

the proposal. No comments were received.

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be viewed at: [www.ams.usda.gov/MarketingOrdersSmallBusinessGuide](http://www.ams.usda.gov/MarketingOrdersSmallBusinessGuide). Any questions about the compliance guide should be sent to Jeffrey Smutny at the previously mentioned address in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

After consideration of all relevant matter presented, including the information and recommendation submitted by the Committee and other available information, it is hereby found that this action, as hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

It is further found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this final rule until 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** (5 U.S.C. 553) because the 2013–2014 term of office will begin on June 1, 2013. Further, handlers are aware of this action, which was recommended at a public meeting. Also, a 60-day comment period was provided for in the proposed rule.

#### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 948

Marketing agreements, Potatoes, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 948 is amended as follows:

#### PART 948—IRISH POTATOES GROWN IN COLORADO

- 1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 948 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 601–674.

- 2. In § 948.150, paragraph (a)(3) is revised to read as follows:

#### § 948.150 Reestablishment of committee membership.

\* \* \* \* \*

(a) \* \* \*

(3) One (1) producer from either Conejos or Costilla County.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: May 17, 2013.

**Rex A. Barnes,**

*Associate Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. 2013–12240 Filed 5–22–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–02–P**

#### BUREAU OF CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION

##### 12 CFR Part 1026

[Docket No. CFPB–2013–0009]

RIN 3170–AA37

#### Amendments to the 2013 Escrows Final Rule under the Truth in Lending Act (Regulation Z)

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

**ACTION:** Final rule; official interpretations.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (Bureau) is issuing clarifying and technical amendments to a final rule issued by the Bureau on January 10, 2013, which, among other things, lengthens the time for which a mandatory escrow account established for a higher-priced mortgage loan (HPML) must be maintained. The rule also established an exemption from the escrow requirement for certain creditors that operate predominantly in “rural” or “underserved” areas. The amendments clarify the determination method for the “rural” and “underserved” designations and keep in place certain existing protections for HPMLs until other similar provisions take effect in January 2014.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on June 1, 2013, except for the addition of § 1026.35(e), which will be effective from June 1, 2013 through January 9, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Whitney Patross, Attorney; Joseph Devlin and Richard Arculin, Counsels; Office of Regulations, at (202) 435–7700.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Summary of Final Rule

In January 2013, the Bureau issued several final rules concerning mortgage markets in the United States pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act), Public Law 111–203, 124 Stat. 1376 (2010) (2013 Title XIV Final Rules). One of these rules was Escrow Requirements Under the Truth in Lending Act (Regulation Z) (2013 Escrows Final Rule),<sup>1</sup> issued on January 10.<sup>2</sup> The rule expanded on an existing

<sup>1</sup> 78 FR 4726 (Jan. 22, 2013).

<sup>2</sup> The other rules include: Ability-to-Repay and Qualified Mortgage Standards under the Truth in Lending Act (Regulation Z) (2013 ATR Final Rule), 78 FR 6407 (Jan. 30, 2013); High-Cost Mortgages and Homeownership Counseling Amendments to the Truth in Lending Act (Regulation Z) and Homeownership Counseling Amendments to the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (Regulation

Z requirement that creditors maintain escrow accounts for HPMLs<sup>3</sup> and created an exemption for certain loans made by certain creditors that operate predominantly in “rural” or “underserved” areas. Three other of the 2013 Title XIV Final Rules also contain provisions affecting certain loans made in “rural” or “underserved” areas.

This final rule now makes certain clarifying and technical amendments to the provisions adopted in the 2013 Escrows Final Rule, including clarification of how to determine whether a county is considered “rural” or “underserved” for the application of the escrows requirement and the other Dodd-Frank Act regulations.<sup>4</sup> Specifically, the Bureau is clarifying how a county’s “rural” and “underserved” status may be determined based on currently applicable Urban Influence Codes (UICs) established by the United States Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service (USDA–ERS) (for “rural”) or based on Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) data (for

X) (2013 HOEPA Final Rule), 78 FR 6855 (Jan. 31, 2013); Disclosure and Delivery Requirements for Copies of Appraisals and Other Written Valuations under the Equal Credit Opportunity Act (Regulation B) (2013 ECOA Appraisals Final Rule), 78 FR 7215 (Jan. 31, 2013); Mortgage Servicing Rules Under the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (Regulation X) (2013 RESPA Servicing Final Rule), 78 FR 10695 (Feb. 14, 2013); Mortgage Servicing Rules Under the Truth in Lending Act (Regulation Z) (2013 TILA Servicing Final Rule), 78 FR 10901 (Feb. 14, 2013); Appraisals for Higher-Priced Mortgage Loans (issued jointly with other agencies) (2013 Interagency Appraisals Final Rule), 78 FR 10367 (Feb. 13, 2013); and Loan Originator Compensation Requirements under the Truth in Lending Act (Regulation Z) (2013 Loan Originator Final Rule), 78 FR 11279 (Feb. 15, 2013). On the same day that the Bureau issued the 2013 ATR Final Rule, it also issued a proposal to amend some aspects of it (2013 ATR Concurrent Proposal), 78 FR 6621 (Jan. 30, 2013).

<sup>3</sup> The Bureau has received questions regarding the timing of the establishment of escrow accounts under § 1026.35. The Bureau understands that escrow accounts are arranged before consummation of a loan, and funded at consummation. Such procedures are in compliance with the regulation. In addition, the Bureau has received questions about loan modifications and would like to point out that the escrow requirement for HPMLs does not apply to modifications to existing loans, only refinances. For guidance on which changes to existing loans will be treated as refinances under Regulation Z, see 12 CFR 1026.20(a) and associated commentary.

<sup>4</sup> The specific provisions that rely on the “rural” and “underserved” definitions are as follows: (1) the § 1026.35(b)(2)(iii) exemption to the 2013 Escrows Final Rule’s escrow requirement for higher-priced mortgage loans; (2) the § 1026.43(f) allowance for balloon-payment qualified mortgages; (3) the § 1026.32(d)(1)(ii)(C) exemption from the balloon-payment prohibition on high-cost mortgages for balloon-payment qualified mortgages; and (4) the § 1026.35(c)(4)(vii)(H) exemption from the § 1026.35(c)(4)(i) HPML second appraisal requirement for credit transactions used to acquire property located in a rural county.

“underserved”) and providing illustrations of the rule to facilitate compliance.

In association with the issuance of this final rule providing clarifying amendments to the 2013 Escrows Final Rule, the Bureau is posting on its public Web site a final list of rural and underserved counties, for use with mortgages consummated from June 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013. The final list is identical to the preliminary list posted on the Bureau’s public Web site on March 12, 2013. The Bureau will post the list for use in 2014 when the relevant data become available.

In addition, the final rule restores certain existing Regulation Z requirements related to the consumer’s ability to repay and prepayment penalties for HPMLs. The scope of these protections is being expanded under the Dodd-Frank Act through the 2013 Title XIV Final Rules to apply to most mortgage transactions, rather than just HPMLs. For this reason, the 2013 Escrows Final Rule removed the regulatory text providing these protections solely to HPMLs. That final rule, however, takes effect on June 1, 2013, whereas the new ability-to-repay and prepayment penalty provisions do not take effect until January 10, 2014. To prevent any interruption in applicable protections, this final rule establishes a temporary provision to ensure the protections remain in place for HPMLs until the expanded provisions take effect in January 2014.

