) Broun (GA) Goodlatte McCaul Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Ginny Guthrie McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Burgess Harper McMorris Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Campbell Himes Miller, Gary Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Casidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole	Blunt	Fortenberry	Luetkemeyer
Bono Mack Boozman Garrett (NJ) Gerlach Gordett (NJ) Brady (TX) Gerlach Gohmert Gohmert Gohmert Goodlatte Groun (GA) Brown (GC) Granger Graves Graves Graves Graves McClintock Grown-Waite, Graves Graves McClintock Graves McCotter Graves McCotter McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Burgess Harper McMorris Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Campbell Himes Miller, Gary Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole	Boehner		
Boozman Garrett (NJ) Mack Boustany Gerlach Manzullo Brady (TX) Gingrey (GA) Marchant Bright Gohmert McCarthy (CA) Broun (GA) Goodlatte McCaul Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown-Waite, Graves McCotter Ginny Guthrie McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Burgess Harper McMorris Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Rodgers Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Campbell Himes Miller, Gary Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jondan (OH) Pitts	Bonner	Frelinghuysen	Lungren, Daniel
Boustany Gerlach Manzullo Brady (TX) Gingrey (GA) Marchant Bright Gohmert McCarthy (CA) Broun (GA) Goodlatte McCatl Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown-Waite, Graves McCotter Ginny Guthrie McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Burgess Harper McMorris Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Rodgers Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (Gary Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole	Bono Mack	Gallegly	E.
Brady (TX) Gingrey (GA) Marchant Bright Gohmert McCarthy (CA) Broun (GA) Goodlatte McCaul Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown-Waite, Graves McCotter Ginny Guthrie McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Burgess Harper McMorris Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Rodgers Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Campbell Himes Miller, Gary Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts	Boozman		Mack
Bright Gohmert McCarthy (CA) Broun (GA) Goodlatte McCaul Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown-Waite, Graves McCotter Ginny Guthrie McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Burgess Harper McMorris Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Rodgers Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Camp Herger Miller (Gary Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jondan (OH) Pitts	Boustany	Gerlach	Manzullo
Bright Gohmert McCarthy (CA) Broun (GA) Goodlatte McCaul Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown-Waite, Graves McCotter Ginny Guthrie McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Burgess Harper McMorris Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Rodgers Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Camp Herger Miller (Gary Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jondan (OH) Pitts	Brady (TX)	Gingrey (GA)	Marchant
Brown (SC) Granger McClintock Brown-Waite, Graves McCotter Ginny Guthrie McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Burgess Harper McMorris Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Campbell Himes Miller, Gary Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts			McCarthy (CA)
Brown-Waite, Ginny Guthrie McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Burgess Harper McMorris Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Buyer Heller Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Campbell Himes Miller, Gary Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Linglis Cassidy Lisa Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Coffman (CO) Jones Coffan (OH) Pitts McKeon McMorris McMegens McMorris McMorris McMorris McMorris Miller, Gary Miller (MI) Miller (Sary Miller (Asy Moran (KS) Capito Lossidy Loss	Broun (GA)	Goodlatte	McCaul
Ginny Guthrie McHenry Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Burgess Harper McMorris Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Rodgers Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Campbell Himes Miller, Gary Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coble Jones Petri Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts	Brown (SC)	Granger	McClintock
Buchanan Hall (TX) McKeon Burgess Harper McMorris Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Rodgers Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (Gary Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts	Brown-Waite,	Graves	McCotter
Burgess Harper McMorris Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Rodgers Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Camp Herger Miller (Gary Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts	Ginny	Guthrie	McHenry
Burton (IN) Hastings (WA) Rodgers Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Campbell Himes Miller, Gary Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coble Jones Petri Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts	Buchanan	Hall (TX)	McKeon
Buyer Heller Mica Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Campbell Himes Miller, Gary Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coble Jones Petri Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts	Burgess	Harper	McMorris
Calvert Hensarling Miller (FL) Camp Herger Miller (MI) Campbell Himes Miller, Gary Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coble Jones Petri Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts	Burton (IN)	Hastings (WA)	Rodgers
Camp Herger Miller (MI) Campbell Himes Miller, Gary Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coble Jones Petri Coffman (CO) Jordan (OH) Pitts	Buyer	Heller	Mica
Campbell Himes Miller, Gary Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coble Johnson, Sam Pence Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts	Calvert	Hensarling	Miller (FL)
Cao Hoekstra Moran (KS) Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coble Johnson, Sam Pence Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts	Camp	Herger	Miller (MI)
Capito Hunter Murphy, Tim Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coble Johnson, Sam Pence Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts	Campbell	Himes	Miller, Gary
Carter Inglis Myrick Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coble Johnson, Sam Pence Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts	Cao	Hoekstra	Moran (KS)
Cassidy Issa Neugebauer Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coble Johnson, Sam Pence Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts	Capito	Hunter	Murphy, Tim
Castle Jenkins Olson Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coble Johnson, Sam Pence Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts	Carter	Inglis	Myrick
Chaffetz Johnson (IL) Paulsen Coble Johnson, Sam Pence Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts	Cassidy	Issa	Neugebauer
CobleJohnson, SamPenceCoffman (CO)JonesPetriColeJordan (OH)Pitts	Castle	Jenkins	Olson
Coffman (CO) Jones Petri Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts	Chaffetz	Johnson (IL)	Paulsen
Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts	Coble	Johnson, Sam	Pence
Cole Jordan (OH) Pitts	Coffman (CO)	Jones	Petri
		Jordan (OH)	Pitts
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Conaway		Platts
	· ·	~	

Schmidt Poe (TX) Thornberry Posev Schock Tiahrt Price (GA) Sensenbrenner Tiberi Rehberg Sessions Turner Reichert Shadegg Upton Roe (TN) Shimkus Walden Rogers (AL) Shuster Wamp Smith (NE) Rogers (KY) Rogers (MI) Smith (NJ) Whitfield Rohrabacher Smith (TX) Wilson (SC) Souder Rooney Wittman Roskam Stearns Wolf Royce Sullivan Young (AK) Ryan (WI) Terry Young (FL) Thompson (PA) Scalise

NOES-251

Gravson

Ackerman

Adler (NJ)

Altmire

Andrews

Arcuri

Baird

Baldwin

Barrow

Becerra

Berkley

Berman

Boccieri

Bordallo

Boswell

Boucher

Brady (PA)

Butterfield

Brown, Corrine

Boren

Boyd

Capps

Capuano

Cardoza

Carnahan Carney

Carson (IN)

Castor (FL)

Chandler

Childers Christensen

Clarke

Cleaver

Clyburn

Convers

Costello

Courtney

Crowley

Cummings

Davis (AL)

Davis (CA)

Davis (IL)

Davis (TN) DeFazio

DeGette

Delahunt

DeLauro

Dicks

Dovle

Driehaus

Ellison

Engel

Eshoo

Farr Fattah

Filner

Foster

Fudge

Giffords

Gonzalez

Frank (MA)

Gordon (TN)

Ellsworth

Etheridge

Faleomayaega

Diaz-Balart, L.

Diaz-Balart, M.

Donnelly (IN)

Edwards (MD)

Edwards (TX)

Dahlkemper

Cuellar

Cooper

Connolly (VA)

Cohen

Clay

Bishop (GA)

Bishop (NY) Blumenauer

Berry

Bean

Grayson	Murphy (NY)
Green, Al	Murphy, Patric
Green, Gene Griffith	Murtha Nadler (NY)
Grijalva	Napolitano
Gutierrez	Neal (MA)
Hall (NY)	Norton
Halvorson	Nye
Hare	Oberstar
Harman Hastings (FL)	Obey Olver
Heinrich	Ortiz
Herseth Sandlin	Pallone
Higgins	Pascrell
Hill	Pastor (AZ)
Hinchey	Payne
Hinojosa Hirono	Perriello Peters
Hodes	Peterson
Holden	Pierluisi
Holt	Pingree (ME)
Honda	Polis (CO)
Hoyer	Pomeroy
Jackson (IL)	Price (NC) Putnam
Jackson-Lee (TX)	Quigley
Johnson (GA)	Rahall
Johnson, E. B.	Reyes
Kagen	Richardson
Kanjorski	Rodriguez
Kaptur	Ros-Lehtinen
Kildee Kilpatrick (MI)	Ross Rothman (NJ)
Kilroy	Roybal-Allard
Kind	Ruppersberger
Kirk	Rush
Kirkpatrick (AZ)	Sablan
Kissell	Salazar
Klein (FL) Kosmas	Sánchez, Linda T.
Kratovil	Sanchez, Loret
Kucinich	Sarbanes
Langevin	Schakowsky
Larsen (WA)	Schauer
Larson (CT)	Schiff Schrader
Lee (CA) Levin	Schwartz
Lewis (GA)	Scott (GA)
Lipinski	Scott (VA)
Loebsack	Serrano
Lofgren, Zoe	Sestak
Lowey	Shea-Porter
Lujan Lynch	Sherman Shuler
Maffei	Simpson
Maloney	Sires
Markey (CO) Markey (MA)	Skelton
	Slaughter
Marshall Massa	Smith (WA)
Matheson	Snyder Space
Matsui	Speier
McCarthy (NY)	Spratt
McCollum	Stark
McDermott	Stupak
McGovern McIntyre	Sutton Taylor
McMahon	Teague
McNerney	Thompson (CA)
Meek (FL)	Thompson (MS
Meeks (NY)	Tierney
Melancon	Titus
Millon (NC)	Tonko
Miller (NC) Miller, George	Towns Tsongas
Minnick	Van Hollen
Mitchell	Velázquez
Mollohan	Visclosky
Moore (KS)	Walz
Moore (WI)	Wasserman
Moran (VA) Murphy (CT)	Schultz Waters

Watson Waxman Weiner Abercrombie Barrett (SC) Bralev (IA) Westmoreland Cantor Costa Culberson Dingell ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

There are 2 minutes remaining in this

Murphy (NY)

□ 1257 So the amendment was rejected. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded. Stated for:

Welch

Woolsey

Inslee

Israel

Nunes

Paul

Kennedy

McHugh

Wilson (OH)

NOT VOTING-

Franks (AZ)

Mr. FRANKS of Arizona. Mr. Chair. on rollcall No. 711 I inadvertently missed the vote. Had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote).