In addition, the Bureau is making some technical corrections to enhance clarity.

## II. Background

### A. Title XIV Rulemakings under the Dodd-Frank Act and the 2013 Escrows Final Rule

In response to an unprecedented cycle of expansion and contraction in the mortgage market that sparked the most severe U.S. recession since the Great Depression, Congress passed the Dodd-Frank Act, which was signed into law on July 21, 2010. In the Dodd-Frank Act, Congress established the Bureau and, under sections 1061 and 1100A, generally consolidated the rulemaking authority for Federal consumer financial laws, including the Truth in Lending Act (TILA), in the Bureau.<sup>5</sup> At the same

time, Congress significantly amended the statutory requirements governing mortgages with the intent to restrict the practices that contributed to and exacerbated the crisis. In January 2013, the Bureau issued the 2013 Title XIV Final Rules as described above. The 2013 Escrows Final Rule,<sup>6</sup> issued on January 10, was one of these rules. Among the other 2013 Title XIV Final Rules issued in January were the 2013 ATR Final Rule, 2013 HOEPA Final Rule, and 2013 Interagency Appraisals Final Rule.

### B. Implementation Plan for New Mortgage Rules

On February 13, 2013, the Bureau announced an initiative to support implementation of the new mortgage rules (Implementation Plan),<sup>7</sup> under which the Bureau would work with the mortgage industry to ensure that the 2013 Title XIV Final Rules could be implemented accurately and expeditiously. The Implementation Plan included: (1) Coordination with other agencies; (2) publication of plain-language guides to the new rules; (3) publication of additional interpretive guidance and other updates regarding the new rules as needed; (4) publication of readiness guides for the new rules; and (5) education of consumers on the new rules.

This is the first final rule in connection with our planned issuances to clarify and provide additional guidance regarding the 2013 Title XIV Final Rules. Priority for this first set of updates was given to the 2013 Escrows Final Rule because its effective date is June 1, 2013, and certainty regarding compliance is a matter of some urgency. The Bureau has since issued a proposal concerning certain provisions of the ability-to-repay and servicing rules that take effect in January 2014,<sup>8</sup> and a proposal to seek comment on whether to delay the June 1 implementation of a provision concerning the financing of credit insurance pending resolution of various interpretive issues under the statute and regulation.<sup>9</sup> Other guidance and updates will be issued as needed.

## III. Legal Authority

The Bureau is issuing this final rule pursuant to its authority under TILA and the Dodd-Frank Act. Section 1061

of the Dodd-Frank Act transferred to the Bureau the “consumer financial protection functions” previously vested in certain other Federal agencies, including the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board). The term “consumer financial protection function” is defined to include “all authority to prescribe rules or issue orders or guidelines pursuant to any Federal consumer financial law, including performing appropriate functions to promulgate and review such rules, orders, and guidelines.”<sup>10</sup> TILA, title X of the Dodd-Frank Act, and certain subtitles and provisions of title XIV of the Dodd-Frank Act are Federal consumer financial laws.<sup>11</sup> Accordingly, the Bureau has authority to issue regulations pursuant to TILA, title X, and the enumerated subtitles and provisions of title XIV.

The Bureau is amending the changes made to Regulation Z by the 2013 Escrows Final Rule.<sup>12</sup> This final rule relies on the broad rulemaking authority specifically granted to the Bureau by TILA section 105(a) and title X of the Dodd-Frank Act, as well as the exemption authority in TILA section 129D(c). Additionally, because this rule re-introduces language from a 2008 final rule of the Board amending Regulation Z (2008 HOEPA Final Rule),<sup>13</sup> this rule relies on the authority used in connection with that rule including TILA section 129(p).

## IV. Section-by-Section Analysis

### Section 1026.35 Requirements for Higher-Priced Mortgage Loans

#### 35(b) Escrow Accounts

##### 35(b)(1)

The Bureau proposed a technical correction to § 1026.35(b)(1) to update a citation. The Bureau did not receive comments on this correction, and adopts it as proposed.

#### 35(b)(2) Exemptions

##### Overview

Four of the Bureau’s January 2013 mortgage rules included provisions that provide for special treatment under various Regulation Z requirements for

<sup>10</sup> 12 U.S.C. 5581(a)(1).

<sup>11</sup> Dodd-Frank Act section 1002(14), 12 U.S.C. 5481(14) (defining “Federal consumer financial law” to include the “enumerated consumer laws” and the provisions of title X of the Dodd-Frank Act); Dodd-Frank Act section 1002(12), 12 U.S.C. 5481(12) (defining “enumerated consumer laws” to include TILA), Dodd-Frank section 1400(b), 12 U.S.C. 5481 note (designating certain subtitles and provisions of title XIV of the Dodd-Frank Act as “enumerated consumer laws”).

<sup>12</sup> 78 FR 4726 (Jan. 22, 2013).

<sup>13</sup> 73 FR 44522 (July 30, 2008).

<sup>5</sup> Sections 1011 and 1021 of the Dodd-Frank Act, in title X, the “Consumer Financial Protection Act,” Public Law 111–203, sections 1001–1100H, codified at 12 U.S.C. 5491 and 5511. The Consumer Financial Protection Act is substantially codified at 12 U.S.C. 5481–5603. Section 1029 of the Dodd-Frank Act excludes from this transfer of authority, subject to certain exceptions, any rulemaking authority over a motor vehicle dealer that is

predominantly engaged in the sale and servicing of motor vehicles, the leasing and servicing of motor vehicles, or both. 12 U.S.C. 5519.

<sup>6</sup> 78 FR 4726 (Jan. 22, 2013).

<sup>7</sup> Consumer Financial Protection Bureau Lays Out Implementation Plan for New Mortgage Rules. Press Release. Feb. 13, 2013.

<sup>8</sup> 78 FR 25638 (May 2, 2013).

<sup>9</sup> 78 FR 27308 (May 10, 2013).