Mr. CULBERSON. Mr. Chair, on rollcall 711 I was unable to record my vote. I intended to vote "ave" on that question.

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. Chair, on rollcall No. 711 I was detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "no."

AMENDMENT NO. 7 OFFERED BY MS. FOXX

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. Foxx) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

Clerk will redesignate amendment.

The text of the amendment is as fol-

Amendment No. 7 offered by Ms. Foxx:

Page 27, beginning on line 20, strike "has the meaning given" and all that follows through "2009" and insert "refers to a State public employment service established under the Wagner-Peyser Act (29 U.S.C. 49 et seq.)'

Page 27, line 25, strike "have the meanings given" and all that follows through page 28, line 2, and insert "refer to a State workforce investment board established under section 111 of the Workforce Investment Act (29 U.S.C. 2821) and a local workforce investment board established under section 117 of such Act (29 U.S.C. 2832), respectively.

Amend title V of the Bill to read as fol-

TITLE V-PRIVACY AND ACCESS TO DATA SEC. 501. PRIVACY AND ACCESS TO DATA.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Each State or consortia that receives a grant under any provision of this Act shall implement measures to-
- (1) ensure that the statewide longitudinal data system under this subsection and any other data system the State or consortia is operating for the purposes of this Act meet the requirements of section 444 of the General Education Provisions Act (20 U.S.C. 1232g) (commonly known as the "Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of
- (2) limit the use of information in any such data system by governmental agencies in the State, including State agencies, State educational authorities, local educational agencies, community colleges, and institutions of higher education, to education and workforce related activities under this Act or education and workforce related activities otherwise permitted by Federal or State law;

Neugebauer

- (3) prohibit the disclosure of personally identifiable information except as permitted under section 444 of the General Education Provisions Act and any additional limitations set forth in State law:
- (4) keep an accurate accounting of the date, nature, and purpose of each disclosure of personally identifiable information in any such data system, a description of the information disclosed, and the name and address of the person, agency, institution, or entity to whom the disclosure is made, which accounting shall be made available on request to parents of any student whose information has been disclosed;
- (5) notwithstanding section 444 of the General Education Provisions Act, require any non-governmental party obtaining personally identifiable information to sign a data use agreement prior to disclosure that—
- (A) prohibits the party from further disclosing the information;
- (B) prohibits the party from using the information for any purpose other than the purpose specified in the agreement; and
- (C) requires the party to destroy the information when the purpose for which the disclosure was made is accomplished;
- (6) maintain adequate security measures to ensure the confidentiality and integrity of any such data system, such as protecting a student record from identification by a unique identifier;
- (7) where rights are provided to parents under this clause, provide those rights to the student instead of the parent if the student has reached the age of 18 or is enrolled in a postsecondary educational institution; and
- (8) ensure adequate enforcement of the requirements of this paragraph.
- (b) USE OF UNIQUE IDENTIFIERS.—It shall be unlawful for any Federal, State, or local governmental agency to—
- (1) use the unique identifiers employed in such data systems for any purpose other than as authorized by Federal or State law; or
- (2) deny any individual any right, benefit, or privilege provided by law because of such individual's refusal to disclose the individual's unique identifier.

Conform the table of contents accordingly.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 126, noes 301, not voting 12, as follows:

[Roll No. 712]

AYES—126

	111110 120	
Aderholt	Cantor	Gohmert
Akin	Capito	Goodlatte
Alexander	Carter	Granger
Austria	Cassidy	Graves
Bachmann	Chaffetz	Harper
Bachus	Coble	Hastings (WA)
Bartlett	Coffman (CO)	Hensarling
Barton (TX)	Cole	Herger
Bishop (UT)	Conaway	Hunter
Blackburn	Crenshaw	Inglis
Boehner	Culberson	Issa
Bonner	Deal (GA)	Jenkins
Boustany	Diaz-Balart, L.	Johnson (IL)
Brady (TX)	Diaz-Balart, M.	Johnson, Sam
Broun (GA)	Duncan	Jones
Brown (SC)	Emerson	Jordan (OH)
Brown-Waite,	Flake	King (IA)
Ginny	Fleming	Kingston
Buchanan	Foxx	Kline (MN)
Burton (IN)	Franks (AZ)	Lamborn
Buyer	Frelinghuysen	Lance
Calvert	Gallegly	Latta
Camp	Garrett (NJ)	Lewis (CA)
Campbell	Gingrey (GA)	Linder

Lucas Luetkemeyer Lummis Lungren, Daniel

E.
Mack
Manzullo
Marchant
McCaul
McClintock
McHenry
McKeon
McMorris
Rodgers

Mica

Miller (FL)

Miller, Gary

Moran (KS)

Ackerman

Adler (NJ)

Altmire

Andrews

Arcuri

Baca

Baird

Baldwin

Barrow

Recerra

Berkley

Berry

Blunt

Boccieri

Boozman

Bordallo

Boswell 8 |

Boucher

Brady (PA)

Braley (IA)

Butterfield

Brown, Corrine

Boren

Boyd

Bright

Burgess

Cao

Capps

Capuano

Cardoza

Carnev

Castle

Carnahan

Carson (IN)

Castor (FL)

Christensen

Chandler

Childers

Chu

Clav

Clarke

Cleaver

Clyburn

Convers

Costello

Courtney

Crowley

Cuellar

Cummings

Dahlkemper

Davis (AL)

Davis (CA)

Davis (IL)

Davis (KY)

Davis (TN)

DeFazio

DeGette

Delahunt

DeLauro

Doggett

Donnelly (IN)

LaTourette

Lee (CA)

Lee (NY)

Dent

Dicks

Doyle

Dreier

Driehaus Edwards (MD)

Cooper

Cohen Connolly (VA)

Bono Mack

Biggert

Bilirakis

Bishop (GA)

Bishop (NY)

Blumenauer

Myrick

Olson Shadegg Pence Shimkus Petri Shuster Pitts Simpson Poe (TX) Smith (NE) Posey Price (GA) Smith (TX) Souder Putnam Stearns Rehberg Roe (TN) Sullivan Thompson (PA) Rogers (AL) Thornberry Rohrabacher Tia.hrt. Tiberi Royce Ryan (WI) Walden Scalise Wamp Schmidt Westmoreland Schock Wilson (SC) Sensenbrenner Young (FL)

Sessions

NOES-301

Edwards (TX) Levin Ehlers Lewis (GA) Ellison Lipinski Ellsworth LoBiondo Loebsack Lofgren, Zoe Engel Eshoo Etheridge Lowev Faleomavaega Luján Farr Lynch Fattah Maffei Maloney Markey (CO) Filner Forbes Fortenberry Markey (MA) Foster Marshall Frank (MA) Massa Matheson Fudge Gerlach Matsui Giffords McCarthy (CA) Gonzalez McCarthy (NY) Gordon (TN) McCollum McCotter Grayson Green, Al McDermott Green, Gene McGovern Griffith McIntyre Grijalva McMahon McNernev Guthrie Gutierrez Meek (FL) Hall (NY) Meeks (NY) Hall (TX) Melancon Halvorson Michaud Miller (MI) Hare Harman Miller (NC) Hastings (FL) Miller, George Heinrich Minnick Heller Mitchell Herseth Sandlin Mollohan Higgins Moore (KS) Moore (WI) Hill Himes Moran (VA) Hinchey Murphy (CT) Murphy (NY) Hinojosa Murphy, Patrick Hirono Hodes Murphy, Tim Hoekstra Murtha Nadler (NY) Holden Holt Napolitano Honda Neal (MA) Hoyer Norton Inslee Nve Oberstar Israel Jackson (IL) Obey Jackson-Lee Olver (TX) Ortiz Johnson (GA) Johnson, E. B. Pascrell Kagen Pastor (AZ) Kanjorski Paulsen Payne Kaptur Kennedy Perriello Kildee Peters Kilpatrick (MI) Peterson Kilroy Pierluisi Pingree (ME) Kind King (NY) Platts Kirk Polis (CO) Kirkpatrick (AZ) Pomerov Price (NC) Kissell Klein (FL) Quigley Kosmas Rahall Kratovil Rangel Kucinich Reichert Langevin Reves Larsen (WA) Richardson Larson (CT) Rodriguez Rogers (KY) Latham

Rogers (MI)

Rooney Ros-Lehtinen Roskam Shuler Upton Ross Sires Van Hollen Velázquez Rothman (NJ) Skelton Roybal-Allard Slaughter Visclosky Ruppersberger Smith (NJ) Walz Rush Smith (WA) Wasserman Rvan (OH) Snyder Schultz Sablan Space Waters Salazar Speier Watson Sánchez, Linda Spratt Watt Т. Stark Waxman Sanchez, Loretta Stupak Weiner Sarbanes Sutton Welch Schakowsky Taylor Wexler Schauer Teague Whitfield Schiff Terry Wilson (OH) Schrader Thompson (CA) Schwartz Thompson (MS) Wittman Wolf Scott (GA) Tierney Scott (VA) Titus Woolsey Serrano Tonko Wu Sestak Towns Yarmuth Shea-Porter Tsongas Young (AK) Sherman Turner NOT VOTING-12

Abercrombie Dingell Paul
Barrett (SC) Fallin Perlmutter
Bilbray McHugh Radanovich
Costa Nunes Tanner

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 1304

Mr. ADLER of New Jersey changed his vote from "aye" to "no."