certain credit transactions in connection with “rural” or “underserved” areas: (1) § 1026.35(b)(2)(iii) provides an exemption to the 2013 Escrows Final Rule’s escrow requirement for HPMLs; (2) § 1026.43(f) provides an allowance to originate balloon-payment qualified mortgages under the 2013 ATR Final Rule; (3) § 1026.32(d)(1)(ii)(C) provides an exemption from the balloon payment prohibition on high-cost mortgages under the 2013 HOEPA Final Rule for balloon-payment qualified mortgages; and (4) § 1026.35(c)(4)(vii)(H) provides an exemption from a requirement to obtain a second appraisal for certain HPMLs under the 2013 Interagency Appraisals Final Rule. These provisions rely on the criteria for “rural” and/or “underserved” counties set forth in § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv)(A) and (B), respectively, adopted in the 2013 Escrows Final Rule, which takes effect on June 1, 2013. Two of the special provisions for creditors operating predominantly in “rural” or “underserved” areas were set forth in the Dodd-Frank Act amendments to TILA, but the terms were not defined by statute. TILA section 129D, as added and amended by Dodd-Frank Act sections 1461 and 1462 and implemented by § 1026.35(b), generally requires that creditors establish escrow accounts for HPMLs secured by a first lien on a consumer’s principal dwelling, but the statute also authorizes the Bureau to exempt from this requirement transactions by a creditor that, among other criteria, “operates predominantly in rural or underserved areas.” TILA section 129D(c)(1). Similarly, the ability-to-repay provisions in Dodd-Frank Act section 1412 contain a set of criteria with regard to certain balloon-payment mortgages originated and held in portfolio by certain creditors that operate predominantly in rural or underserved areas, allowing those loans to be considered qualified mortgages. See TILA section 129C(b)(2)(E), 15 U.S.C. 1639c(b)(2)(E). In the 2013 Escrows and ATR Final Rules, the Bureau implemented the HPML escrows requirement and the section 1412 balloon-payment qualified mortgage provision through §§ 1026.35(b)(2)(iii) and 1026.43(f), respectively. In addition, the Bureau adopted an exemption to the general prohibition of balloon payments for high-cost mortgages when those mortgages meet the criteria for balloon-payment qualified mortgages set forth in § 1026.43(f), as part of the 2013 HOEPA Final Rule, in § 1026.32(d)(1)(ii)(C). Finally, the Bureau and other Federal agencies adopted § 1026.35(c)(4)(vii)(H), which provides an exemption from a

requirement to obtain a second appraisal for certain HPMLs under the 2013 Interagency Appraisals Final Rule for credit transactions used to acquire property in rural counties.

Through the 2013 Escrows Final Rule, the Bureau adopted § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv)(A) and (B) to define “rural” and “underserved” respectively for the purposes of the four rules discussed above that contain special provisions that use one or both of those terms. The 2013 Escrows Final Rule also provided comment 35(b)(2)(iv)–1 to clarify further the criteria for “rural” and “underserved” counties, and provided that the Bureau will annually update on its public Web site a list of counties that meet the definitions of rural and underserved in § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv). In advance of the rule’s June 1, 2013, effective date, the Bureau proposed to amend § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv) and comment 35(b)(2)(iv)–1 to clarify how to determine whether a county is rural or underserved for the purposes of these provisions.

#### Comments

The Bureau received several comments discussing the overall regulatory scheme regarding how “rural” and “underserved” should be defined. Most of these comments argued for an expanded scope for the “rural” and “underserved” definitions. One industry trade association suggested that the rural definition should include all non-metropolitan counties, as well as communities with populations of less than 50,000. Other commenters suggested that the Bureau should use Census Bureau data differently, and one suggested that any place not within one of the Census Bureau’s “Urbanized Areas,” which contain 50,000 or more people, be considered rural. A credit union association suggested that credit unions with “rural” community charters should be exempt, and that only those creditors with a physical presence in an underserved area should be considered in relation to the underserved exemption. Some commenters felt that the rule was too confusing, making compliance difficult.<sup>14</sup> One credit union was concerned about the impact the

escrows rule would have on mobile home lending. One commenter stated that, although the clarification presented in the proposal was welcome, there is still too much confusion regarding the escrows rule, and that its effective date should be postponed to January 2014. In addition, two commenters suggested that the Bureau apply exemptions based solely on the size of a creditor, regardless of location.

Although the Bureau has examined these comments, the proposed rule presented only very limited changes and clarifications to the 2013 Final Escrows Rule, and solicited comments on those narrow issues. Broader concerns such as those expressed by the foregoing commenters about preserving consumers’ access to credit will be addressed in the Bureau’s final rule under the 2013 ATR Concurrent Proposal, which the Bureau expects to issue shortly. The specific provisions included in the proposal are discussed below, along with comments responsive to those issues.

#### 35(b)(2)(iii)

##### The Proposal

The Bureau proposed modifications to § 1026.35(b)(2)(iii) and comment 35(b)(2)(iii)–1.i for clarification purposes and for consistency with other provisions. As adopted in January, § 1026.35(b)(2)(iii) and its commentary stated that the Bureau would designate or determine which counties are rural or underserved for the purposes of the special provisions of the four rules discussed above. However, that was not the Bureau’s intent. Rather, the Bureau intended to require determinations of “rural” or “underserved” status to be made by creditors as prescribed by § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv)(A) and (B), but also intended for the Bureau to apply both tests to each U.S. county and publish an annual list of counties that satisfy either test for a given calendar year, which creditors may rely upon as a safe harbor. Therefore, the Bureau proposed modifications to § 1026.35(b)(2)(iii)(A) and comment 35(b)(2)(iii)–1.i for the purposes of clarification and consistency with these provisions.

#### Comments and Final Rule

All the comments the Bureau received on this specific provision supported the proposed change. For the reasons stated above, the provision is adopted as proposed.

#### 35(b)(2)(iv)(A)

##### The Proposal

As adopted in January 2013, § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv)(A) defines “rural”

<sup>14</sup> The Bureau notes that it has now posted the official list of rural and underserved counties on its public Web site for use with mortgages consummated from June 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013. The final, official list is identical to the preliminary list posted on the Bureau’s public Web site on March 12, 2013. Creditors may rely as a safe harbor for compliance with the relevant regulations on the official lists of rural and underserved counties posted by the Bureau. The official list for use in 2014 will be posted when the necessary data become available.

based on currently applicable UICs established by the USDA-ERS. The UICs are based on the definitions of “metropolitan statistical area” and “micropolitan statistical area” as developed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), along with other factors reviewed by the ERS that place counties into twelve separately defined UICs depending, in part, on the size of the largest city and town in the county. Based on these definitions, § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv)(A) as adopted states that a county is “rural” during a calendar year if it is neither in a metropolitan statistical area nor in a micropolitan statistical area that is adjacent to a metropolitan statistical area, as those terms are defined by OMB and applied under currently applicable UICs.

As adopted, comment 35(b)(2)(iv)-1.i explained that, for the purposes of the provision, the terms “metropolitan statistical areas” and “micropolitan statistical areas adjacent to a metropolitan statistical area” are given the same meanings used by USDA-ERS for the purposes of determining UICs. The USDA-ERS considers micropolitan counties as “adjacent” to a metropolitan statistical area for this purpose if they abut a metropolitan statistical area and have at least 2% of employed persons commuting to work in the core of the metropolitan statistical area.<sup>15</sup> It was thus implicit in this comment that “adjacent” is given the same meaning used by the USDA-ERS for the purposes of § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv)(A).

Nevertheless, the Bureau believed that additional commentary explaining the meaning of “adjacent” more directly would be useful to facilitate compliance with § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv) and the provisions that rely on it. Accordingly, the Bureau proposed to amend comment 35(b)(2)(iv)-1.i to state expressly that “adjacent” entails physical contiguity with a metropolitan statistical area where certain minimum commuting standards are also met, as defined by the USDA-ERS. The Bureau believed that this would be consistent with USDA-ERS’s use of “adjacent” and better explain the rule for compliance purposes.