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 15 OFFERED BY MR. HIMES

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Connecticut (Mr. HIMES) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 428, noes 2, not voting 9, as follows:

[Roll No. 713]

AYES-428

Ackerman Bilirakis Brown, Corrine Bishop (GA) Aderholt Brown-Waite Adler (NJ) Bishop (NY) Ginny Bishop (UT) Akin Buchanan Alexander Blackburn Burgess Altmire Burton (IN) Blumenauer Andrews Blunt Boccieri Butterfield Arcuri Buver Austria Boehner Calvert Baca Bonner Bono Mack Camp Campbell Bachmann Bachus Boozman Cantor Raird Bordallo Cao Baldwin Capito Boren Boswell Barrow Capps Bartlett Boucher Capuano Barton (TX) Boustany Cardoza Bean Boyd Carnahan Brady (PA) Becerra. Carney Carson (IN) Berklev Brady (TX) Braley (IA) Berman Carter Berry Bright Cassidy Broun (GA) Biggert Castle Castor (FL) Brown (SC

Higgins

Hill

Childers

Christensen

Melancon

Mica

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

H9696	
Chaffetz	Herger
Chandler	Herseth Sandlin
Childers Christensen	Higgins Hill
Chu	Himes
Clarke Clay	Hinchey Hinojosa
Cleaver	Hirono
Clyburn Coble	Hodes Hoekstra
Coffman (CO)	Holden
Cohen	Holt
Cole Conaway	Honda Hoyer
Connolly (VA)	Hunter
Conyers Cooper	Inglis Inslee
Costello	Israel
Courtney Crenshaw	Issa Isakson (II.)
Crowley	Jackson (IL) Jackson-Lee
Cuellar	(TX)
Culberson Cummings	Jenkins Johnson (GA)
Dahlkemper	Johnson (IL)
Davis (AL) Davis (CA)	Johnson, E. B. Jones
Davis (IL)	Jordan (OH)
Davis (KY)	Kagen
Davis (TN) Deal (GA)	Kanjorski Kaptur
DeFazio	Kennedy
DeGette Delahunt	Kildee Kilpatrick (MI)
DeLauro	Kilroy
Dent Diaz-Balart, L.	King (IA)
Diaz-Balart, M.	King (IA) King (NY)
Dicks	Kingston
Dingell Doggett	Kirk Kirkpatrick (AZ
Donnelly (IN)	Kissell
Doyle Dreier	Klein (FL) Kline (MN)
Driehaus	Kosmas
Duncan	Kratovil
Edwards (MD) Edwards (TX)	Kucinich Lamborn
Ehlers	Lance
Ellison Ellsworth	Langevin Larsen (WA)
Emerson	Larson (CT)
Engel Eshoo	Latham LaTourette
Etheridge	Latta
Faleomavaega Fallin	Lee (CA) Lee (NY)
Farr	Levin
Fattah	Lewis (CA)
Filner Flake	Lewis (GA) Linder
Fleming	Lipinski
Forbes Fortenberry	LoBiondo Loebsack
Foster	Lofgren, Zoe
Foxx Frank (MA)	Lowey Lucas
Franks (AZ)	Luetkemeyer
Frelinghuysen Fudge	Luján Lummis
Gallegly	Lungren, Daniel
Garrett (NJ) Gerlach	E.
Giffords	Lynch Mack
Gingrey (GA)	Maffei
Gohmert Gonzalez	Maloney Manzullo
Goodlatte	Marchant
Gordon (TN) Granger	Markey (CO) Markey (MA)
Graves	Marshall
Grayson Groon Al	Massa Matheson
Green, Al Green, Gene	Matsui
Griffith	McCarthy (CA)
Grijalva Guthrie	McCarthy (NY) McCaul
Gutierrez	McClintock
Hall (NY) Hall (TX)	McCollum McCotter
Halvorson	McDermott
Hare	McGovern
Harman Harper	McHenry McIntyre
Hastings (FL)	McKeon
Hastings (WA) Heinrich	McMahon McMorris
Heller	Rodgers
Hensarling	McNerney

Meek (FL) h Sandlin Meeks (NY) Melancon Mica. Michaud Miller (FL) Miller (MI) Miller (NC) Miller, Gary Miller, George Minnick Mitchell Mollohan Moore (KS) Moore (WI) Moran (KS) Moran (VA) Murphy (CT) Murphy (NY) Murphy, Patrick Murphy, Tim Murtha Myrick Nadler (NY) Napolitano Neal (MA) Neugebauer Norton Oberstar Obey Olson Olver Ortiz Pallone Pascrell Pastor (AZ) Paulsen Payne Pence trick (AZ) Perlmutter Perriello Peters Peterson Petri Pierluisi Pingree (ME) Platts Poe (TX) Polis (CO) Pomerov Posey Price (GA) Price (NC) Putnam Quigley Rahall Rangel Rehberg Reichert Reyes Richardson Rodriguez Roe (TN) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rogers (MI) Rohrabacher Roonev Ros-Lehtinen en, Daniel Roskam Ross Rothman (NJ) Roybal-Allard Rovce Ruppersberger Rush Ryan (OH) Ryan (WI) Sablan Salazar Sánchez, Linda Т. Sanchez, Loretta Sarbanes Scalise Schakowsky

Schauer

Schmidt

Schock

Schrader

Schwartz

Serrano

Sessions

Scott (GA) Scott (VA)

Sensenbrenner

Schiff

Sestak Shadegg Shea-Porter Sherman Shimkus Shuler Shuster Simpson Sires Skelton Slaughter Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Snyder Souder Space Speier Spratt Stark Stearns Stupak Johnson, Sam

Sullivan Wamp Sutton Wasserman Taylor Schultz Teague Waters Terry Watson Thompson (CA) Watt Thompson (MS) Waxman Thompson (PA) Weiner Thornberry Welch Tiahrt Westmoreland Tierney Wexler Titus Whitfield Tonko Wilson (OH) Towns Wilson (SC) Tsongas Wittman Turner Wolf Upton Woolsey Van Hollen Wu Velázquez Visclosky Yarmuth Young (AK) Walden Walz Young (FL) NOES-2 Smith (WA) NOT VOTING-9

Abercrombie McHugh Radanovich Nunes Barrett (SC) Tanner Costa Paul

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining in this

□ 1311

Mr. SHADEGG changed his vote from "no" to "aye."

So the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 17 OFFERED BY MR. MINNICK

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Idaho (Mr. MINNICK) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 5minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—aves 428, noes 0. not voting 11, as follows:

[Roll No. 714] AYES-428

Bishop (GA) Brown-Waite, Ackerman Aderholt Bishop (NY) Ginny Adler (NJ) Buchanan Bishop (UT) Akin Blackburn Burgess Alexander Blumenauer Burton (IN) Altmire Blunt. Butterfield Boccieri Andrews Buyer Boehner Calvert Arcuri Austria Bonner Camp Bono Mack Campbell Baca Bachmann Boozman Cantor Bachus Bordallo Cao Baird Boren Capito Capps Baldwin Boswell Capuano Barrow Boucher Bartlett Boustany Cardoza Carney Barton (TX) Boyd Brady (PA) Carson (IN) Bean Becerra Brady (TX) Carter Berkley Braley (IA) Cassidy Castle Berman Bright Broun (GA) Castor (FL) Berry Biggert Brown (SC) Chaffetz Brown, Corrine Bilirakis Chandler

Chu Clarke Clay Cleaver Clyburn Coble Coffman (CO) Cohen Cole Conaway Connolly (VA) Conyers Cooper Costello Courtney Crenshaw Crowley Cuellar Culberson Cummings Dahlkemper Davis (AL) Davis (CA) Davis (IL) Davis (KY) Davis (TN) Deal (GA) DeFazio DeGette Delahunt DeLauro Dent Diaz-Balart, L. Diaz-Balart, M. Dicks Dingell Doggett Donnelly (IN) Doyle Dreier Driehaus Duncan Edwards (MD) Edwards (TX) Ehlers Ellison Ellsworth Emerson Engel Eshoo Etheridge Faleomavaega Fallin Farr Fattah Filner Flake Fleming Forbes Fortenberry Foster Foxx Frank (MA) Franks (AZ) Frelinghuysen Fudge Gallegly Garrett (NJ) Gerlach Giffords Gingrey (GA) Gohmert Gonzalez Goodlatte Gordon (TN) Granger Graves Grayson Green, Al Green, Gene Griffith Grijalva Guthrie Gutierrez Hall (NY) Hall (TX) Halvorson Hare Harman Harper