Similarly, the Bureau proposed language to specify under § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv)(A) how “rural” status should be determined for a county that does not have a currently applicable UIC because it was created after the USDA-ERS last categorized counties by UIC. Because the USDA-ERS only updates UICs decennially based on the

most recent census, it is possible that new counties may be created that will not have a designated UIC until after the next census. In such instances, clarification was needed to explain how “rural” status would be determined. The Bureau thus proposed to amend comment 35(b)(2)(iv)-1.i to address this issue and explain that any such county is considered “rural” for the purposes of § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv) only if all counties from which the new county’s land was taken were themselves rural under the rule.

The Bureau also proposed comment 35(b)(2)(iv)-2.i to provide an example of how “rural” status would be determined. In addition, the Bureau proposed small technical changes to the rule provision and commentary to enhance clarity.

#### Comments

One industry commenter supported generally the clarifications provided in the rule. Other industry commenters chose to neither support nor oppose the “adjacent” clarification, and asked that there be more analysis of the impact of excluding counties from the rural definition if they are “adjacent” to a metropolitan area.

Industry commenters opposed the proposed method for determining the status of a new county, arguing that a new county should be considered rural if 50% of its land is taken from counties that were previously considered rural.

#### Final Rule

The Bureau is adopting the provisions as proposed. The definition of “adjacent” was already implicit in the 2013 Escrows Final Rule, and the Bureau’s earlier impact analyses already accounted for that definition. The present rule’s guidance provision merely clarifies what was adopted then.

The Bureau considered the suggestion to allow rural status for new counties if at least 50% of the counties’ land comes from previously rural counties, but was concerned that making such determinations would be burdensome and inexact for compliance purposes and incongruent with the rule’s overall rural designations. Accordingly, the Bureau is adopting the clarification as proposed.

#### 35(b)(2)(iv)(B)

##### The Proposal

Section 1026.35(b)(2)(iii)(A) creates an exemption from the HPML escrow requirement for transactions by creditors operating in rural or underserved counties, if they meet certain criteria involving the loans they originated *during the preceding*

*calendar year*. Thus, the availability of the rural or underserved exemption always follows a year after the origination activity that makes a creditor eligible for the exemption.

As adopted by the 2013 Escrows Final Rule, § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv)(B) stated that a county would be “underserved” during a calendar year if, “according to Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) data for that year,” no more than two creditors extended covered transactions, as defined in § 1026.43(b)(1), secured by a first lien, five or more times in the county. However, HMDA data typically are released for a given calendar year during the third quarter of each subsequent calendar year. It is thus not generally possible for creditors to make determinations concerning whether a county was underserved during the preceding calendar year based on that preceding year’s HMDA data, because such data likely will not be available until late in the following year. In wording § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv)(B) as it did, the Bureau did not intend to require the use of HMDA data that would not be available at the time the determination of a county’s “underserved” status was made; the Bureau’s intent was to provide for the use of the most recent HMDA data available at the time of the determination.

The Bureau therefore proposed to amend § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv)(B) to clarify that a county is considered “underserved” during a given calendar year based on HMDA data for “the preceding calendar year” as opposed to “that calendar year.” This look-back feature coordinates with the look-back feature in the exemption itself at § 1026.35(b)(2)(iii)(A), so that a creditor would rely on the underserved status of a county based on HMDA data from two years previous to the use of the exemption, which are the most recent data available for use as the Bureau intended. The Bureau also proposed to amend comment 35(b)(2)(iv)-1.ii to conform to this change, and to add comment 35(b)(2)(iv)-2.ii to provide an example.

#### Comments and Final Rule

The only commenter to reference this provision, an industry trade group, supported it. For the reasons stated above, the provision is adopted as proposed.

#### 1026.35(e) Repayment Ability, Prepayment Penalties

##### The Proposal

The Bureau proposed language in § 1026.35(e) to keep in place existing requirements contained in § 1026.35(b)

<sup>15</sup> See <http://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/urban-influence-codes/documentation.aspx>.

concerning assessment of consumers' ability to repay an HPML and limitations on prepayment penalties for HPMLs. These provisions were originally adopted by the Board in 2008,<sup>16</sup> and will be supplanted by the Bureau's new rules implementing similar Dodd-Frank requirements in § 1026.43 on January 10, 2014.

The 2013 Escrows Final Rule inadvertently removed the existing language of § 1026.35(b) between June 1, 2013, and the January 10, 2014, effective date for the ability-to-repay and prepayment penalty provisions in § 1026.43. The Bureau proposed restoring this language at § 1026.35(e) and keeping it in effect during that intervening period. The Bureau also proposed updating existing cross-references to the § 1026.35(b) HPML provisions.

#### Comments and Final Rule

The industry groups specifically commenting on this provision supported the proposal. To maintain consumer protections and avoid disruptions in the market, the provision is adopted as proposed.

#### V. Effective Date

This rule is effective June 1, 2013. Section 1026.35(e) of this rule is a temporary provision and will be effective from June 1, 2013, through January 9, 2014. Section 553(d) of the Administrative Procedure Act generally requires publication of a final rule not less than 30 days before its effective date, except for (1) a substantive rule which grants or recognizes an exemption or relieves a restriction; (2) interpretive rules and statements of policy; or (3) as otherwise provided by the agency for good cause found and published with the rule. 5 U.S.C. 553(d). At minimum, the Bureau believes the amendments fall under the third exception to section 553(d). The Bureau finds that there is good cause to make the amendments effective on June 1, 2013, because doing so will ease compliance and reduce disruption in the market, and ensure that the protections of the rule are uninterrupted. Moreover, the final list of counties prepared using this rule is identical to the preliminary list, which was posted along with the proposal on the Bureau's public Web site on March 12, 2013. In addition, the effective date for the 2013 Escrows Final Rule, which this rule amends, is June 1, 2013, and failure to make this rule effective on the same day would make compliance more difficult and create more disruption in

the market, not less. Therefore, the Bureau believes that the benefits from making this rule effective on June 1 outweigh providing additional time to comply with this rule.

#### VI. Section 1022(b)(2) of the Dodd-Frank Act

##### A. Overview

In developing the final rule, the Bureau has considered its potential benefits, costs, and impacts.<sup>17</sup> The Bureau requested comment on its preliminary analysis as well as submissions of additional data that could inform the Bureau's analysis. The Bureau has consulted, or offered to consult with, the prudential regulators, SEC, HUD, FHFA, the Federal Trade Commission, and the Department of the Treasury, including regarding consistency with any prudential, market, or systemic objectives administered by such agencies.

The final rule clarifies how to determine whether a county is considered "rural" or "underserved" for the application of the special provisions adopted in certain of the 2013 Title XIV Final Rules.<sup>18</sup> These changes do not have a material impact on consumers or covered persons. Nevertheless, two commenters requested that the Bureau analyze the impact of excluding counties from the rural definition if they are "adjacent" to a metropolitan area. However, the scope of the related clarification was limited to specifying that "adjacent" is given the same meaning used by the USDA-ERS for the purposes of § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv)(A), which was implicit in the 2013 Escrows Final Rule. The impacts of the stated

<sup>17</sup> Section 1022(b)(2)(A) of the Dodd-Frank Act, 12 U.S.C. 5521(b)(2), directs the Bureau, when prescribing a rule under the Federal consumer financial laws, to consider the potential benefits and costs of regulation to consumers and covered persons, including the potential reduction of access by consumers to consumer financial products or services; the impact on insured depository institutions and credit unions with \$10 billion or less in total assets as described in section 1026 of the Dodd-Frank Act; and the impact on consumers in rural areas. Section 1022(b)(2)(B) of the Dodd-Frank Act directs the Bureau to consult with appropriate prudential regulators or other Federal agencies regarding consistency with prudential, market, or systemic objectives that those agencies administer.