Hastings (FL)

Heinrich

Hensarling

Heller

Herger

Hastings (WA)

Herseth Sandlin

Himes Michaud Hinchev Miller (FL) Miller (MI) Hinojosa Hirono Miller (NC) Hodes Miller, Gary Hoekstra Miller, George Holden Minnick Holt Mitchell Honda Mollohan Hoyer Moore (KS) Moore (WI) Hunter Moran (KS) Inslee Moran (VA) Israel Murphy (CT) Murphy (NY) Jackson (IL) Murphy, Patrick Jackson-Lee Murphy, Tim (TX) Murtha Jenkins Myrick Johnson (GA) Nadler (NY) Johnson (IL) Napolitano Johnson, E. B. Neal (MA) Johnson, Sam Neugebauer Jones Jordan (OH) Norton Nve Oberstar Kagen Kanjorski Obey Olson Kaptur Kennedy Olver Kildee Kilpatrick (MI) Ortiz Pallone Kilroy Pascrell Kind Pastor (AZ) King (IA) Paulsen King (NY) Payne Pence Kirk Kirkpatrick (AZ) Perlmutter Kissell Perriello Klein (FL) Peters Kline (MN) Peterson Kosmas Petri Kratovil Pierluisi Pingree (ME) Kucinich Lamborn Pitts Lance Platts Langevin Poe (TX) Larsen (WA) Polis (CO) Larson (CT) Pomerov Latham Posey Price (GA) LaTourette Latta. Price (NC) Lee (CA) Putnam Lee (NY) Quigley Levin Rahall Lewis (CA) Rangel Lewis (GA) Rehberg Linder Reichert Lipinski Reyes Richardson LoBiondo Loebsack Rodriguez Lofgren, Zoe Roe (TN) Lowey Rogers (AL) Lucas Rogers (KY) Luetkemeyer Rogers (MI) Luián Rohrabacher Lummis Roonev Lungren, Daniel Ros-Lehtinen E. Roskam Lynch Ross Mack Rothman (NJ) Maffei Roybal-Allard Maloney Royce Manzullo Ruppersberger Marchant Rush Markey (CO) Ryan (OH) Markey (MA) Ryan (WI) Marshall Sablan Salazar Matheson Sánchez, Linda Matsui T. McCarthy (CA) Sanchez, Loretta McCarthy (NY) Sarbanes McCaul Scalise McClintock Schakowsky McCollum Schauer McCotter Schiff McDermott Schmidt McGovern Schock McHenry Schrader McIntyre Schwartz McKeon Scott (GA) McMahon Scott (VA) McMorris Sensenbrenner Rodgers Serrano McNerney Sessions Meek (FL) Sestak Meeks (NY) Shadegg

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

Murphy (CT)

Murphy (NY)

Murphy, Tim

Nadler (NY)

Murtha

Myrick

Murphy, Patrick

Snea-Porter	Sutton	wamp
Sherman	Taylor	Wasserman
Shimkus	Teague	Schultz
Shuler	Terry	Waters
Shuster	Thompson (CA)	Watson
Simpson	Thompson (MS)	Watt
Sires	Thompson (PA)	Waxman
Skelton	Thornberry	Weiner
Slaughter	Tiahrt	Welch
Smith (NE)	Tiberi	Westmoreland
Smith (NJ)	Tierney	Wexler
Smith (TX)	Titus	Whitfield
Smith (WA)	Tonko	Wilson (OH)
Snyder	Towns	Wilson (SC)
Souder	Tsongas	Wittman
Space	Turner	Wolf
Speier	Upton	
Spratt	Van Hollen	Woolsey
Stark	Velázquez	Wu
Stearns	Visclosky	Yarmuth
Stupak	Walden	Young (AK)
Sullivan	Walz	Young (FL)

NOT VOTING-11

Abercrombie Costa Paul Barrett (SC) Kingston Radanovich Bilbray McHugh Tanner Carnahan Nunes

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

\Box 1317

So the amendment was agreed to. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 19 OFFERED BY MR. SCHAUER

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Michigan SCHAUER) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 5minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 425, noes 5, not voting 9, as follows:

[Roll No. 715]

AYES-425

Ackerman Blumenauer Campbell Aderholt Blunt Cantor Boccieri Adler (NJ) Cao Akin Boehner Capito Alexander Bonner Capps Bono Mack Capuano Altmire Andrews Boozman Cardoza Bordallo Arcuri Carnev Austria Boren Carson (IN) Baca Boswell 8 1 Carter Bachmann Boucher Cassidy Boustany Castle Bachus Castor (FL) Baird Boyd Brady (PA) Baldwin Chaffetz Brady (TX) Barrow Chandler Braley (IA) Bartlett Childers Barton (TX) Bright Christensen Brown (SC) Bean Chu Brown, Corrine Clarke Becerra Brown-Waite, Berklev Clay Cleaver Clyburn Ginny Berman Buchanan Berry Biggert Burgess Coble Burton (IN) Butterfield Bilbray Coffman (CO) Bilirakis Cohen Bishop (GA) Buver Cole Bishop (NY) Calvert Conaway Connolly (VA) Blackburn Camp

Inslee Convers Cooper Israel Costello Issa Courtney Jackson (IL) Crenshaw Jackson-Lee Crowley (TX) Cuellar Jenkins. Johnson (GA) Culberson Cummings Johnson (IL) Dahlkemper Johnson, E. B. Davis (AL) Jones Davis (CA) Jordan (OH) Davis (IL) Kagen Kaniorski Davis (KY) Davis (TN) Kaptur Kennedy Deal (GA) DeFazio Kildee DeGette Kilpatrick (MI) Delahunt Kilroy DeLauro Kind Dent King (IA) Diaz-Balart, L. King (NY) Diaz-Balart, M. Kingston Dicks Kirk Dingell Kirkpatrick (AZ) Kissell Doggett Donnelly (IN) Klein (FL) Kline (MN) Doyle Dreier Kosmas Driehaus Kratovil Kucinich Duncan Edwards (MD) Lamborn Edwards (TX) Lance Langevin Ehlers Larsen (WA) Ellison Ellsworth Larson (CT) Latham Emerson Engel LaTourette Eshoo Latta Etheridge Lee (CA) Lee (NY) Faleomavaega Fallin Levin Lewis (CA) Farr Fattah Lewis (GA) Linder Lipinski Filner Fleming LoBiondo Forbes Fortenberry Loebsack Lofgren, Zoe Foster Foxx Lowey Frank (MA) Lucas Franks (AZ) Luetkemeyer Luján Frelinghuysen Lummis Fudge Lungren, Daniel Gallegly Garrett (NJ) Ε. Gerlach Lynch Giffords Mack Gingrey (GA) Maffei Gohmert Maloney Gonzalez Manzullo Goodlatte Marchant Gordon (TN) Markey (CO) Granger Markey (MA) Graves Marshall Massa Grayson Matheson Green, Al Green, Gene Matsui McCarthy (CA) Griffith McCarthy (NY) Grijalva Guthrie McCaul Gutierrez McCollum McCotter Hall (NY) McDermott Hall (TX) Halvorson McGovern McHenry Hare Harman McIntyre Harper McKeon Hastings (FL) McMahon Hastings (WA) McMorris Heinrich Rodgers Heller McNerney Hensarling Meek (FL) Herger Meeks (NY) Herseth Sandlin Melancon Higgins Mica Michaud Hill Himes Miller (FL)

Hinchey

Hinojosa.

Hoekstra

Hirono

Hodes

Holden

Holt

Honda

Hoyer

Hunter

Inglis

Miller (MI)

Miller (NC)

Minnick

Mitchell

Mollohan

Moore (KS)

Moore (WI)

Moran (KS)

Moran (VA)

Miller, Gary

Miller, George

Napolitano Neal (MA) Neugebauer Norton Nye Oberstar Obey Olson Olver Ortiz Pallone Pascrell Pastor (AZ) Paulsen Pavne Pence Perlmutter Perriello Peters Peterson Petri Pierluisi Pingree (ME) Pitts Platts Poe (TX) Polis (CO) Pomeroy Posey Price (GA) Price (NC) Putnam Quigley Rahall Rangel Rehberg Reichert Reyes Richardson Rodriguez Roe (TN) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rogers (MI) Rohrabacher Rooney Ros-Lehtinen Roskam Ross Rothman (NJ) Rovbal-Allard Royce Ruppersberger Rush Ryan (OH) Ryan (WI) Sablan Salazar Sánchez, Linda T. Sarbanes Scalise Schakowsky Schauer Schiff Schmidt Schock

Sanchez, Loretta Schrader Schwartz Scott (GA) Scott (VA) Sensenbrenner Serrano Sessions Sestak Shadegg Shea-Porter Sherman Shimkus Shuler Shuster Simpson Sires Skelton Slaughter Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX)

Smith (WA)

Snyder

Souder

Speier Spratt Stark Stearns Stupak Sullivan Sutton Taylor Teague Terry Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Thompson (PA) Thornberry Tiahrt Tiberi

Titus Tonko Towns Tsongas Turner Upton Van Hollen Velázquez Visclosky Walden Walz Wamp Wasserman Schultz Waters Watson NOES-5

Tierney

Waxman Weiner Welch Westmoreland Wexler Whitfield Wilson (OH) Wilson (SC) Wittman Wolf Woolsev Wu Yarmuth Young (AK) Young (FL)