<sup>18</sup> The special provisions that rely on the "rural" and "underserved" definitions are as follows: (1) the § 1026.35(b)(2)(iii) exemption to the 2013 Escrows Final Rule's escrow requirement for higher-priced mortgage loans; (2) the § 1026.43(f) allowance for balloon-payment qualified mortgages; (3) the § 1026.32(d)(1)(ii)(C) exemption from the balloon payment prohibition on high-cost mortgages for balloon-payment qualified mortgages; and (4) the § 1026.35(c)(4)(vii)(H) exemption from the § 1026.35(c)(4)(i) HPML second appraisal requirement for credit transactions used to acquire property located in a rural county.

definitions are discussed in the various 2013 Title XIV Final Rules and the final list of rural and underserved counties for use during 2013 is being posted at the Bureau's public Web site along with publication of this notice.

Other provisions of the rule are related to underwriting and features of HPMLs. As described above, existing Regulation Z contains requirements related to the consumer's ability to repay and prepayment penalties for HPMLs. The scope of these protections is being expanded in connection with the Dodd-Frank Act title XIV rulemakings to apply to most mortgage transactions, rather than just HPMLs. For this reason, the 2013 Escrows Final Rule removed the regulatory text providing these protections solely to HPMLs. That final rule, however, takes effect on June 1, 2013, whereas the new ability-to-repay and prepayment penalty provisions do not take effect until January 10, 2014. Without the correction provided by this final rule, the final rules issued in January would have inadvertently created an interruption in applicable protections for certain consumers obtaining HPMLs effective June 1, 2013, and a corresponding interruption of the requirements for lenders. This rule will establish a temporary provision to ensure the protections remain in place for HPMLs until the expanded provisions take effect in January 2014. Because the avoided interruption was inadvertent, the Bureau's 2022 analyses in the 2013 Title XIV Final Rules considered the impact of the protections at issue in this rule as if they were remaining in place, which they now are.

##### B. Potential Benefits and Costs to Consumers and Covered Persons

Compared to the baseline established by the issuance of the final rules in January 2013, this final rule will provide consumers who obtain HPMLs from June 1, 2013, through and including January 9, 2014, the benefit of the existing protections under Regulation Z regarding ability to repay and prepayment penalties.<sup>19</sup> These provisions are designed to limit consumers' exposure to collateral-based lending, potentially harmful prepayment penalties, and other harms. The price of HPMLs may be slightly higher than they would be in the absence of these protections; however, these effects are likely to be minimal.

<sup>19</sup> The Bureau has discretion in any rulemaking to choose an appropriate scope of analysis with respect to potential benefits and costs and an appropriate baseline.

<sup>16</sup> 73 FR 44522 (July 30, 2008).

Compared to the same baseline, covered persons issuing such mortgages during this time period will incur any costs related to the ability-to-pay requirements and the restrictions on certain prepayment penalties. These costs will include the costs of documenting and verifying the consumer's ability to repay and some expected litigation-related costs. As noted above, the evidence to date is that these costs are quite limited. The 2013 ATR Final Rule and the Board's earlier 2008 HOEPA Final Rule discuss these costs and benefits in greater detail. This rule simply extends these impacts from June 1, 2013, through and including January 9, 2014. The Bureau also believes that the rule will benefit both consumers and covered persons in limiting unnecessary and possibly disruptive changes in the regulatory regime.

The final rule may have a small differential impact on depository institutions and credit unions with \$10 billion or less in total assets as described in Section 1026. To the extent that HPMLs comprise a larger percentage of originations at these institutions, the relative increase in costs may be higher relative to other lenders.

The final rule will also have some differential impacts on consumers in rural areas. In these areas, a greater fraction of loans are HPMLs. For this reason, to the extent that these added protections lead to additional lender costs, interest rates may be slightly higher on average; however, rural consumers will derive greater benefit from the proposed provisions than non-rural consumers.

Given the small changes implemented in this rule, the Bureau does not believe that the final rule will meaningfully reduce consumers' access to credit.

## VII. Regulatory Flexibility Act Analysis

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) generally requires an agency to conduct an initial regulatory flexibility analysis (IRFA) and a final regulatory flexibility analysis (FRFA) of any rule subject to notice-and-comment rulemaking requirements.<sup>20</sup> These analyses must "describe the impact of the proposed rule on small entities."<sup>21</sup> An IRFA or

FRFA is not required if the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities,<sup>22</sup> or if the agency considers a series of closely related rules as one rule for purposes of complying with the IRFA or FRFA requirements.<sup>23</sup> The Bureau also is subject to certain additional procedures under the RFA involving the convening of a panel to consult with small business representatives prior to proposing a rule for which an IRFA is required.<sup>24</sup>

This rulemaking is part of a series of rules that have revised and expanded the regulatory requirements for entities that offer HPMLs. In January 2013, the Bureau adopted the 2013 Escrows Final Rule and 2013 ATR Final Rule, along with other related rules mentioned above. Section VIII of the supplementary information to each of these rules set forth the Bureau's analyses and determinations under the RFA with respect to those rules. See 78 FR 4749, 78 FR 6575. The Bureau also notes because the potential interruption in applicable protections created by the issuance of the final rules in January was inadvertent, its regulatory flexibility analyses considered the impact of the protections at issue in this rule remaining in place for HPMLs until the expanded provisions take effect in January 2014. Because these rules qualify as "a series of closely related rules," for purposes of the RFA, the Bureau relies on those analyses and determines that it has met or exceeded the IRFA and FRFA requirements.

In the alternative, the Bureau also concludes that the final rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The rule will establish a temporary provision to ensure the protections remain in place for HPMLs until the expanded provisions take effect in January 2014. Since the new requirements and liabilities that will take effect in January 2014 as applied to HPMLs are very similar in nature to those that exist under the pre-existing regulations, the gap absent the rule's correction would have been short-lived and would have affected only the higher-priced mortgage loan market. It is therefore very unlikely that, absent this

correction, covered persons would have altered their behavior substantially in the intervening period.

The rule also clarifies how to determine whether a county is considered "rural" or "underserved" for the application of the special provisions adopted in certain of the 2013 Title XIV Final Rules.<sup>25</sup> These changes will not have a material impact on small entities.<sup>26</sup>

For these reasons, the Bureau affirms that this final rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

## VIII. Paperwork Reduction Act

This final rule will amend 12 CFR part 1026 (Regulation Z), which implements the Truth in Lending Act (TILA). Regulation Z currently contains collections of information approved by OMB. The Bureau's OMB control number for Regulation Z is 3170-0015. However, the Bureau has determined that this rule will not materially alter these collections of information nor impose any new recordkeeping, reporting, or disclosure requirements on the public that would constitute collections of information requiring approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*

### List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 1026

Advertising, Consumer protection, Mortgages, Recordkeeping requirements, Reporting, Truth in lending.

### Authority and Issuance

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Bureau amends Regulation Z, 12 CFR part 1026, as set forth below:

### PART 1026—TRUTH IN LENDING (REGULATION Z)

■ 1. The authority citation for part 1026 continues to read as follows:

<sup>25</sup> The special provisions that rely on the "rural" and "underserved" definitions are as follows: (1) The § 1026.35(b)(2)(iii) exemption to the 2013 Escrows Final Rule's escrow requirement for higher-priced mortgage loans; (2) the § 1026.43(f) allowance for balloon-payment qualified mortgages; (3) the § 1026.32(d)(1)(ii)(C) exemption from the balloon payment prohibition on high-cost mortgages for balloon-payment qualified mortgages; and (4) the § 1026.35(c)(4)(vii)(H) exemption from the § 1026.35(c)(4)(i) HPML second appraisal requirement for credit transactions used to acquire property located in a rural county.

<sup>26</sup> One commenter suggested that the RFA analysis omitted a consideration of the costs of compliance for this rule and the related 2013 Title XIV Final Rules more broadly. As noted, a discussion of the compliance costs for small entities under the RFA was included with the publication of the 2013 Title XIV Final Rules. This rule only clarifies or makes minor technical amendments to existing rules and does not impose the burdens noted by the commenter.

<sup>20</sup> 5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*

<sup>21</sup> 5 U.S.C. 603(a). For purposes of assessing the impacts of the rule on small entities, "small entities" is defined in the RFA to include small businesses, small not-for-profit organizations, and small government jurisdictions. 5 U.S.C. 601(6). A "small business" is determined by application of Small Business Administration regulations and reference to the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) classifications and size standards. 5 U.S.C. 601(3). A "small

organization" is any "not-for-profit enterprise which is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field." 5 U.S.C. 601(4). A "small governmental jurisdiction" is the government of a city, county, town, township, village, school district, or special district with a population of less than 50,000. 5 U.S.C. 601(5).

<sup>22</sup> 5 U.S.C. 605(b).

<sup>23</sup> 5 U.S.C. 605(c).

<sup>24</sup> 5 U.S.C. 609.

**Authority:** 12 U.S.C. 2601; 2603–2605, 2607, 2609, 2617, 5511, 5512, 5532, 5581; 15 U.S.C. 1601 *et seq.*

### Subpart C—Closed-End Credit

■ 2. Section 1026.23 is amended by revising paragraph (a)(3)(ii) to read as follows:

#### § 1026.23 Right of rescission.

- (a) \* \* \*
- (3) \* \* \*
- (ii) For purposes of this paragraph (a)(3), the term “material disclosures” means the required disclosures of the annual percentage rate, the finance charge, the amount financed, the total of payments, the payment schedule, and the disclosures and limitations referred to in §§ 1026.32(c) and (d) and 1026.35(e)(2).

\* \* \* \* \*

### Subpart E—Special Rules for Certain Home Mortgage Transactions

■ 3. Section 1026.34 is amended by revising paragraph (a)(4)(i) to read as follows:

#### § 1026.34 Prohibited acts or practices in connection with high-cost mortgages.

- (a) \* \* \*
- (4) \* \* \*
- (i) *Mortgage-related obligations.* For purposes of this paragraph (a)(4), mortgage-related obligations are expected property taxes, premiums for mortgage-related insurance required by the creditor as set forth in § 1026.35(b), and similar expenses.

\* \* \* \* \*

■ 4. Section 1026.35, as amended by the final rule published on January 22, 2013, 78 FR 4726, effective June 1, 2013, is further amended by revising the last sentence of paragraph (b)(1), revising paragraphs (b)(2)(iii)(A), and (b)(iv)(A) and (B), and adding paragraph (e) to read as follows:

#### § 1026.35 Requirements for higher-priced mortgage loans.

\* \* \* \* \*

- (b) \* \* \*
- (1) For purposes of this paragraph (b), the term “escrow account” has the same meaning as under Regulation X (12 CFR 1024.17(b)), as amended.

(2) \* \* \*

(iii) \* \* \*

(A) During the preceding calendar year, the creditor extended more than 50 percent of its total covered transactions, as defined by § 1026.43(b)(1), secured by a first lien, on properties that are located in counties that are either “rural” or “underserved,” as set forth in paragraph (b)(2)(iv) of this section;

\* \* \* \* \*

(iv) \* \* \*

(A) A county is “rural” during a calendar year if it is neither in a metropolitan statistical area nor in a micropolitan statistical area that is adjacent to a metropolitan statistical area, as those terms are defined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget and as they are applied under currently applicable Urban Influence Codes (UICs), established by the United States Department of Agriculture’s Economic Research Service (USDA–ERS). A creditor may rely as a safe harbor on the list of counties published by the Bureau to determine whether a county qualifies as “rural” for a particular calendar year.

(B) A county is “underserved” during a calendar year if, according to Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) data for the preceding calendar year, no more than two creditors extended covered transactions, as defined in § 1026.43(b)(1), secured by a first lien, five or more times in the county. A creditor may rely as a safe harbor on the list of counties published by the Bureau to determine whether a county qualifies as “underserved” for a particular calendar year.

\* \* \* \* \*

(e) *Repayment ability, prepayment penalties.* Higher-priced mortgage loans are subject to the following restrictions:

(1) *Repayment ability.* A creditor shall not extend credit based on the value of the consumer’s collateral without regard to the consumer’s repayment ability as of consummation as provided in § 1026.34(a)(4).

(2) *Prepayment penalties.* A loan may not include a penalty described by § 1026.32(d)(6) unless:

(i) The penalty is otherwise permitted by law, including § 1026.32(d)(7) if the loan is a mortgage transaction described in § 1026.32(a); and

(ii) Under the terms of the loan:

(A) The penalty will not apply after the two-year period following consummation;

(B) The penalty will not apply if the source of the prepayment funds is a refinancing by the creditor or an affiliate of the creditor; and

(C) The amount of the periodic payment of principal or interest or both may not change during the four-year period following consummation.

(3) *Sunset of requirements on repayment ability and prepayment penalties.* The requirements described in this paragraph (e) shall expire at 11:59 p.m. on January 9, 2014.

■ 5. In Supplement I to Part 1026—Official Interpretations:

■ A. Under Section 1026.32—Requirements for Certain Closed-End

Home Mortgages, under Paragraph 32(d) Limitations, paragraph 1 is revised.

■ B. Under Section 1026.34—Prohibited Acts or Practices in Connection with High-Cost Mortgages:

■ i. Under Paragraph 34(a)(4) Repayment ability for high-cost mortgages, paragraph 1 is revised.

■ ii. Under Paragraph 34(a)(4)(i) Mortgage-Related Obligations, paragraph 1 is revised.

■ C. Under Section 1026.35—Requirements for Higher-Priced Mortgage Loans, as amended by the final rule published on January 22, 2013, 78 FR 4726, effective June 1, 2013, is further amended:

■ i. Under Paragraph 35(b)(2)(iii), paragraphs 1 and i are revised.