McClintock

Watt

Bishop (UT) Flake Broun (GA) Johnson, Sam

NOT VOTING-9

Abercrombie Costa Paul Barrett (SC) McHugh Radanovich Carnahan Nunes Tanner

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining in this vote

\sqcap 1323

So the amendment was agreed to. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 21 OFFERED BY MR. TEAGUE

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. TEAGUE) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amend-

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 5-

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 425, noes 0, not voting 14, as follows:

[Roll No. 716]

AYES-425 Ackerman Bonner Capuano Bono Mack Aderholt Cardoza Adler (NJ) Boozman Carnahan Akin Bordallo Carney Carson (IN) Alexander Boren Altmire Boswell Carter Andrews Boucher Cassidy Arcuri Boustany Castle Castor (FL) Austria Boyd Brady (PA) Baca Chaffetz Bachus Brady (TX) Chandler Braley (IA) Baird Childers Baldwin Bright Christensen Broun (GA) Barrow Chu Bartlett Brown (SC) Clarke Barton (TX) Brown, Corrine Clay Cleaver Brown-Waite, Bean Becerra Ginny Clyburn Berkley Buchanan Coble Berry Coffman (CO) Burgess Burton (IN) Biggert Cohen Bilbray Butterfield Cole Bilirakis Buyer Conaway Bishop (NY) Calvert Connolly (VA) Bishop (UT) Camp Convers Campbell Blackburn Cooper Blumenauer Cantor Costello Blunt Cao Courtney Capito Boccieri Crenshaw Boehner Crowley Capps

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

H9698	
Cuellar	Jenkins
Culberson Cummings	Johnson (GA) Johnson (IL)
Dahlkemper	Johnson, E. B.
Davis (AL) Davis (CA)	Johnson, Sam Jones
Davis (IL)	Jordan (OH)
Davis (KY)	Kagen
Davis (TN) Deal (GA)	Kanjorski Kaptur
DeFazio	Kennedy
DeGette Delahunt	Kildee Kilpatrick (MI)
DeLauro	Kilroy
Dent Diaz-Balart, L.	Kind King (IA)
Diaz-Balart, M.	King (NY)
Dicks Dingell	Kingston Kirk
Doggett	Kirkpatrick (AZ
Donnelly (IN) Doyle	Kissell Klein (FL)
Dreier	Kline (MN)
Driehaus Duncan	Kosmas Kratovil
Edwards (MD)	Kucinich
Edwards (TX) Ehlers	Lamborn Lance
Ellison	Langevin
Ellsworth	Larsen (WA)
Engel Eshoo	Larson (CT) Latham
Etheridge	LaTourette
Faleomavaega Fallin	Latta Lee (CA)
Farr	Lee (NY)
Fattah Filner	Levin Lewis (CA)
Flake	Lewis (GA)
Fleming Forbes	Linder Lipinski
Fortenberry	LoBiondo
Foster Foxx	Loebsack Lofgren, Zoe
Frank (MA)	Lowey
Franks (AZ) Frelinghuysen	Lucas
Fudge	Luetkemeyer Luján
Gallegly Garrett (NJ)	Lummis Lungren, Daniel
Gerlach	E.
Giffords	Lynch Mack
Gingrey (GA) Gohmert	Maffei
Gonzalez Goodlatte	Maloney Manzullo
Gordon (TN)	Marchant
Granger	Markey (CO) Markey (MA)
Graves Grayson	Markey (MA) Marshall
Green, Al	Massa
Green, Gene Griffith	Matheson Matsui
Grijalva Guthrio	McCarthy (CA)
Guthrie Gutierrez	McCarthy (NY) McCaul
Hall (NY)	McCollum
Hall (TX) Halvorson	McCollum McCotter
Hare	McGovern
Harman Harper	McHenry
Hastings (FL)	McIntyre
Hastings (WA) Heinrich	McKeon McMahon
Heller	McMorris
Hensarling Herger	Rodgers McNerney
Herseth Sandlin	Meek (FL)
Higgins Hill	Meeks (NY) Melancon
Himes	Mica
Hinchey Hinojosa	Michaud Miller (FL)
Hirono	Miller (MI)
Hodes Hoekstra	Miller (NC) Miller, Gary
Holden	Miller, George
Holt Honda	Minnick Mitchell
Hoyer	Mollohan
Hunter Inglis	Moore (KS) Moore (WI)
Inslee	Moran (KS)
Israel Issa	Moran (VA) Murphy (CT)
Jackson (IL)	Murphy (CT) Murphy (NY)

Jackson (IL)

Jackson-Lee

(TX)

Murphy (NY)

Murphy, Patrick Murphy, Tim

Murtha Myrick Nadler (NY) Napolitano Neal (MA) Neugebauer Norton Nye Oberstar Obey Olson Olver Ortiz Pallone Pascrell Pastor (AZ) Paulsen Payne irkpatrick (AZ) Perlmutter Perriello Peterson Petri Pierluisi Pingree (ME) Pitts Platts Poe (TX) Polis (CO) Pomeroy Posey Price (GA) Price (NC) Putnam Quiglev Rahall Rangel Rehberg Reichert Reyes Richardson Rodriguez Roe (TN) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rogers (MI) Rohrabacher Rooney Ros-Lehtinen ungren, Daniel Roskam Ross Rothman (NJ) Roybal-Allard Rovce Ruppersberger Rvan (OH) Ryan (WI) Sablan Salazar Sánchez, Linda Sanchez, Loretta Sarbanes Scalise Schakowsky Schauer Schiff Schmidt Schock Schrader

Schwartz Scott (GA) Sensenbrenner Serrano Sessions Sestak Shadegg Shea-Porter Sherman Shimkus Shuler Shuster Simpson Sires Skelton Slaughter Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX)

Towns Weiner Stupak Sullivan Tsongas Welch Sutton Turner Westmoreland Taylor Upton Wexler Van Hollen Teague Whitfield Terry Visclosky Wilson (OH) Thompson (CA) Walden Wilson (SC) Thompson (MS) Walz Wittman Thompson (PA) Wamp Wolf Thornberry Wasserman Woolsev Schultz Tiahrt Wıı Tiberi Waters Yarmuth Watson Tiernev Young (AK) Watt Young (FL) Tonko Waxman NOT VOTING-Abercrombie CostaRadanovich

Berman Velázquez Bishop (GA) Paul ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining in this

Scott (VA)

Tanner

Emerson

McHugh

Bachmann

vote.

Smith (WA)

Snyder

Souder

Space

Speier

Spratt

Stark

Stearns

Barrett (SC)

□ 1329

So the amendment was agreed to. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 24 OFFERED BY MR. GUTHRIE

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. GUTH-RIE) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

Clerk will The redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 5minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 165, noes 265, not voting 9, as follows:

[Roll No. 717]

AYES-165

Aderholt	Cassidy	Hall (TX)
Akin	Castle	Harper
Alexander	Chaffetz	Hastings (WA)
Austria	Coble	Heller
Bachmann	Coffman (CO)	Hensarling
Bachus	Cole	Herger
Bartlett	Conaway	Hoekstra
Barton (TX)	Crenshaw	Hunter
Biggert	Culberson	Inglis
Bilbray	Davis (KY)	Issa
Bilirakis	Deal (GA)	Jenkins
Bishop (UT)	Dent	Johnson (IL)
Blackburn	Diaz-Balart, L.	Johnson, Sam
Blunt	Diaz-Balart, M.	Jones
Boehner	Dreier	Jordan (OH)
Bonner	Duncan	King (IA)
Bono Mack	Ehlers	King (NY)
Boozman	Emerson	Kingston
Boustany	Fallin	Kline (MN)
Brady (TX)	Flake	Lamborn
Broun (GA)	Fleming	Lance
Brown (SC)	Forbes	Latham
Brown-Waite,	Fortenberry	LaTourette
Ginny	Franks (AZ)	Latta
Buchanan	Frelinghuysen	Lee (NY)
Burgess	Gallegly	Lewis (CA)
Burton (IN)	Garrett (NJ)	LoBiondo
Buyer	Gerlach	Lucas
Calvert	Gingrey (GA)	Luetkemeyer
Camp	Gohmert	Lummis
Campbell	Goodlatte	Lungren, Daniel
Cantor	Granger	E.
Capito	Graves	Mack
Carter	Guthrie	Manzullo

Marchant Posev McCarthy (CA) Putnam McCaul Rehberg McClintock Reichert McCotter Roe (TN) McHenry Rogers (AL) McKeon Rogers (KY) McMorris Rogers (MI) Rodgers Mica. Rooney Miller (FL) Roskam Miller (MI) Royce Ryan (WI) Miller, Gary Moran (KS) Scalise Schmidt Murphy, Tim Myrick Schock Neugebauer Olson Sessions Paulsen Shadegg Pence Shimkus Pitts Shuster Poe (TX) Simpson

Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Souder Stearns Sullivan Terry Thompson (PA) Rohrabacher Thornberry Tiahrt Tiberi Turner Upton Walden Wamp Westmoreland Sensenbrenner Whitfield Wilson (SC) Wittman Wolf Young (AK) Young (FL)