■ ii. Under Paragraph 35(b)(2)(iv), paragraph 1 is revised and paragraph 2 is added.

■ iii. The headings 35(e) Rules for Higher-Priced Mortgage Loans and Paragraph 35(e)(2)(ii)(C), and paragraphs 1 and 2 are added.

The revisions and additions read as follows:

### Supplement I to Part 1026—Official Interpretations

\* \* \* \* \*

### Subpart E—Special Rules for Certain Home Mortgage Transactions

\* \* \* \* \*

#### § 1026.32—Requirements for Certain Closed-End Home Mortgages

\* \* \* \* \*

##### Paragraph 32(d) Limitations

1. *Additional prohibitions applicable under other sections.* Section 1026.34 sets forth certain prohibitions in connection with mortgage credit subject to § 1026.32, in addition to the limitations in § 1026.32(d). Further, § 1026.35 prohibits certain practices in connection with transactions that meet the coverage test in § 1026.35(a). Because the coverage test in § 1026.35(a) is generally broader than the coverage test in § 1026.32(a), most § 1026.32 mortgage loans are also subject to the prohibitions set forth in § 1026.35 (such as escrows), in addition to the limitations in § 1026.32(d).

\* \* \* \* \*

#### § 1026.34—Prohibited Acts or Practices in Connection with High-Cost Mortgages

\* \* \* \* \*

##### Paragraph 34(a)(4) Repayment Ability for High-Cost Mortgages

1. *Application of repayment ability rule.* The § 1026.34(a)(4) prohibition against making loans without regard to consumers’ repayment ability applies to mortgage loans described in § 1026.32(a). In addition, the § 1026.34(a)(4) prohibition applies to higher-



priced mortgage loans described in § 1026.35(a). See § 1026.35(e)(1).

Paragraph 34(a)(4)(i) Mortgage-Related Obligations

1. *Mortgage-related obligations.* A creditor must include in its repayment ability analysis the expected property taxes and premiums for mortgage-related insurance required by the creditor as set forth in § 1026.35(b), as well as similar mortgage-related expenses. Similar mortgage-related expenses include homeowners' association dues and condominium or cooperative fees.

§ 1026.35—Requirements for Higher-Priced Mortgage Loans

Paragraph 35(b)(2)(iii)

1. *Requirements for exemption.* Under § 1026.35(b)(2)(iii), except as provided in § 1026.35(b)(2)(v), a creditor need not establish an escrow account for taxes and insurance for a higher-priced mortgage loan, provided the following four conditions are satisfied when the higher-priced mortgage loan is consummated:

i. During the preceding calendar year, more than 50 percent of the creditor's total first-lien covered transactions, as defined in § 1026.43(b)(1), are secured by properties located in counties that are either "rural" or "underserved," as set forth in § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv). Pursuant to that section, a creditor may rely as a safe harbor on a list of counties published by the Bureau to determine whether counties in the United States are rural or underserved for a particular calendar year. Thus, for example, if a creditor originated 90 covered transactions, as defined by § 1026.43(b)(1), secured by a first lien, during 2013, the creditor meets this condition for an exemption in 2014 if at least 46 of those transactions are secured by first liens on properties that are located in such counties.

Paragraph 35(b)(2)(iv)

1. *Requirements for "rural" or "underserved" status.* A county is considered to be "rural" or "underserved" for purposes of § 1026.35(b)(2)(iii)(A) if it satisfies either of the two tests in § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv). The Bureau applies both tests to each county in the United States. If a county satisfies either test, the Bureau will include the county on a published list of "rural" or "underserved" counties for a particular calendar year. To facilitate compliance with appraisal requirements in § 1026.35(c), the Bureau will also create a list of only those counties that are "rural" but excluding those that are only "underserved." The Bureau will post on its public Web site the applicable lists for each calendar year by the end of that year, thus permitting creditors to ascertain the availability to them of the exemption during the following year. For 2012, however, the list will be published before June 1, 2013. A creditor may rely as a safe harbor, pursuant to section 130(f) of the Truth in Lending Act, on the lists of counties published by the

Bureau to determine whether a county qualifies as "rural" or "underserved" for a particular calendar year. A creditor's originations of covered transactions, as defined by § 1026.43(b)(1), secured by a first lien, in such counties during that year are considered in determining whether the creditor satisfies the condition in § 1026.35(b)(2)(iii)(A) and therefore will be eligible for the exemption during the following calendar year.

i. Under § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv)(A), a county is rural during a calendar year if it is neither in a metropolitan statistical area nor in a micropolitan statistical area that is adjacent to a metropolitan statistical area. These areas are defined by the Office of Management and Budget and applied under currently applicable Urban Influence Codes (UICs), established by the United States Department of Agriculture's Economic Research Service (USDA-ERS). Accordingly, for purposes of § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv)(A), "adjacent" has the meaning applied by the USDA-ERS in determining a county's UIC; as so applied, "adjacent" entails a county not only being physically contiguous with a metropolitan statistical area but also meeting certain minimum population commuting patterns. Specifically, a county is "rural" if the USDA-ERS categorizes the county under UIC 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, or 12. Descriptions of UICs are available on the USDA-ERS Web site at <http://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/urban-influence-codes/documentation.aspx>. A county for which there is no currently applicable UIC (because the county has been created since the USDA-ERS last categorized counties) is rural only if all counties from which the new county's land was taken are themselves rural under currently applicable UICs.

ii. Under § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv)(B), a county is underserved during a calendar year if, according to Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) data for the preceding calendar year, no more than two creditors extended covered transactions, as defined in § 1026.43(b)(1), secured by a first lien, five or more times in the county. Specifically, a county is "underserved" if, in the applicable calendar year's public HMDA aggregate dataset, no more than two creditors have reported five or more first-lien covered transactions with HMDA geocoding that places the properties in that county. For purposes of this determination, because only covered transactions are counted, all first-lien originations (and only first-lien originations) reported in the HMDA data are counted except those for which the owner-occupancy status is reported as "Not owner-occupied" (HMDA code 2), the property type is reported as "Multifamily" (HMDA code 3), the applicant's or co-applicant's race is reported as "Not applicable" (HMDA code 7), or the applicant's or co-applicant's sex is reported as "Not applicable" (HMDA code 4). The most recent HMDA data are available at <http://www.ffiec.gov/hmda>.

2. *Examples.* i. A county is considered "rural" for a given calendar year based on the most recent available UIC designations, which are updated by the USDA-ERS once every ten years. As an example, assume a creditor makes first-lien covered transactions

in County X during calendar year 2014, and the most recent UIC designations have been published in the second quarter of 2013. To determine "rural" status for County X during calendar year 2014, the creditor will use the 2013 UIC designations. However, to determine "rural" status for County X during 2012 or 2013, the creditor would use the UIC designations last published in 2003.

ii. A county is considered "underserved" for a given calendar year based on the most recent available HMDA data. For example, assume a creditor makes first-lien covered transactions in County Y during calendar year 2013, and the most recent HMDA data is for calendar year 2012, published in the third quarter of 2013. To determine "underserved" status for County Y in calendar year 2013 for the purposes of qualifying for the "rural or underserved" exemption in calendar year 2014, the creditor will use the 2012 HMDA data.