Massa

NOES-265

Faleomayaega Ackerman Adler (NJ) Farr Altmire Fattah Andrews Filner Arcuri Foster Baca Foxx Frank (MA) Baird Baldwin Fudge Barrow Giffords Bean Gonzalez Gordon (TN) Becerra Berkley Grayson Berman Green, Al Berry Green, Gene Bishop (GA) Griffith Bishop (NY) Grijalva Blumenauer Gutierrez Boccieri Bordallo Hall (NY) Halvorson Hare Boswell 8 | Harman Boucher Hastings (FL) Boyd Heinrich Brady (PA) Herseth Sandlin Braley (IA) Higgins Bright Hill Brown, Corrine Himes Butterfield Hinchey Hinojosa Cao Capps Hirono Capuano Hodes Cardoza Holden Carnahan Holt Honda Carney Carson (IN) Hover Castor (FL) Inslee Chandler Israel Jackson (IL) Childers Christensen Jackson-Lee Clarke Johnson (GA) Clay Johnson, E. B. Cleaver Kagen Kaniorski Clyburn Cohen Kaptur Connolly (VA) Kennedy Convers Kildee Cooper Kilpatrick (MI) Costello Kilroy Courtney Kind Crowley Kirk Cuellar Kirkpatrick (AZ) Cummings Kissell Klein (FL) Dahlkemper Davis (AL) Kosmas Davis (CA) Kratovil Davis (IL) Kucinich Davis (TN) Langevin DeFazio Larsen (WA) DeGette Larson (CT) Delahunt Lee (CA) DeLauro Levin Dicks Lewis (GA) Dingell Linder Doggett Lipinski Donnelly (IN) Loebsack Lofgren, Zoe Dovle Driehaus Lowey Edwards (MD) Luján Edwards (TX) Lynch Maffei Ellison Ellsworth Maloney Markey (CO) Engel Eshoo Markey (MA) Etheridge Marshall

Matheson Matsui McCarthy (NY) McCollum McDermott McGovern McIntyre McMahon McNernev Meek (FL) Melancon Michaud Miller (NC) Miller, George Minnick Mitchell Mollohan Moore (KS) Moore (WI) Moran (VA) Murphy (CT) Murphy (NY) Murphy, Patrick Murtha Nadler (NY) Napolitano Neal (MA) Norton Nye Oberstar Obey Olver Ortiz Pallone Pascrell Pastor (AZ) Payne Perlmutter Perriello Peters Peterson Petri Pierluisi Pingree (ME) Platts Polis (CO) Pomeroy Price (GA) Price (NC) Quigley Rahall Rangel Reyes Richardson Rodriguez Ros-Lehtinen Ross Rothman (NJ) Roybal-Allard Ruppersberger Rush Ryan (OH) Sablan Salazar Sánchez, Linda T. Sanchez, Loretta Sarbanes Schakowsky Schauer Schiff Schrader Schwartz

Scott (GA)

Scott (VA) Serrano Sestak Shea-Porter Sherman Shuler Sires	Stupak Sutton Taylor Teague Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Tiernev	Wasserman Schultz Waters Watson Watt Waxman
Skelton Slaughter Smith (WA) Snyder Space Speier Spratt Stark	Titus Tonko Towns Tsongas Van Hollen Velázquez Visclosky Walz	Weiner Welch Wexler Wilson (OH) Woolsey Wu Yarmuth

NOT VOTING-9

Abercrombie McHugh Paul Barrett (SC) Meeks (NY) Radanovich Costa Nunes Tanner

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 1337

Mr. SCHRADER changed his vote from "aye" to "no."

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended.

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended, was agreed to.

The Acting CHAIR. Accordingly, under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. SERRANO) having assumed the chair, Mr. HOLDEN, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 3221) to amend the Higher Education Act of 1965, and for other purposes, pursuant to House Resolution 746, he reported the bill back to the House with an amendment adopted by the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the amendment.

The amendment was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. ISSA. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. ISSA. I am, in its current form. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Issa moves to recommit the bill H.R. 3221 to the Committee on Education and Labor with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith, with the following amendment:

Add at the end the following new title (and conform the table of contents accordingly):

TITLE VI—DEFUND ACORN ACT

SECTION 601. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Defund ACORN Act".

SEC. 602. PROHIBITIONS ON FEDERAL FUNDS AND OTHER ACTIVITIES WITH RE-SPECT TO CERTAIN INDICTED ORGA-NIZATIONS.

- (a) PROHIBITIONS.—With respect to any covered organization, the following prohibitions apply:
- (1) No Federal contract, grant, cooperative agreement, or any other form of agreement (including a memorandum of understanding) may be awarded to or entered into with the organization.
- (2) No Federal funds in any other form may be provided to the organization.
- (3) No Federal employee or contractor may promote in any way (including recommending to a person or referring to a person for any purpose) the organization.
- (b) COVERED ORGANIZATION.—In this section, the term "covered organization" means any of the following:
- (1) Any organization that has been indicted for a violation under any Federal or State law governing the financing of a campaign for election for public office or any law governing the administration of an election for public office, including a law relating to voter registration.
- (2) Any organization that had its State corporate charter terminated due to its failure to comply with Federal or State lobbying disclosure requirements.
- (3) Any organization that has filed a fraudulent form with any Federal or State regulatory agency.
 - (4) Any organization that—
- (A) employs any applicable individual, in a permanent or temporary capacity;
- (B) has under contract or retains any applicable individual; or
- (C) has any applicable individual acting on the organization's behalf or with the express or apparent authority of the organization.
- (c) Additional Definitions.—In this section:
- (1) The term "organization" includes the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now (in this subsection referred to as "ACORN") and any ACORN-related affiliate
- (2) The term "ACORN-related affiliate" means any of the following:
- (A) Any State chapter of ACORN registered with the Secretary of State's office in that State.
- (B) Any organization that shares directors, employees, or independent contractors with ACORN.
- $\left(C\right)$ Any organization that has a financial stake in ACORN.
- (D) Any organization whose finances, whether federally funded, donor-funded, or raised through organizational goods and services, are shared or controlled by ACORN.
- (3) The term "applicable individual" means an individual who has been indicted for a violation under Federal or State law relating to an election for Federal or State office.
- (d) REVISION OF FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION.—The Federal Acquisition Regulation shall be revised to carry out the provisions of this title relating to contracts.

Mr. ISSA (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent for waiving the reading of the remainder of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from California is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. ISSA. Mr. Speaker, this motion to recommit is critical at this time. As many people in this body realize, the scandals surrounding the criminal activities of ACORN have called into question their role in all aspects of government, including aspects covered in this bill. The funding they've received under Health and Human Services, title IV, which is covered in this bill, and other areas make it extremely important that we consider it at this time.

ACORN, as our committee had previously reported, is an organization with a long history of criminal indictments and activities, so much so that in fact the Census Bureau has, on its own, removed its funding. The Senate has voted 83–7 to remove funding; we must do the same thing.

This motion to recommit deliberately is here because in fact this is a funding-related activity. This is one in which we understand that the very fundamental of taxpayer dollars being properly used and supported is at stake.

There is no question as to where ACORN stands, where the administration and multiple Governors, including my own Governor, Arnold Schwarzenegger, have called for this investigation; 130 Members of this body have called on the President in fact to defund.

So the motion to recommit, narrow in scope, simply makes the defunding of ACORN a portion of this bill, makes it clear that the Members of this House do not support ACORN's activities, including child trafficking, prostitution, and in fact a great many other criminal activities, including voter fraud. This is timely, it is targeted, and it is time that this House act.

I move the motion, and ask for it to be voted positively.

Mr. Speaker, this motion to recommit is simple. It's about protecting students and tax-

Éarlier this week, more than 130 House Republicans wrote to President Obama requesting that he take immediate action to cut off all federal funding of the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now, or ACORN.

ACORN has been linked to multiple instances of voter registration fraud and other illicit activity. In recent days, media accounts have detailed ACORN employees' alleged complicity in illegal schemes too unseemly to discuss in this chamber. To continue funding this organization would not just be indefensible—it would be an outrage.

An analysis of federal data shows that ACORN has received more than \$53 million in direct funding from the Federal Government since 1994, and has likely received substantially more indirectly through States and localities that receive Federal block grants.

The Census Bureau recently decided to sever all ties with ACORN to ensure the integrity of their operations. This was the right decision. Unfortunately, ACORN's links to the Federal Government do not stop with the Census Bureau. This organization has infiltrated a host of federal programs, consuming taxpayer dollars even as it has repeatedly been found to engage in criminal activity.

To fully protect taxpayers, we must enact a comprehensive ban on Federal funding for this

corrupt and criminal organization. This motion to recommit will do exactly that.

Republicans have introduced legislation—the Defund ACORN Act—to put an immediate stop to Federal funding for this crooked bunch.

Ú.S. International Agreements: The iron and steel section states: "This section shall be applied in a manner consistent with United States obligations under international agreements." This applies government-wide.

Any and all Federal agencies: Section 505(a) is open to other entities the Secretary deems appropriate—an open-ended inclusion that could apply to any Federal agency.

The tentacles of this legislation reach into the economy, our education system, our workforce system, and a host of other areas. It is truly a comprehensive bill—and a comprehensive ban on funding for ACORN, such as that included in this motion, is what is needed.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the motion, although I will not oppose the motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I want to begin by thanking so many Members of the House that have supported this bill today on this floor. The bipartisan support we had for so many of the amendments, the debate and the dialogue that we had, thank you so yery much.