35(e) Rules for Higher-Priced Mortgage Loans

Paragraph 35(e)(2)(ii)(C)

1. *Payment change.* Section 1026.35(e)(2) provides that a loan subject to this section may not have a penalty described by § 1026.32(d)(6) unless certain conditions are met. Section 1026.35(e)(2)(ii)(C) lists as a condition that the amount of the periodic payment of principal or interest or both may not change during the four-year period following consummation. For examples showing whether a prepayment penalty is permitted or prohibited in connection with particular payment changes, see comment 32(d)(7)(iv)–1. Those examples, however, include a condition that § 1026.35(e)(2) does not include: The condition that, at consummation, the consumer's total monthly debt payments may not exceed 50 percent of the consumer's monthly gross income. For guidance about circumstances in which payment changes are not considered payment changes for purposes of this section, see comment 32(d)(7)(iv)–2.

2. *Negative amortization.* Section 1026.32(d)(2) provides that a loan described in § 1026.32(a) may not have a payment schedule with regular periodic payments that cause the principal balance to increase. Therefore, the commentary to § 1026.32(d)(7)(iv) does not include examples of payment changes in connection with negative amortization. The following examples show whether, under § 1026.35(e)(2), prepayment penalties are permitted or prohibited in connection with particular payment changes, when a loan agreement permits negative amortization:

i. Initial payments for a variable-rate transaction consummated on January 1, 2010, are \$1,000 per month and the loan agreement permits negative amortization to occur. Under the loan agreement, the first date that a scheduled payment in a different amount may be due is January 1, 2014, and the creditor does not have the right to change scheduled payments prior to that date even if negative amortization occurs. A prepayment penalty is permitted with this mortgage transaction provided that the other § 1026.35(e)(2) conditions are met, that is: Provided that the prepayment penalty is



permitted by other applicable law, the penalty expires on or before December 31, 2011, and the penalty will not apply if the source of the prepayment funds is a refinancing by the creditor or its affiliate.

ii. Initial payments for a variable-rate transaction consummated on January 1, 2010 are \$1,000 per month and the loan agreement permits negative amortization to occur. Under the loan agreement, the first date that a scheduled payment in a different amount may be due is January 1, 2014, but the creditor has the right to change scheduled payments prior to that date if negative amortization occurs. A prepayment penalty is prohibited with this mortgage transaction because the payment may change within the four-year period following consummation.

Dated: May 16, 2013.

**Richard Cordray,**

*Director, Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.*

[FR Doc. 2013-12125 Filed 5-22-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4810-AM-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

#### 18 CFR Part 40

[Docket No. RM12-22-000; Order No. 779]

#### Reliability Standards for Geomagnetic Disturbances

**AGENCY:** Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** Under section 215 of the Federal Power Act, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) directs the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC), the Commission-certified Electric Reliability Organization, to submit to the Commission for approval proposed Reliability Standards that address the impact of geomagnetic disturbances (GMD) on the reliable operation of the Bulk-Power System. The Commission directs NERC to implement the directive in two stages. In the first stage, NERC must submit, within six months of the effective date of this Final Rule, one or more Reliability Standards that require owners and operators of the Bulk-Power System to develop and implement operational procedures to mitigate the effects of GMDs consistent with the reliable operation of the Bulk-Power System. In the second stage, NERC must submit, within 18 months of the effective date of this Final Rule, one or more Reliability Standards that require owners and operators of the Bulk-Power System to conduct initial and on-going assessments of the potential impact of

benchmark GMD events on Bulk-Power System equipment and the Bulk-Power System as a whole. The Second Stage GMD Reliability Standards must identify benchmark GMD events that specify what severity GMD events a responsible entity must assess for potential impacts on the Bulk-Power System. If the assessments identify potential impacts from benchmark GMD events, the Reliability Standards should require owners and operators to develop and implement a plan to protect against instability, uncontrolled separation, or cascading failures of the Bulk-Power System, caused by damage to critical or vulnerable Bulk-Power System equipment, or otherwise, as a result of a benchmark GMD event. The development of this plan cannot be limited to considering operational procedures or enhanced training alone, but will, subject to the potential impacts of the benchmark GMD events identified in the assessments, contain strategies for protecting against the potential impact of GMDs based on factors such as the age, condition, technical specifications, system configuration, or location of specific equipment. These strategies could, for example, include automatically blocking geomagnetically induced currents from entering the Bulk-Power System, instituting specification requirements for new equipment, inventory management, isolating certain equipment that is not cost effective to retrofit, or a combination thereof.

**DATES:** This rule will become effective July 22, 2013.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Regis Binder (Technical Information), Office of Electric Reliability, Division of Reliability Standards and Security, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, (301) 665-1601, [Regis.Binder@ferc.gov](mailto:Regis.Binder@ferc.gov).

Matthew Vlissides (Legal Information), Office of the General Counsel, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502-8408, [Matthew.Vlissides@ferc.gov](mailto:Matthew.Vlissides@ferc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

143 FERC ¶ 61,147

#### United States of America

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Before Commissioners:

Jon Wellinghoff, Chairman;  
Philip D. Moeller, John R. Norris, Cheryl A. LaFleur, and Tony Clark.

#### Final Rule

Issued May 16, 2013.

1. Pursuant to section 215(d)(5) of the Federal Power Act (FPA),<sup>1</sup> the Commission directs the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC), the Commission-certified Electric Reliability Organization (ERO), to submit for approval Reliability Standards (GMD Reliability Standards) that address the risks posed by geomagnetic disturbances (GMD) to the reliable operation of the Bulk-Power System.

2. The Commission directs NERC to implement the directive in two stages. In the first stage, NERC must submit, within six months of the effective date of this Final Rule, one or more Reliability Standards that require owners and operators of the Bulk-Power System to develop and implement operational procedures to mitigate the effects of GMDs consistent with the reliable operation of the Bulk-Power System. In the second stage, NERC must submit, within 18 months of the effective date of this Final Rule, one or more Reliability Standards that require owners and operators of the Bulk-Power System to conduct initial and on-going assessments of the potential impact of benchmark GMD events on Bulk-Power System equipment and the Bulk-Power System as a whole. The Second Stage GMD Reliability Standards must identify “benchmark GMD events” that specify what severity GMD events a responsible entity must assess for potential impacts on the Bulk-Power System. The benchmark GMD events must be technically justified because the benchmark GMD events will define the scope of the Second Stage GMD Reliability Standards (i.e., responsible entities should not be required to assess GMD events more severe than the benchmark GMD events). If the assessments identify potential impacts from benchmark GMD events, the Reliability Standards should require owners and operators to develop and implement a plan to protect against instability, uncontrolled separation, or cascading failures of the Bulk-Power System, caused by damage to critical or vulnerable Bulk-Power System equipment, or otherwise, as a result of a benchmark GMD event. The plan cannot be limited to considering operational procedures or enhanced training alone. Rather, the plan must, subject to the potential impacts of the benchmark GMD events identified in the assessments, contain strategies for protecting against the potential impact

<sup>1</sup> 16 U.S.C. 824o(d)(5) (2006).