When the President talked about the future of the American economy, he made it very clear that if we were going to be competitive in the rest of the world, if we were going to emerge in that top competitive position in the next generation of the globalized economy, where so many more countries are now able to educate young people, provide world-class organizations, universities, research facilities, that we had to change our education system, that we had to make a major investment, that we no longer could just think about how much money we put into education. We had to start thinking about the outcomes and whether we were getting the results for young people all across this country, were we getting the results for businesses across this country, were we getting the results for families.

He made that very clear with the Race to the Top fund that is getting such wide reception and acceptance from Governors all over the country, from school districts, from unions, from families and organizations to see that change. He has extended that to the colleges and universities.

It is not enough that a student enters a college, that he or she is eligible to go to college. The question is, Will they graduate from that college? And what he has put in this legislation is a discussion and a requirement that we understand how many people who enter college obtain that certificate for a career, that AA degree in a 2-year col-

lege, their ability to go on to a 4-year college. That's the first time we've ever asked that question.

But it's terribly important, when two-thirds of the people going to college today are borrowing money, when they're borrowing money, we need to know that the colleges are providing the right kind of educational experience and the opportunity to succeed. That's what you've been voting for all day long, and I want to thank you because it will change the direction, it will change the direction in which we are going in this Nation. And I think it will dramatically enhance our possibilities of remaining the top competitive country in the world.

That's why the Business Roundtable spoke to the issue of the community college provisions in this bill, how important they were so that the community colleges could become a catalyst for economic revitalization, for retooling, for dislocation, so that communities that are welcoming a new industry or communities that are losing an old one and thinking about where to go in the future, to make the community colleges the center of that training and education that so many American workers and families are seeking out today. That's what you voted to do in this bill.

□ 1345

I want to thank you very much.

Mr. NADLER of New York. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. I will not yield. I want to thank you very much.

As to this amendment, ACORN gets, I believe, no money under this bill, but that's not the issue. The issue is that I will support the gentleman's motion to instruct. We have a world-class bill here. We have a bill of opportunity for families, for students, for employers, for our country, and for our economy. I hope you will support it. Vote for the motion to instruct. Vote for this bill on final passage.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. ISSA. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, the Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote on the question of passage.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 345, noes 75, answered "present" 2, not voting 11, as follows:

[Roll No. 718] AYES—345

Ackerman Driehaus Aderholt Duncan Adler (N.I) Edwards (TX) Akin Ehlers Alexander Ellsworth Altmire Emerson Andrews Eshoo Etheridge Arcuri Austria. Fallin Baca Farr Bachmann Flake Bachus Fleming Baird Forbes Barrow Fortenberry Bartlett. Foster Barton (TX) Foxx Franks (AZ) Berkley Frelinghuysen Berman Gallegly Garrett (NJ) Berry Biggert. Gerlach Bilbray Giffords Bilirakis Gingrey (GA) Bishop (GA) Gohmert Gonzalez Bishop (NY) Bishop (UT) Goodlatte Gordon (TN) Blackburn Blumenauer Granger Blunt Graves Boccieri Gravson Boehner Green, Gene Bonner Griffith Bono Mack Guthrie Boozman Gutierrez Boren Hall (NY) Boswell Hall (TX) Boucher Halvorson Boustany Hare Boyd Harman Brady (TX) Harper Hastings (WA) Braley (IA) Bright Heinrich Broun (GA) Heller Hensarling Brown (SC) Brown-Waite, Herger Herseth Sandlin Ginny Buchanan Higgins Burgess Burton (IN) Himes Hinojosa. Buver Hodes Camp Hoekstra. Campbell Holden Cantor Hover Hunter Cao Capito Inglis Capps Cardoza Israel Carnahan Issa Carney Jenkins Carter Johnson (GA) Johnson (IL) Cassidv Castle Johnson, Sam Chaffetz Jones Jordan (OH) Chandler Childers Kagen Kaniorski Chu Clay Kaptur Coble Kennedy Coffman (CO) Kildee Cohen Kilroy Cole Kind King (IA) Conaway Convers King (NY) Cooper Kingston Costello Kirk Kirkpatrick (AZ) Courtney Crenshaw Kissell Klein (FL) Cuellar Culberson Kline (MN) Dahlkemper Kosmas Kratovil Davis (AL) Davis (CA) Lamborn Davis (KY) Lance Langevin Davis (TN) Larson (CT) Deal (GA) DeFazio Latham LaTourette DeLauro Latta Lee (NY) Dent Diaz-Balart, L. Diaz-Balart, M. Levin Lewis (CA) Dicks Dingell Linder Doggett Lipinski

Donnelly (IN)

Dreier

LoBiondo

Loebsack

Lofgren, Zoe Lowey Lucas Luetkemeyer Luján Lummis Lungren, Daniel Ε. Mack Maffei Maloney Manzullo Marchant Markey (CO) Marshall Massa Matheson Matsui McCarthy (CA) McCarthy (NY) McCaul McClintock McCotter McHenry McIntyre McKeon McMahon McMorris Rodgers McNernev Meek (FL) Melancon Mica Michaud Miller (FL) Miller (MI) Miller (NC) Miller, Gary Miller, George Minnick Mitchell Moore (KS) Moran (KS) Murphy (CT) Murphy (NY) Murphy, Patrick Murphy, Tim Murtha Myrick Napolitano Neugebauer Nye Oberstar Obey Olson Ortiz Pastor (AZ) Paulsen Pence Perlmutter Perriello Peters Peterson Petri Pingree (ME) Pitts Platts Poe (TX) Pomeroy Posev Price (GA) Putnam Quigley Rehberg Reichert Reves Richardson Rodriguez Roe (TN) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rogers (MI) Rohrabacher Roonev Ros-Lehtinen Roskam Ross Rothman (NJ) Royce Ruppersberger Ryan (OH) Ryan (WI) Salazar

Sanchez, Loretta

Sarbanes

Scalise

NOES-75

ANSWERED "PRESENT"-2

Hastings (FL)

Abercrombie

Barrett (SC)

NOT VOTING-11

Paul

Radanovich

Watt

Costa

Clarke Connolly (VA)	McHugh Nunes	Tanne	r
ANNOUNCEMEN	T BY THE SE	PEAKER PRO	TEMPOR
The SDEA	KER pro	tempore	(durin

Frank (MA)

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE
The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining on this vote.

□ 1406

Messrs. RAHALL, MOLLOHAN and ENGEL changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

Ms. ESHOO, Messrs. WELCH, INS-LEE, FARR, DOGGETT, MINNICK, Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Messrs. AKIN, EHLERS and JOHNSON of Georgia changed their vote from "no" to "aye."

So the motion to recommit was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to the instructions of the House in the motion to recommit, I report the bill, H.R. 3221, back to the House with an amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the amendment.

The Clerk read as follows:

Add at the end the following new title (and conform the table of contents accordingly):

TITLE VI—DEFUND ACORN ACT

SECTION 601. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Defund ACORN Act ".

SEC. 602. PROHIBITIONS ON FEDERAL FUNDS AND OTHER ACTIVITIES WITH RE-SPECT TO CERTAIN INDICTED ORGA-NIZATIONS.

- (a) PROHIBITIONS.—With respect to any covered organization, the following prohibitions apply:
- (1) No Federal contract, grant, cooperative agreement, or any other form of agreement (including a memorandum of understanding) may be awarded to or entered into with the organization.
- (2) No Federal funds in any other form may be provided to the organization.
- (3) No Federal employee or contractor may promote in any way (including recommending to a person or referring to a person for any purpose) the organization.
- (b) COVERED ORGANIZATION.—In this section, the term "covered organization" means any of the following:
- (1) Any organization that has been indicted for a violation under any Federal or State law governing the financing of a campaign for election for public office or any law governing the administration of an election for public office, including a law relating to voter registration.
- (2) Any organization that had its State corporate charter terminated due to its failure to comply with Federal or State lobbying disclosure requirements.
- (3) Any organization that has filed a fraudulent form with any Federal or State regulatory agency.
- (4) Any organization that—
- (A) employs any applicable individual, in a permanent or temporary capacity;
- (B) has under contract or retains any applicable individual; or
- (C) has any applicable individual acting on the organization's behalf or with the express or apparent authority of the organization.
- (c) ADDITIONAL DEFINITIONS.—In this section:
- (1) The term "organization" includes the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now (in this subsection referred to as "ACORN") and any ACORN-related affiliate.
- (2) The term "ACORN-related affiliate" means any of the following:
- (A) Any State chapter of ACORN registered with the Secretary of State's office in that State.
- (B) Any organization that shares directors, employees, or independent contractors with ACORN.
- $\left(C\right)$ Any organization that has a financial stake in ACORN.
- (D) Any organization whose finances, whether federally funded, donor-funded, or raised through organizational goods and services, are shared or controlled by ACORN.
- (3) The term "applicable individual" means an individual who has been indicted for a violation under Federal or State law relating to an election for Federal or State office.
- (d) REVISION OF FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION.—The Federal Acquisition Regulation shall be revised to carry out the provisions of this title relating to contracts.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. GEORGE MILLER OF CALIFORNIA

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California (during the reading). I ask unanimous consent to suspend with the reading of the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the amendment.

The amendment was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 253, noes 171, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 719]

AYES—253

Ackerman Edwards (TX) Lofgren, Zoe Adler (NJ) Ellison Lowey Altmire Ellsworth Luján Andrews Engel Lynch Arcuri Eshoo Maffei Baca Etheridge Maloney Markey (CO) Baird Farr Fattah Baldwin Markey (MA) Marshall Barrow Bean Foster Massa. Matheson Becerra Fudge Giffords Berkley Matsui McCarthy (NY) Berman Gonzalez Gordon (TN) Berry McCollum Bishop (GA) Grayson McDermott Bishop (NY) Green Al McGovern Green, Gene McIntyre Blumenauer Boccieri Griffith McNerney Boren Grijalya. Meek (FL) Meeks (NY) Boswell Gutierrez Boucher Hall (NY) Melancon Brady (PA) Halvorson Michaud Miller (NC) Braley (IA) Hare Bright Harman Miller, George Brown, Corrine Hastings (FL) Minnick Buchanan Heinrich Mitchell Butterfield Higgins Mollohan Cao Hill Moore (KS) Capps Himes Moore (WI) Capuano Hinchey Moran (VA) Murphy (CT) Cardoza Hinoiosa Carnahan Hirono Murphy (NY) Carney Hodes Murphy, Patrick Carson (IN) Holden Murtha Nadler (NY) Castor (FL) Holt Honda. Chandler Napolitano Childers Neal (MA) Hover Inslee Nye Oberstar Clarke Israel Jackson (IL) Obey Clay Cleaver Jackson-Lee Olver Clyburn (TX) Ortiz Johnson (GA) Pallone Cohen Connolly (VA) Johnson (IL) Pascrell Johnson, E. B. Pastor (AZ) Cooper Costello Payne Kagen Courtney Pelosi Kaptur Perlmutter Crowley Kennedy Cuellar Kildee Perriello Peters Cummings Kilpatrick (MI) Dahlkemper Kilroy Peterson Davis (AL) Petri Davis (CA) Kirkpatrick (AZ) Pingree (ME) Davis (IL) Platts Kissell Klein (FL) Davis (TN) Polis (CO) DeFazio Kosmas Pomeroy Price (NC) Kratovil DeGette Delahunt Kucinich Quigley DeLauro Langevin Rahall Larsen (WA) Dicks Rangel Dingell Larson (CT) Reyes Doggett Lee (CA) Richardson Donnelly (IN) Levin Rodriguez Lewis (GA) Doyle Ros-Lehtinen Driehaus Lipinski Ross Edwards (MD) Loebsack Rothman (NJ)

Roybal-Allard Shuler Tsongas Ruppersberger Van Hollen Sires Rush Skelton Velázquez Rvan (OH) Slaughter Visclosky Smith (WA) Salazar Walz Sánchez, Linda Snyder Wasserman Space Schultz Sanchez, Loretta Speier Waters Sarbanes Spratt Watson Schakowsky Stark Watt Schauer Stupak Waxman Schiff Sutton Weiner Schrader Taylor Welch Schwartz Teague Wexler Thompson (CA) Scott (GA) Wilson (OH) Scott (VA) Thompson (MS) Tierney Woolsey Sestak Titus Wu Yarmuth Shea-Porter Tonko Sherman

NOES-171 Aderholt Franks (AZ) Mica Akin Alexander Miller (FL) Frelinghuysen Gallegly Miller (MI) Austria Garrett (NJ) Miller, Gary Bachmann Gerlach Moran (KS) Gingrey (GA) Murphy, Tim Bachus Bartlett Gohmert Myrick Barton (TX) Goodlatte Neugebauer Olson Biggert Granger Bilbray Graves Paulsen Bilirakis Guthrie Pence Bishop (UT) Hall (TX) Pitts Blackburn Harper Poe (TX) Hastings (WA) Blunt Posev Price (GA) Heller Boehner Bonner Hensarling Putnam Bono Mack Herger Rehberg Herseth Sandlin Boozman Reichert Boustany Hoekstra. Roe (TN) Boyd Hunter Rogers (AL) Brady (TX) Rogers (KY) Inglis Broun (GA) Tssa. Rogers (MI) Jenkins Brown (SC) Rohrabacher Brown-Waite, Johnson, Sam Rooney Ginny Jones Roskam Jordan (OH) Burgess Royce Ryan (WI) Burton (IN) Kanjorski Buver King (IA) Scalise Calvert Schmidt King (NY) Kingston Camp Schock Campbell Kirk Sensenbrenner Kline (MN) Sessions Cantor Lamborn Shadegg Capito Carter Lance Shimkus Cassidy Latham Shuster Castle LaTourette Simpson Chaffetz Smith (NE) Latta Coble Lee (NY) Smith (NJ) Coffman (CO) Lewis (CA) Smith (TX) Cole Linder Souder Conaway LoBiondo Stearns Crenshaw Lucas Sullivan Luetkemever Culberson Terry Thompson (PA) Davis (KY) Lummis Lungren, Daniel Deal (GA) Thornberry Dent Tiahrt E. Diaz-Balart, L. Mack Tiberi Manzullo Diaz-Balart, M. Turner Dreier Marchant Upton McCarthy (CA) Walden Duncan Ehlers McCaul Wamp McClintock Westmoreland Emerson McCotter Whitfield Wilson (SC) Fallin Flake McHenry Fleming McKeon Wittman McMahon Wolf Forbes Fortenberry Young (AK) McMorris Foxx Rodgers Young (FL)

NOT VOTING-

Frank (MA) Abercrombie Radanovich Barrett (SC) McHugh Tanner Convers Nunes

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining on this vote.

□ 1416

Mr. KAGEN changed his vote from "no" to "aye."

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. NUNES. Mr. Speaker, on the legislative day of Thursday, September 17, 2009, I was unavoidably detained and was unable to cast a vote on a number of rollcall votes. Had I been present, I would have voted: Rollcall 710—"aye"; rollcall 711—"aye"; rollcall 712— "aye"; rollcall 713—"aye"; rollcall 714—"aye"; rollcall 715—"aye"; rollcall 716—"aye"; rollcall 717—"aye"; rollcall 718—"aye"; rollcall 719-"no."

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, today I inadvertently cast a "yea" vote for a motion to recommit on H.R. 3200 and did not vote for final passage. I intended to vote "no" on the motion to recommit and "yea" on final passage of the bill.

□ 1415

AUTHORIZING THE CLERK TO MAKE CORRECTIONS EN-IN GROSSMENT OF H.R. 3221, STU-DENT AID AND FISCAL RESPON-SIBILITY ACT OF 2009

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the staffs of the Education and Labor Committee on both sides of the aisle for all of their hard work, and I ask unanimous consent that the Clerk be authorized to make technical corrections in the engrossment of H.R. 3221, to include corrections in spelling, punctuation, section numbering and cross-referencing, and the assertion of appropriate headings.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. MASSA). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 3226

Mr. KINGSTON, Mr. Speaker, I rise to make a unanimous consent request because I am very sorry that my office inadvertently put my friend, Mr. WIL-LIAM CLAY, on a bill which he did not intend to cosponsor. It was our mistake, not Mr. CLAY's. So I ask unanimous consent that we remove the name of Mr. WILLIAM LACY Clay from H.R. 3226.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Georgia?

There was no objection.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. CANTOR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of inquiring about next week's schedule, and I yield to the gen-

tleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER), the majority leader, for the purpose of announcing next week's schedule.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the Republican

whip for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, on Monday the House will meet at 4 p.m. for pro forma session. On Tuesday, the House will meet at 12:30 p.m. for morning-hour debate and 2 p.m. for legislative business. On Wednesday and Thursday, the House will meet at 10 a.m. for legislative business. On Friday, the House will meet at 9 a.m. for legislative business.

We will consider several bills under suspension of the rules. The complete list of suspension bills will be announced by the close of business to-

morrow, as is the custom.

In addition, Mr. Speaker, we will consider H.R. 3548, the Unemployment Compensation Act of 2009: H.R. 324, the Santa Cruz Valley National Heritage Area Act; and a resolution which will make continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 2010, and for other purposes.

I yield back.

Mr. CANTOR. I thank the gentleman. Mr. Speaker, as the gentleman indicated, we will be considering several extensions of expiring law next week. I would like to ask, though, with the recent reports of the Senate Finance Committee marking up their health care bill, whether the gentleman could tell us if the House could expect that health care legislation would be moving to the floor either next week or sometime soon.

I yield.

Mr. HOYER. Well, I hope sometime soon, but not next week. That is certainly the case. We will be moving the health care bill as soon as it is ready to be moved. Obviously, as you say, the Senate put a bill on the table. It will be marking that up next week. But our committees are working on bringing three bills that have passed out of committees together. As soon as they are ready to go, and I can't predict when that will be, we will bring the bill to the floor. But I reiterate, it is not going to be next week.

Mr. CANTOR. I thank the gentleman. I would like to ask the follow-up, Mr. Speaker, whether the gentleman believes the House will be waiting for the Senate to act prior to a bill coming to the floor of this House.

I yield.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

No, the House will be, as I said, moving the bill to the floor when the House is ready to do so. We don't know what the Senate schedule will be so we are going to proceed on our own schedule. And then obviously at some point in time the bills will have to be conferenced and reconciled.

Mr. CANTOR. I thank the gentleman. Mr. Speaker, I would like to turn to the question next week surrounding the House's actions regarding issues confronting the assembly of the United Nations in New York next week.

Mr. Speaker, as the gentleman knows, and he and I both traveled to